List of Attachments to the November 5, 2014 Memorandum of Agreement Between The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey and the New Jersey Pinelands Commission

- 1. Exhibit 15 of the 2010 Master Plan: Development Areas (Master Plan, Page 39)
- 2. Exhibit 16 of the 2010 Master Plan: Description of the Development Areas (Master Plan, Page 40)
- 3. 2010 Stormwater Management Master Plan
- 4. Exhibit C of the Executive Director's Report on The Richard Stockton College April 2010 Master Plan: Deed Restricted Lands
- 5. Supplemental Background Details from the April 2010 Master Plan

Attachment No. 1

EXHIBIT 15: 2010 DEVELOPMENT AREAS



<u>ki. development areas</u>

EXHIBIT 16: DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT AREAS

<u>1 – Core Campus Development</u>	
Campus Center and Academic Space-	150,000 GSF
Academic Space- West Quad	75,000 GSF
Academic and Support- Lakeside Building	75,000 GSF
Recreation and Athletics	10,000 GSF
College Walk Renovation	2,500 LF
Parking Garage I	700 Cars
Science Center	67,000 GSF
Academic Buildings	165,000 GSF
Athletic Facility Expansion with Pool	40,000 GSF
Parking Garage III	1,350 Cars
Housing 2 & 3 Courtyard Renovations	1,600 LF
<u>2 – Pomona Community of Learning</u>	
Apartments	768 Units
Parking Structure	768 Cars
<u>3 – Athletic Complex – Barlow Site</u>	
Field House	12,000 GSF
Synthetic Fields	165,000 GSF
Natural Turf Fields	345,000 GSF
Skate Park	22,500 GSF
Tennis Courts	6 Courts
Parking	826 Cars
<u>4 – Stockton Towers-Existing Housing I</u>	
Apartments	2,000 Units
Parking	2,000 Cars
5 – Heath Science Campus and Jimmie Leeds Road Commercial	
Performing Arts Center	35,000 GSF
Conference Center	
Hotel 150 Rooms	78,000 GSF
Meeting Room	20,000 GSF
Parking	150 Cars
Retail/Commercial	
Building Type 1 (Rectangle)	90,000 GSF
Building Type 2 (EII)	36,000 GSF
Building Type 3 (Angle)	18,000 GSF
Jimmie Leeds Road Commercial	36,000 GSF

<u>ki. development areas</u>

EXHIBIT 16: DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT AREAS (continued)

Residential	
Apartments Type 1 (Rectangle)	160 Units
Apartments Type 2 (Ell)	64 Units
Apartments 3 (Angle)	32 Units
Town Houses Type 1 (Rectangle)	56 Units
Twin Houses	66 Units
Presidents House	1 Unit
Parking	378 Cars
<u>6 – Research Park</u>	
Head Building	105,000 GSF
Side Buildings	420,000 GSF
Parking	2,625 Cars
7 – Administrative Buildings	
Buildings	70,000 GSF
Parking	350 Cars
<u>8 – Administrative Buildings</u>	
Buildings	210,000 GSF
Parking	1,050 Cars
<u>9 – Plant Operations Storage Upgrade</u>	
Storage Buildings	9,600 GSF
<u>10 – Research Park Administrative Annex</u>	
Buildings	105,000 GSF
Parking	525 Cars
On Site Improvements	
Garden State Parkway Interchange 41	
Garden State Parkway Interchange 44	
Main Entrance Intersection	
Realignment of Jimmie Leeds Road and Vera King Farris Drive	
Louisville Avenue Paving	
Delaware Avenue Paving	
Solar Array Construction	
North Parking Lot	
West Parking Lot	
Housing I Parking Lot	
Off Site Improvements	

50 West Jimmie Leeds Road Office Building Parking

50,000 GSF 250 Cars

STORMWATER COMPLIANCE REPORT

for

2010 Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey Block 875.04, Lot 1.01 through 1.08 Galloway Township, Atlantic County, New Jersey

August 2010



Prepared for: The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey Office of Facilities Planning and Construction P.O. Box 483 10 West Jimmie Leeds Road Pomona, New Jersey 08240-0483

> Prepared by: Marathon Engineering & Environmental Services, Inc. 2922 Atlantic Avenue, Suite 3A Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401

NEW JERSEY'S GREEN COLLEGE

JG

Jason T. Sciullo Professional Engineer New Jersey License No. 24GE04586000

RSC 011.01

PREPARED BY ALL DOCUMENTS MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. ARE INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE WITH RESPECT TO THE PROJECT. THEY ARE NOT INTENDED OR REPRESENTED TO BE SUITABLE FOR REUSE BY THE OWNER OR OTHERS ON EXTENSIONS OF THE PROJECT OR ON ANY OTHER PROJECT. ANY REUSE WITHOUT WRITTEN VERIFICATION OR ADAPTATION BY MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES. INC. FOR THE SPECIFIC PURPOSE INTENDED WILL BE AT OWNER'S SOLE RISK AND WITHOUT LIABILITY OR LEGAL EXPOSURE TO MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.; AND OWNER SHALL INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. FROM ALL CLAIMS, DAMAGES, LOSSES AND EXPENSES ARISING OUT OF OR RESULTING THEREFROM.

STORMWATER COMPLIANCE STATEMENT 2010 Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey Pomona, Galloway Township, Atlantic County, New Jersey

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	Page 1
2.0	Scope of Work	Page 4
3.0	Design Criteria	Page 5
4.0	Techniques & Procedures of Analysis	Page 8
5.0	Key Hydrologic Principals	Page 9
6.0	Soil Survey Information	Page 9
7.0	Typical Basin Construction Techniques	Page 10
8.0	Areas of Improvements	
	Development Area 1 Development Areas 2 & 3 Development Area 4 Development Areas 5 East, 5 West & 8 Development Area 6 Development Area 7 Development Area 9 Development Area 10	Page 14 Page 17 Page 19 Page 19 Page 21 Page 22 Page 23 Page 23
9.0	Compliance with Groundwater Recharge Standard at N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6iii	Page 25
10.0	Compliance with Runoff Quantity Standard at N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6ii	Page 25
11.0	Compliance with Runoff Quality Standard at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.5	Page 25
12.0	Compliance with Infiltration Basin Design, Siting and Construction Standard at N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6iv	Page 26
13.0	Compliance with As-Built Requirement at N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6v	Page 27

14.0	Conformance with Nonstructural Management Strategies at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3	Page 28
15.0	Conformance with Low Impact Development Standard in Chapter 2 of the New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual	Page 29
16.0	Conformance with Soil Erosion Control Standard at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.4(a)1	Page 32
17.0	Conclusion	Page 32
Appe	ndix A – Existing and Proposed Conditions	
Appe	ndix B – Stormwater Management Basin Volumes	
Appe	ndix C – Pre and Post-Developed 2, 10, 50, and 100-Year Storm Runoff Calculations	

- Appendix D Soil Investigation and Groundwater Mounding Analysis
- Appendix E Pinelands Stormwater Checklist
- Appendix F Stormwater Management Facility Maintenance Manual



ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

STORMWATER COMPLIANCE STATEMENT 2010 Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey Pomona, Galloway Township, Atlantic County, New Jersey

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey (Stockton), Marathon Engineering & Environmental Services, Inc. (Marathon) interfaced with the New Jersey Pinelands Commission (Pinelands) to establish an approach that will streamline the approval process with the Pinelands for development of future construction projects at Stockton. Previously, each project was submitted to the Pinelands as a stand-alone development; and each one underwent a detailed review by the Pinelands review staff for compliance with the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan (CMP). This procedure resulted in increased cost for preparation of applications and design documents, as well as delay due to the lengthy review time.

The goal of the 2010 Master Plan is to establish an agreement with the Pinelands that will remove the need to separately review and approve each project proposed by Stockton. Up to this point, there was no comprehensive "master plan" approach established with the Pinelands for development at Stockton. Each major construction project on campus has been developed with its own independent stormwater management system to address the Pinelands regulations in place at the time the development was proposed.

Marathon recognized that this site-specific approach cost Stockton considerable expense for construction of the individual stormwater management systems (which were almost all underground), consumed valuable developable land area and maximized the degree and level of land disturbance via excavation and clearing. Marathon recommended that the site-specific approach be replaced with a more regional investigation for stormwater management and was contracted by Stockton to prepare a Master Plan stormwater management investigation of the academic core area of the campus for submission to Pinelands. Marathon previously performed an overall environmental investigation of the entire Stockton campus for wetlands and threatened & endangered species, so stormwater was the last piece of the regulations that would need to be addressed to demonstrate compliance with the Pinelands CMP.

□ 510 HERON DRIVE • SUITE 100 • SWEDESBORO, NEW JERSEY 08085	TEL (856) 241-9705	FAX (856) 241-9709
□ 100 DOBBS LANE • SUITE 211 • CHERRY HILL, NEW JERSEY 08034	TEL (856) 427-7801	FAX (856) 427-7912
■ 2922 ATLANTIC AVE. • SUITE 3A • ATLANTIC CITY, NEW JERSEY 08401	TEL (609) 437-2100	FAX (609) 437-2101

Marathon collaborated with Pinelands and submitted documentation in June 2009 that demonstrated the development of the Master Plan for the academic core area of the campus can take place with minimal stormwater management improvements by limiting impervious cover that will be proposed for full build-out conditions. In obtaining Pinelands' agreement with this approach, the only information that needs to be submitted with each project undertaken as part of the core area Master Plan development will be an accounting of existing impervious surface removed and impervious surface constructed. The rest of the Pinelands CMP requirements have been addressed on a regional scale. This approach will save Stockton time and expense and will be the same method for approval from the Pinelands Commission for the rest of the development proposed on the campus.

Stockton's approved Master Plan is described in the document entitled "The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey April, 2010 Master Plan." The Master Plan indicates the areas and buildings proposed for development within the academic core and within the undeveloped portions of the Stockton property fronting Pomona Road to the north, Duerer Street to the west and Jimmie Leeds Road to the south.

There are generally ten different development areas identified in the Master Plan.

- Development Area 1 is the future buildout of the academic core of the campus;
- Fronting Pomona Road is Development Area 2 the Pomona Community of Learning and Development Area 3 – the Barlow Site;
- On the north side of Lake Fred is Development Area 4 the Housing 1 overlay;
- Connecting to both Jimmie Leeds Road and Vera King Farris Drive, on the east side of their intersection, is Development Area 5 and 8 – the Health & Science Campus and Administrative Buildings;
- Fronting Jimmie Leeds Road on the west side of the intersection of Vera King Farris Drive is Development Area 6 – the Research Park;
- At the intersection of Duerer Street and Pomona Road is Development Area 7 Administration Buildings;
- Behind the existing Plant Management Building 70 is Development Area 9 Additional storage buildings; and
- Development Area 10 The Research Park Annex fronting Jimmie Leeds Road west of the intersection of Duerer Street.

The Pomona Community of Learning, Housing 1 overlay, and part of the Health & Science campus contain student housing and associated amenities. The Health & Science campus may also contain a Performing Arts Center, the President's House and a Conference Center. The Research Park will contain office space and labs for research related to Stockton programs and initiatives. The Barlow Site will receive new athletic fields, parking areas and field house amenities. The remainder of the commercial space and administrative buildings will contain general office space for both campus staff and possibly leased space for ancillary services associated with Stockton.

Detailed information related to environmental constraints, such as freshwater wetlands and threatened & endangered species on campus, has been documented by Marathon and submitted to the Pinelands Commission. Subsequently, the Stockton Facilities Planning & Construction staff finalized the 2010 Master Plan layout and negotiated with the Pinelands Commission to avoid disturbance to environmentally sensitive areas with the intent to execute an agreement for development of the facilities proposed with the Master Plan. Marathon assisted Stockton by providing advice and guidance on solutions to challenges encountered during preparation of the Master Plan and the general approach to preparing the documents needed by the Pinelands Commission planning and review staff in order for them to draft the agreement. This analysis for the Master Plan quantifies a total area of disturbance and proposed impervious surface allowed to be constructed in connection with the agreement.

It is important to note that Stockton's role as a world-class educational facility, especially in the realm of environmental studies, sustainability, and global awareness and education, is reflected in our approach to the stormwater management facilities provided in this plan. While each development area is similar in proximity to environmentally sensitive areas and position in the landscape, the existing topography and underlying soil conditions allow us to approach the system proposed for each development area differently. As an example, some basin areas will not be created by clearing and excavating; they will instead be created by minimal brush clearing and berming on the downstream side of the area to allow the natural wooded area to remain and act as a bioretention facility that will store runoff at shallow depths and allow it to infiltrate in those natural wooded areas. In other areas clearing may be required due to large variations in topography, but those basin areas are designed to be partially vegetated with low maintenance plantings that will be left to revegetate naturally. The overall goal of this stormwater management design is low impact, low maintenance, low cost measures that will provide water quality treatment the surrounding area deserves and the engineering control the applicable regulations require.

As previously stated, the goal of including a stormwater management master plan in the agreement is to allow Stockton to proceed with the development of the Master Plan components without having to submit to the Pinelands Commission for a Public Development Approval for each separate phase of the future development. After the agreement is executed, Stockton would only have to provide the Pinelands Commission with a notice that work is being started and a running tally of the disturbance and impervious surface proposed with each project. This would allow Pinelands to keep track of the work without a detailed review and limit their involvement to only an accounting of the disturbance area and impervious surface constructed with each project.

2.0 SCOPE OF WORK

Stormwater Management Investigation

The Scope of Work includes preparation of a comprehensive stormwater management plan for the development areas of the Master Plan listed in the introduction above. The Phase 2 Development Areas will generally require individual stormwater management systems that will ultimately discharge towards the intermittent stream on-site that feeds Lake Fred and the unnamed tributary to Morse's Mill Stream on the southeast side of Vera King Farris Drive.

Engineering Design Plans

Utilizing the site survey overseen by Marathon and Master Plan documents prepared by Stockton, Marathon prepared engineering plans entitled "2010 Stormwater Master Plan" for Stockton, depicting the proposed Master Plan layout and required stormwater management features, made part of this report by reference. The plans locate and describe the Best Management Practices utilized on the Project to comply with the applicable requirements and provisions of Subchapters 5 and 6 of the NJDEP Stormwater Management Rules at N.J.A.C. 7:8, except as modified and supplemented pursuant to the minimum standards for point and non-point source discharges of surface water runoff described at Subchapter 6 in the Pinelands CMP (Section 7:50-6.84(a)6).

Detailed Soil Investigation

Marathon performed a soil investigation to evaluate soil conditions and to collect soil profile descriptions at the location of six (6) proposed stormwater management areas. Marathon conducted a total of five (5) test pits at each proposed stormwater management area and logged the soil conditions encountered to determine soil texture, depth to groundwater and the estimated seasonal high water table. The test pits were excavated to a depth of 10 feet or to standing groundwater, whichever was shallower.

For each test pit, two (2) soil samples were taken from the most hydraulically restrictive layer to remain below the basin bottom and those replicate samples were tested for permeability. The permeability results, reported in inches/hour, satisfy the requirements outlined in the New Jersey Best Management Practices Manual and Pinelands CMP.

Stormwater Compliance Statement

This Stormwater Compliance Statement documents the pre and post development hydrological conditions and outlines the compliance with the applicable portions of Subchapter 6 in the Pinelands CMP (Section 7:50-6.84(a)6.) The Stormwater Compliance Statement includes a hydrological and hydraulic analysis for the design of the stormwater management systems.

3.0 DESIGN CRITERIA

The stormwater management analysis and design is in accordance with the Stormwater Management Rules at N.J.A.C. 7:8, subchapters 5 and 6, as amended, except as modified and supplemented by the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan minimum standards for point and non-point source discharges of surface water runoff at N.J.A.C. 7:50, subchapter 6; the New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual; and the New Jersey Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Standards.

In accordance with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Stormwater Management Rules at N.J.A.C. 7:8, the development of the various projects is classified as a "Major Development." A Major Development is defined therein as a development which ultimately disturbs one or more acres of land and/or increases impervious coverage by one-quarter of an acre or more. The three technical requirements of the Stormwater Management Rules at N.J.A.C 7:8 as modified and supplemented by the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan that must be met are groundwater recharge, runoff quantity control, and runoff quality.

- Groundwater Recharge Standard N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.4(a)2 as modified by N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6iii sets forth the minimum design and performance standards for groundwater recharge as follows:
 - i. The design engineer shall, using the assumptions and factors for stormwater runoff and groundwater recharge calculations at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.6, demonstrate that the total runoff volume generated from the net increase in impervious surfaces by the ten-year storm is retained and infiltrated on site.
 - iv. The design engineer shall assess the hydraulic impact on the groundwater table and design the site so as to avoid adverse hydraulic impacts. Potential adverse hydraulic impacts include, but are not limited to, exacerbating a naturally or seasonally high water table so as to cause surficial ponding, flooding of basements, or interference with the proper operation of subsurface sewage disposal systems and other subsurface structures in the vicinity or downgradient of the groundwater recharge area.
- Runoff Quantity Control Standard N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.4(a)3 and N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6ii requires that in order to control stormwater runoff quantity impacts, the design engineer shall, using the assumptions and factors for stormwater runoff calculations at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.6, complete one of the following:
 - i. Demonstrate through hydrologic and hydraulic analysis that for stormwater leaving the site, post-construction runoff hydrographs for the two, 10, and 100-year storm events do not exceed, at any point in time, the preconstruction runoff hydrographs for the same storm events; or

- ii. Demonstrate through hydrologic and hydraulic analysis that there is no increase, as compared to the pre-construction condition, in the peak runoff rates of stormwater leaving the site for the two, 10, and 100-year storm events and that the increased volume or change in timing of stormwater runoff will not increase flood damage at or downstream of the site. This analysis shall include the analysis of impacts of existing land uses and projected land uses assuming full development under existing zoning and land use ordinances in the drainage area; or
- iii. Design stormwater management measures so that the post-construction peak runoff rates for the two, 10 and 100-year storm events are 50, 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-construction peak runoff rates. The percentages apply only to the post-construction stormwater runoff that is attributable to the portion of the site on which the proposed development or project is to be constructed.
- Runoff Quality Standard N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.5 requires the stormwater management measures be designed to reduce the post-construction load of total suspended solids (TSS) in stormwater runoff generated from the water quality design storm by 80 percent of the anticipated load from the developed site, expressed as an annual average. Stormwater management measures shall only be required for water quality control if an additional one-quarter acre of impervious surface is being proposed on a development site. The water quality design storm is 1.25 inches of rainfall in two hours. Water quality calculations shall take into account the distribution of rain from the water quality design storm. The calculation of the volume of runoff may take into account the implementation of non-structural and structural stormwater management measures.

Note that the water quality volume generated by the proposed improvements will be less than that required to be retained and infiltrated to meet the groundwater recharge requirement, so the water quality standard will be met.

The rules emphasize that these standards be met by incorporating the following nonstructural stormwater management strategies at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3 into the design to the maximum extent practicable. If these measures alone are not sufficient to meet these standards, structural stormwater management measures at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.7 necessary to meet these standards shall be incorporated into the design.

- Nonstructural stormwater management strategies incorporated into site design shall:
 - 1. Protect areas that provide water quality benefits or areas particularly susceptible to erosion and sediment loss;

- 2. Minimize impervious surfaces and break up or disconnect the flow of runoff over impervious surfaces;
- 3. Maximize the protection of natural drainage features and vegetation;
- 4. Minimize the decrease in the "time of concentration" from pre-construction to post-construction. "Time of Concentration" is defined as the time it takes for runoff to travel from the hydraulically most distant point of the drainage area to the point of interest within a watershed;
- 5. Minimize land disturbance including clearing and grading;
- 6. Minimize soil compaction;
- 7. Provide low-maintenance landscaping that encourages retention and planting of native vegetation and minimizes the use of lawns, fertilizers and pesticides;
- 8. Provide vegetated open-channel conveyance systems discharging into and through stable vegetated areas; and
- Provide other source controls to prevent or minimize the use or exposure of pollutants at the site in order to prevent or minimize the release of those pollutants into stormwater runoff. These source controls include, but are not limited to:
 - i. Site design features that help to prevent accumulation of trash and debris in drainage systems;
 - ii. Site design features that help to prevent discharge of trash and debris from drainage systems;
 - iii. Site design features that help to prevent and/or contain spills or other harmful accumulations of pollutants at industrial or commercial developments; and
 - iv. When establishing vegetation after land disturbance, applying fertilizer in accordance with the requirements established under the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Act, N.J.S.A. 4:24-39 et seq., and implementing rules.

The NJDEP Stormwater Management rules also set forth requirements for a Special Water Resources Protection Area (SWRPA) which is generally a 300 feet buffer adjacent to a Category One (C1) waters and upstream tributaries of C1 waters within the same Hydrologic Unit Code sub-watershed (HUC-14). Morse's Mill Stream downstream of the Garden State Parkway has been classified as a C1 water. Although SWRPA buffers of 300 feet are required around all Category One waters, buffers of 150 feet are permitted if a site is being redeveloped. No development is permitted within the designated buffer and there are no waivers or variances that can be granted to permit encroachment within these buffers. Most of the existing campus on the northwesterly

RSC 011.01

side of Vera King Farris Drive (a.k.a. College Drive) is already built-out so future development within this area would be considered redevelopment and a reduced buffer of 150 feet should be employed. All of the proposed Phase 1 development, with the exception of some possible road improvements to a section of Vera King Farris Drive, is located outside of the 150 feet buffer. All of the proposed Phase 2 development, with the exception of some possible road improvements to sections of Vera King Farris Drive, is located outside of the 300 feet buffer.

Additionally, riparian zones associated with the NJDEP Flood Hazard Area Control Act, which is a separate, overlapping area of jurisdiction along regulated waters, will also apply to any work at Stockton within 300 feet of the waterways on campus (including Lake Fred) since they drain to, and are in the same HUC-14 as, the portion of Morse's Mill Stream downstream of the Garden State Parkway that is C1. The purpose of the riparian zone, however, is to protect existing vegetation along the waterway. Accordingly, if an area is already disturbed, it can remain disturbed and any improvements will have to be limited to those previously cleared areas. The work proposed by Stockton within 300 feet of the waterways on site (along Farris Drive, portions of the Academic Core Area, and Housing 1) is limited to the previously disturbed areas since those areas are also mostly constrained by wetland buffers associated with those same waterways.

4.0 TECHNIQUES & PROCEDURES OF ANALYSIS

In accordance with the stormwater runoff calculation methodology at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.6, the quantity (volume and rate) of stormwater runoff for pre and post-developed conditions is calculated based on the USDA NRCS methodology using the NRCS Runoff Equation and Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph, as described in Technical Release 55 - Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds (TR-55), dated June 1986. A unit peak discharge factor of 285 is applied to the dimensionless unit hydrograph for runoff estimation on lands that are located within the coastal zones of New Jersey rather than the standard factor of 484. This is referred to as the DelMarVa unit hydrograph and will predict a lower peak discharge than that of the standard hydrograph. The volume of runoff will not be affected by the factor change. NRCS 24 hr design storm rainfall depths for New Jersey, as revised September 2004, are used in the calculation.

Pre and post-developed times of concentration (TC) are determined for the pre and postdeveloped condition using the hydraulically longest flow path. Curve numbers (CN) are chosen for the drainage areas for the pre and post-developed condition based on the hydrologic soil group and land use. Since the developed area is made up of Type A, B, C and D soils, CNs of 30, 55, 70 and 77 were assumed for Natural Woods, respectively; 39, 61, 74 and 80 for lawn and landscaped areas, respectively; and 98 for impervious areas. Note that impervious areas were calculated as separate subareas to generate hydrographs without weighted CNs as outlined in the CMP N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6.i(2) and the BMP manual chapter 5. Using the drainage areas, the TCs and CNs as input data, the 2007 version of *Hydraflow Hydrographs,* a hydrologic/hydraulic software program by Intelisolve, was employed to generate the runoff volumes and rates.

Additionally, since the actual area of disturbance is allowed to be the entire upland portion of the development areas outside of the wetland buffers, it is assumed for the purposes of runoff estimation in the post-developed condition that any area that is not impervious will be open space; that is it is conservatively assumed no woods will be retained to provide the maximum runoff volume that may be produced from built out conditions. Note that in reality there will be wooded area retained since it is a goal of the master plan build-out to retain as much naturally wooded area as possible while still meeting the programmatic needs of Stockton.

5.0 KEY HYDROLOGIC PRINCIPALS

Precipitation and Design Storm Events. Precipitation occurs as a series of events characterized by different rainfall amount, intensity, and duration. Although these events occur randomly, analysis of their distribution over a long period of time indicates that the frequency of occurrence of a given storm event follows a statistical pattern. This statistical analysis characterizes storm events based on their frequency of occurrence or return period. Storm events of specific sizes can be identified to support evaluation of designs. Storms with 2-year, 10-year and 100-year return periods are commonly used for residential, industrial, and commercial development design.

The 2-year storm events are usually selected to protect receiving channels from sedimentation and erosion. The 10-year storm events are selected for adequate flow conveyance design and minor flooding considerations. The 100-year event is used to define the limits of floodplains and for consideration of the impacts of major floods.

In Atlantic County, the 2-year, 10-year and 100-year storms are 3.3 inches, 5.2 inches, and 8.9 inches of rainfall over 24 hours, respectively. The 2-year storm has a 50 percent probability of occurring in any given year, while the 10-year and 100-year storms have a 10 percent and 1 percent probability of occurring in any given year, respectively.

6.0 SOIL SURVEY INFORMATION

The project site is shown on the Pleasantville United States Geological Survey (USGS) quad map. Soils in the project sites are indicated on the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey (WSS) as:

<u>Soil Type</u>	<u>HSG</u>
AtsA—Atsion sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes AugB—Aura sandy loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes BorAr – Borryland sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	D B B/D
DocB—Downer loamy sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes	B
GamB—Galloway loamy sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes	AA
HboA—Hammonton sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	A B
MakAt—Manahawkin muck, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded MbtB—Matawan sandy loam, 0 to 5 percent slopes	D C
PHG—Pits, sand and gravel SacA—Sassafras sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	В
WoeA—Woodstown sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	С

The limits of the listed soil series areas on the project site are shown on the Drainage Area Plans included in Appendix F.

7.0 TYPICAL BASIN CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES

Stockton's intent is to fit into their surrounding environment. To that end the basins proposed as the structural measures to address the engineering requirements of the Pinelands CMP are designed to have minimal impact to the area by retaining as much existing natural vegetation within the basin areas as possible, minimizing changes in topography where practical, and designing them so they are shallow, have very little impact to the existing groundwater table, and no adverse impacts to the wetlands and waterways to which any excess runoff will discharge. The overall design approach for the build-out of the Master Plan is low impact with clearing limited to that only required for the proposed facilities, efficient use of land area for shared parking and clustered development, minimizing cartway widths to that required for public safety, and no compaction of areas not intended to receive buildings or pavement.

As mentioned above, each development area is similar in proximity to environmentally sensitive areas and position in the landscape. The existing topography and underlying soil conditions, however, allow us to approach the system proposed for each development area differently. The complete drainage area description and engineering detail for each development area system are provided in the plans, the calculations in Appendix C, and the following sections. Below is a listing of the general approach for the stormwater management system for each development area:

Development Areas 2 & 3 – Pomona Community of Learning and Barlow Field

These development areas are adjacent to one another and have combined facilities. The majority of Barlow Field will be converted from woods to athletic fields with small parking areas and accessory buildings (food stand, restrooms, etc). The Pomona Community of Learning is a clustered building arrangement with a parking garage to minimize disturbance. Overall, the basin required to meet the engineering standards is large in area, but due to the similarity in topography in most of the downstream area where the basin is situated, much of the basin area is made up of wooded area to remain. That is, a berm will be constructed on the downstream side of the basin and the majority of the upper volume of the basin will remain wooded. The volume is there in the event of a large storm, but will not need to be excavated to create it. Accordingly, the basin proposed for Development Areas 2 & 3 will be made up of about half cleared and graded area (as with most typical structural basins) and half existing natural wooded area. Pretreatment of paved areas in the drainage shed will come in the form of the large downstream open space area of athletic fields and landscaping that will disconnect the proposed impervious surface from the basin. This will provide the requisite pretreatment of runoff prior to infiltration. Where possible and appropriate, small infiltration areas and vegetated conveyance swales will be utilized. The exact layout of those features will depend on the final configuration of the development area. Note that these features will be incorporated into the design not because they are required, but because they can be. Stockton intends to take the most environmentally responsible route possible while providing the most cost-effective solution that will benefit both the environment and the taxpayers who fund the construction.

The soil underlying the stormwater management basin is generally a mix of sands, sandy loams, and clay lenses. A deep substratum of gravelly clay underlies the southwesterly end of the basin. The static groundwater table was observed at a moderately high elevation and as such will act as the controlling restrictive zone below the basin. Since the basin is upgradient to an intermittent stream corridor, it is anticipated that infiltrated runoff will contribute to the base flow of the intermittent stream as the drainage area does naturally. The moderately high groundwater table, or any existing soil strata that could inhibit vertical infiltration, will cause infiltrating groundwater to behave exactly as it does prior to any development – it will move vertically until it contacts a restrictive layer where it will then move laterally to the stream bed. The groundwater mounding analyses in Appendix D provides calculations demonstrating minimal mounding that will not negatively impact the wetlands or stream bed downstream of the development areas.

Development Areas 5 & 8 – Health and Science Campus and Administrative Buildings

These development areas are adjacent to one another and have combined facilities. The Health and Science campus will be a mixed use development containing health service uses such as a hospital, a geriatric center, leased doctor's offices, professional office space for services associated with Stockton, a performing arts center, and residential units. Since this area will be designed to have professional occupancy during work hours and residential occupancy during remaining times, it is a highly efficient use of land area with shared parking and common facilities. Overall, the basins required to meet the engineering standards are not very large in area and due to the difference in topography in most of the downstream area where the basins are situated, the basins will need to be completely made up of excavated area to allow the necessary volume to be constructed. The basin limits follow the wetland buffer line so while they are structural features, they do not have a very rigid shape which adds to the aesthetic appeal of the facilities. They will also be landscaped with native Pinelands vegetation and the downstream side allowed to naturally revegetate. This development area contains more connecting roadway than the other development areas and thus provides more opportunity for roadside vegetated conveyance areas and areas that can be planted with native low-growing Pinelands vegetation and wildflowers that will require less maintenance than turf and still provide the necessary pedestrian and vehicle safety lines of sight. Pretreatment of paved areas in the drainage shed will come in the form of shallow depressed landscape areas within the parking lots to filter and infiltrate smaller storms and allow larger, lower frequency storms to be safely conveyed to the basin area. Where possible and appropriate, small infiltration areas and vegetated conveyance swales will be utilized. The exact layout of those features will depend on the final configuration of the development area and will be the both cost-effective and environmentally responsible.

The soil underlying the stormwater management basins is a mix of sands, sandy loams, and clay bands. Clay bands that are shallow will be excavated during construction of the basins and replaced with sand excavated elsewhere on the project. The static groundwater table was observed at a moderately high elevation and as such will act as the controlling restrictive zone below the basin. Perched groundwater was encountered above some of the clay bands. Since the basins are upgradient to an intermittent stream corridor, it is anticipated that infiltrated runoff will contribute to the base flow of the intermittent stream as it currently does naturally. The moderately high groundwater table, or any existing soil strata that could inhibit vertical infiltration, will cause infiltrating groundwater to behave exactly as it does prior to any development – it will move vertically until it contacts a restrictive layer where it will then move laterally to the stream bed. The groundwater mounding analyses in Appendix D provides calculations demonstrating minimal mounding that will not negatively impact the wetlands or stream bed downstream of the development areas.

Development Area 6 – Research Park

This area has gently sloping topography that allows the proposed basin to be very shallow and require no excavation. Instead of moving a lot of earth to create storage volume, the approach will be to construct a small berm on the downstream side of the area near the wetlands buffer that will effectively dam up the runoff created by the development and allow it to be retained and infiltrated in the existing wooded area. This large downstream area that will receive the runoff from the developed portion of the site will function as a natural bioretention area and be as low impact as any stormwater management feature can be designed and/or constructed. The upstream development area will have pretreatment areas for runoff in the form of vegetated swales, vegetated filter strips and shallow depressions within the parking areas to provide pretreatment of runoff prior to discharge to the natural basin area.

The soil underlying the stormwater management basin is a mix of sands, sandy loams, and a thick band of clay. The static groundwater table was observed at a high elevation

and as such will act as the controlling restrictive zone below the basin. Perched groundwater was encountered above the clay band. Since the basins are upgradient to an intermittent stream corridor, it is anticipated that infiltrated runoff will contribute to the base flow of the intermittent stream as it currently does naturally. The moderately high groundwater table, or any existing soil strata that could inhibit vertical infiltration, will cause infiltrating groundwater to behave exactly as it does prior to any development – it will move vertically until it contacts a restrictive layer where it will then move laterally to the stream bed. The groundwater mounding analyses in Appendix D provides calculations demonstrating minimal mounding that will not negatively impact the wetlands or stream bed downstream of the development areas.

Development Area 7 – Administrative Buildings

This development area is immediately southwest of Barlow Field. The stormwater basin proposed for this area is very similar to that proposed for Development Areas 2 and 3. This basin will also be made up of a berm constructed on the downstream side of the basin with the majority of the upper volume of the basin to remain wooded. Again, this basin will be made up of about half cleared and graded area and half existing woods to remain. Pretreatment of paved areas in the drainage shed will come in the form of shallow depressed landscape areas within the parking lots to filter and infiltrate smaller storms and allow larger, lower frequency storms to be safely conveyed to the basin area. Vegetated conveyance features will also be utilized to the maximum extent possible.

The soil underlying the stormwater management basin is generally a mix of sands and sandy loams. A deep substratum of gravelly clay underlies the northeasterly end of the basin. The static groundwater table was observed at a moderately high elevation and as such will act as the controlling restrictive zone below the basin. Since the basin is upgradient to an intermittent stream corridor, it is anticipated that infiltrated runoff will contribute to the base flow of the intermittent stream as it currently does naturally. The moderately high groundwater table, or any existing soil strata that could inhibit vertical infiltration, will cause infiltrating groundwater to behave exactly as it does prior to any development – it will move vertically until it contacts a restrictive layer where it will then move laterally to the stream bed. The groundwater mounding analyses in Appendix D provides calculations demonstrating minimal mounding that will not negatively impact the wetlands or stream bed downstream of the development areas.

Development Area 10 – Research Park Administrative Annex

This development area is off-campus and at the upstream end of the tributary to Morse's Mill Stream that discharges to Lake Fred. The stormwater basin proposed for this area is very similar to that proposed for Development Areas 2, 3 and 7. This basin will be made up of a berm constructed on the downstream side of the basin with the majority of the upper volume of the basin to remain wooded. Again, this basin will be made up of about half cleared and graded area and half existing woods to remain. Pretreatment of paved areas in the drainage shed will come in the form of shallow depressed landscape areas within the parking lots to filter and infiltrate smaller storms

and allow larger, lower frequency storms to be safely conveyed to the basin area. Vegetated conveyance features will also be utilized to the maximum extent possible.

The soil underlying the stormwater management basin is generally a mix of sands and sandy loams. A substratum of mixed clay underlies the middle of the basin. The static groundwater table was observed at a moderately high elevation and as such will act as the controlling restrictive zone below the basin. Since the basin is upgradient to an intermittent stream corridor, it is anticipated that infiltrated runoff will contribute to the base flow of the intermittent stream as it currently does naturally. The moderately high groundwater table, or any existing soil strata that could inhibit vertical infiltration, will cause infiltrating groundwater to behave exactly as it does prior to any development – it will move vertically until it contacts a restrictive layer where it will then move laterally to the stream bed. The groundwater mounding analyses in Appendix D provides calculations demonstrating minimal mounding that will not negatively impact the wetlands or stream bed downstream of the development areas.

7.0 AREAS OF IMPROVEMENTS

DEVELOPMENT AREA 1

Development Area 1 is identified on the Existing Drainage Area Plan (Sheet D0103) and the Proposed Drainage Area Plan (Sheet D0104) prepared by Marathon. The area is located along the southerly and easterly side of Lake Fred and extends to the westerly side of Vera King Farris Drive. The area adjacent to the Lake is improved with existing campus buildings and walkways. The area between the improved areas along Lake Fred and Vera King Farris Drive contains large areas of paved parking lots. It is in these existing parking areas where most of the new Phase 1 Master Plan facilities will be constructed.

An Overall Phase 1 Impervious Area Removal Plan (Sheet D0101) prepared by Marathon indicates the existing facilities as shown on the boundary survey prepared by Pennoni Associates, the topographic survey by Promaps, and field evaluations performed by Marathon. An Overall Phase 1 Impervious Area Addition Plan (Sheet D0102) prepared by Marathon indicates the proposed facilities as shown on the aforementioned Master Plan. The wetland areas and effective wetlands buffer as identified in the 2010 Stockton Master Plan are also shown.

There are two areas within the above described overall area that do not contribute stormwater runoff to the Development Area: the area of the existing West Quad, which is recently completed, and the area of the Campus Center, which is currently under construction. These areas were designed with individual stormwater management systems in conformance with the current stormwater regulations and are self contained as to stormwater quality and quantity management requirements. Accordingly, they are not included or addressed in this report.

Under the existing conditions, Development Area 1, which comprises the developed portion of the academic core of the campus, is divided into four distinct drainage sheds: the West (W) Shed consisting of roughly 13.845± acres that flows toward Lake Fred and then into Morse's Mill Stream; a portion of the North (N-1) Shed consisting of roughly 5.502± acres and the remaining North (N-2) Shed consisting of roughly 9.528± acres that also flow toward Lake Fred; and the South (S) Shed consisting of the 23.925± acres that flows toward an unnamed tributary of Morse's Mill Stream that discharges downstream of the dam at Lake Fred into Morse's Mill Stream. The ultimate discharge point for the entire Stockton campus is the point in Morse's Mill Stream immediately upstream of the Garden State Parkway. The Existing Drainage Area Plan (Sheet D0104) graphically depicts the drainage sheds and provides detailed information on the types of land cover associated with the drainage areas. The table below summarizes the volumes and rates of runoff associated with the various design storms:

Drainage Shed	Peak Runoff Flow Rate (cfs)			Total Runoff Volume (cf)		
Direction of Discharge	Q_2	Q ₁₀	Q ₁₀₀	V_2	V ₁₀	V ₁₀₀
North to Lake Fred	53.70	85.93	161.40	216,843	363,436	677,364
South to unnamed tributary	33.59	63.19	130.45	145,567	263,317	525,913
Total to Morse's Mill Stream	87.29	149.12	291.85	362,409	626,754	1,203,278

Existing Peak Runoff Flow Rates and Total Volumes

The MPRC facility has its own separate self-contained infiltration facility designed, approved and constructed in accordance with CMP standards. The improvements within the drainage area to the MPRC will also be modified with the construction of the elements of the Facilities Master Plan. As such, the runoff volume to the existing MPRC system cannot be increased. The table below summarizes the runoff volume draining to the system under existing conditions:

Existing Runoff Volumes to MPRC System

Drainage Shed	Total Runoff Volume (cf)		
	V ₂	V ₁₀	V ₁₀₀
Total to MPRC System	40,835	66,253	115,994

Under the proposed conditions, the drainage sheds within the Development Area are slightly altered in size and cover with the implementation of the Master Plan. A majority of the existing at-grade parking areas are replaced with garage structures to make way for the proposed academic buildings and the campus greens. West (W) Shed remains at roughly 13.845± acres but the impervious cover is slightly increased; the North (N-1) Shed remains unchanged; the North (N-2) Shed increases slightly to roughly 9.650± acres; and the South (S) Shed decreases to roughly 23.163± acres. Please also note

that the South Shed also has a sub-shed that drains to two (2) proposed depressions to retain and infiltrate runoff generated by 1.900 acres of the campus green landscape and sidewalk areas. The Proposed Overall Drainage Area Plan (Sheet D0104) graphically depicts the drainage sheds and provides detailed information on the types of land cover associated with the drainage areas. The table below shows the reduction of impervious areas for the Development Area:

PROJECT AREA COMPARISON								
		Imper	vious	Pervious				
	Total Area	Paving & Walks (incl. Gravel)	Roof	Open Space	Woods			
Eviation	56.55 Ac	27.03 Ac	8.31 Ac	10.93 Ac	10.28 Ac			
Existing	Subtotal	35.34 Ac		21.21 Ac				
Dropood	56.55 Ac	18.00 Ac	16.02 Ac	16.26 Ac	6.27 Ac			
Floposed	Subtotal	34.02 Ac		22.53 Ac				
Difference -1.32 Ac +1.32 Ac					2 Ac			

Notes:

- 1. Refer to Existing Drainage Area Plan sheet D0103 and the Proposed Drainage Area Plan sheet D0104 prepared by Marathon Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. issued May 27, 2009.
- 2. The areas of the West Quad, which is already constructed, and the Campus Center, which is currently under construction, are not included in the Area of Improvements.

The above table demonstrates that there will be no increase in the impervious areas in the Development Area as indicated on the aforementioned plan. A determination of the net increase in impervious areas as required by the Pinelands Stormwater Management Regulations indicates that there is a <u>decrease</u> in impervious area of 1.32 acres. Therefore, no storage volume will be required for stormwater infiltration facilities. The table below summarizes the peak rates and volumes of runoff generated from the Development Areas in their post developed condition.

Proposed Peak Runoff Flow Rates and Total Volumes

Drainage Shed	Peak Runoff Flow Rate (cfs)			Total Runoff Volume (cf)		
Direction of Discharge	Q_2	Q ₁₀	Q ₁₀₀	V ₂	V ₁₀	V ₁₀₀
North to Lake Fred	51.46	82.86	158.98	208,383	352,583	664,710
South to unnamed tributary	33.59	61.76	123.74	143,116	257,664	521,979
Total to Morse's Mill Stream	85.05	144.63	282.73	351,499	610,247	1,186,690

The above table demonstrates that there will be no increase in the rate or volume of runoff. Therefore, the only stormwater management measures required in Development Area 1 upon full build-out will be the two small landscaped depressions that accept

runoff generated by a portion of the campus green area shown on the Proposed Drainage Area Plan sheet D0104. Soil logs and permeability test results for these two shallow depressions are included in Appendix D.

The self-contained MPRC Shed increases in size to hold a portion (28,000 sf or 0.64 acres) of the footprint of proposed Garage 1. The existing stormwater management system within this shed will remain unchanged and the total volume discharging to it will be slightly decreased. The table below summarizes the runoff volume draining to the system under proposed conditions:

Proposed Runoff Volumes to MPRC System

Drainage Shed	Total Runoff Volume (cf)		
	V ₂	V ₁₀	V ₁₀₀
Total to MPRC System	36,462	62,305	115,953

As shown in tables above, the pre-developed peak runoff flow rate and total volume leaving the site towards Lake Fred to the north and the unnamed tributary to Morse's Mill Stream to the south, or the total combined flow and volume to both locations, does not increase from pre to post-developed conditions. Any minimal change in runoff timing for the two, ten or one-hundred year storms will not increase flood damages at or downstream of the parcel since the total volume leaving the site is decreased.

The decrease in impervious surface and small infiltration depressions proposed for the Stockton Facilities Stormwater Master Plan allows the design to comply with the requirements of the CMP and State Stormwater Management rules. The combined use of non-structural and structural methods are in accordance with the applicable requirements and show no increase in peak runoff flow rates or total volumes leaving the site or towards any wetlands or waterbodies.

It is also important to note that the post-construction runoff volumes were generated by conservatively assuming the landscaped areas proposed will not contain any existing wooded area that will likely remain. Accordingly, if any of the existing wooded area does remain after construction of the campus green area, there will be a reduction in the amount of runoff leaving the site.

DEVELOPMENT AREAS 2 & 3

Development Areas 2 and 3 are identified on the Phase 2 Stormwater Master Plan (Sheet C1401) prepared by Marathon and are the sites of proposed Pomona Community of Learning and the proposed Barlow recreation facilities. The areas front on the southerly side of Pomona Road. The areas drain in the easterly direction towards a tributary of Morse's Mill Stream which discharges into Lake Fred and then into Morse's Mill Stream. For purposes of determining stormwater management compliance, the stormwater analysis assumes that the entire site under its pre-

developed conditions is pervious (woods and open space). The table below shows the pre and post developed cover conditions for the Development Areas:

PROJECT AREA COMPARISON								
		Imper	vious	Pervious				
	Total Area	Paving & Roof Walks (incl. Gravel)		Open Space	Woods			
Eviating	106.30 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	34.85 Ac	71.45 Ac			
Existing	Subtotal	0 Ac		106.30 Ac				
Proposed	106.30 Ac	9.35 Ac	2.6 Ac	84.07 Ac	10.28 Ac			
Fioposed	Subtotal	11.95 Ac		94.35 Ac				

Nonstructural stormwater management strategies at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3 will be implemented to the maximum extent practicable on the project (Refer to Section 13). Low-impact development measures such as vegetative retention swales and rain gardens disconnect and pre-treat stormwater runoff from parking areas and drives. The excess parking area stormwater is conveyed, along with runoff from the buildings and recreation field, and discharged into an open stormwater management basin that is designed to retain and infiltrate the total runoff volume generated from the net increase in impervious surfaces by the ten-year storm. The basin is designed so that the post-construction peak runoff discharge rates for the 10 and 100-year storm events do not exceed 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-construction peak runoff rates. The basin absorbs the entire runoff volume from the two-year storm event. Note that the volume retained in the swales and rain garden areas, which will be designed in detail when the actual field layout is determined in the future, is not required to meet the groundwater recharge standard and are solely intended to pretreat runoff prior to infiltration.

The table below summarizes the peak rates of runoff generated from the Development Areas in their pre and post developed condition, the maximum storage volume and elevation, and the provided 10-year net increase in impervious cover (NIC) volume. Drainage shed modeling of the Development Areas are provided in Appendix C.

DEVELOPMENT AREAS 2 & 3								
		Peak Discharge (CFS)	Allowable Discharge (CFS)	Maximum Storage Volume (CF)	Maximum Storage Elevation (FT)	10-Year NIC Volume (CF)		
	100-Year	77.64						
Existing	10-Year	12.63						
	2-Year	0.98						
	100-Year	59.72	62.11	690,948	50.99			
Proposed	10-Year	8.77	9.47	339,400	49.99	195,075		
	2-Year	0.00	0.49	208,490	49.46			

DEVELOPMENT AREA 4

Development Area 4 is the proposed Housing 1 overlay. The project will replace the existing housing units with new low-rise units within the footprint of the existing buildings and adjacent courtyard. The area sits on the northerly bank of Lake Fred and discharges to that watercourse. Since the area proposed for improvement is previously disturbed and no increase in impervious surface is proposed, no stormwater management measures are required.

DEVELOPMENT AREAS 5 EAST, 5 WEST AND 8

The Development Areas are identified on the Stormwater Plan (Sheet C1402) prepared by Marathon and are the site of the Health & Science campus and proposed administrative buildings. Development Area 8 is situated within the boundary of Development Area 5 East. The areas front on the Jimmie Leeds Road and Vera King Farris Drive and are located on the easterly and northerly sides of the hospital complex. The areas drain towards a tributary of Morse's Mill Stream which discharges downstream of Lake Fred. For purposes of determining stormwater management compliance, the stormwater analysis assumes that the entire site under its predeveloped conditions is wooded. The tables below show the pre and post developed cover conditions for the Development Areas:

PROJECT AREA COMPARISON-5 EAST								
		Imper	vious	Pervi	ous			
Total Area		Paving & Walks (incl. Gravel)	Roof	Open Space	Woods			
Evicting	44.00 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	44.00 Ac			
Existing	Subtotal	0 Ac		AS ravel) Ac <th< td=""></th<>				
Duenceed	44.00 Ac	12.34 Ac	8.40 Ac	23.26 Ac	0 Ac			
Fioposed	Subtotal	20.7	4 Ac	23.26 Ac				

PROJECT AREA COMPARISON-5 WEST								
		Imper	vious	Pervious				
	Total Area	Paving & Walks (incl. Gravel)	Roof	Open Space	Woods			
Existing	35.36 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	35.36 Ac			
Existing	Subtotal	0 /	Ac	35.36	S Ac			
Due in e e e el	35.36 Ac	8.42 Ac	6.26 Ac	20.68 Ac	0 Ac			
Fioposed	Subtotal	14.6	8 Ac	20.68 Ac				

Nonstructural stormwater management strategies at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3 will be implemented to the maximum extent practicable on the project (Refer to Section 13).

Low-impact development measures will be employed such as vegetative retention swales and rain gardens disconnect and pre-treat stormwater runoff from parking areas and drives. The stormwater is conveyed and discharged into one of two open stormwater management basins that are designed to retain and infiltrate the total runoff volume generated from the net increase in impervious surfaces by the ten-year storm. The basin are designed so that the post-construction peak runoff discharge rates for the 10 and 100-year storm events do not exceed 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-construction peak runoff rates. The basins absorb the entire runoff volume from the two-year storm event. Note that the volume retained in the swales and rain garden areas, which will be designed in detail when the actual field layout is determined in the future, is not required to meet the groundwater recharge standard and are solely intended to pretreat runoff prior to infiltration.

The tables below summarize the peak rates of runoff generated from the Development Areas in their pre and post developed condition, the maximum storage volume and elevation, and the provided 10-year net increase in impervious cover (NIC) volume. Drainage shed modeling of the Development Areas are provided in Appendix C.

DEVELOPMENT AREA 5 EAST								
		Peak Discharge (CFS)	Allowable Discharge (CFS)	Maximum Storage Volume (CF)	Maximum Storage Elevation (FT)	10-Year NIC Volume (CF)		
	100-Year	63.79						
Existing	10-Year	21.36						
	2-Year	5.69						
	100-Year	51.05	51.03	616,820	55.55			
Proposed	10-Year	4.64	16.02	436,059	54.87	395,981		
-	2-Year	0.00	2.85	295,529	54.33			

DEVELOPMENT AREA 5 WEST								
		Peak Discharge (CFS)	Allowable Discharge (CFS)	Maximum Storage Volume (CF)	Maximum Storage Elevation (FT)	10-Year NIC Volume (CF)		
	100-Year	45.70						
Existing	10-Year	11.61						
	2-Year	1.76						
Proposed	100-Year	35.85	36.56	426,770	50.33			
	10-Year	3.00	8.71	299,778	49.58	264,621		
	2-Year	0.00	0.88	195,825	48.85			

DEVELOPMENT AREA 6

The Development Area is identified on the Stormwater Plan (Sheet C1401 and C1403) prepared by Marathon and is the site of the proposed Research Park. The Development Area fronts on the northerly side of Duerer Street. The area drains in the northerly direction towards a tributary of Morse's Mill Stream which discharges into Lake Fred and Morse's Mill Stream. For purposes of determining stormwater management compliance, the stormwater analysis assumes that the entire site under its predeveloped conditions is wooded. The table below shows the pre and post developed cover conditions for the Development Area:

PROJECT AREA COMPARISON-6									
		Imper	vious	Pervious					
	Total Area	Paving & Walks (incl. Gravel)	Roof	Open Space	Woods				
Eviating	48.20 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	48.20 Ac				
Existing	Subtotal	0 /	0 Ac 0 Ac 48 0 Ac 48.20 Ac) Ac					
Dranaad	48.20 Ac	16.30 Ac	5.62 Ac	14.21 Ac	12.07 Ac				
Floposeu	Subtotal	21.92	2 Ac	26.28 Ac					

Nonstructural stormwater management strategies at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3 will be implemented to the maximum extent practicable on the project (Refer to Section 13). Low-impact development measures such as vegetative retention swales and rain gardens disconnect and pre-treat stormwater runoff from parking areas and drives. The stormwater is conveyed and discharged into an open stormwater management basin that is designed to retain and infiltrate the total runoff volume generated from the net increase in impervious surfaces by the ten-year storm. The basin is designed so that the post-construction peak runoff discharge rates for the 10 and 100-year storm events do not exceed 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-construction peak runoff rates. The basin absorbs the entire runoff volume from the two-year storm event. Note that the volume retained in the swales and rain garden areas, which will be designed in detail when the actual field layout is determined in the future, is not required to meet the groundwater recharge standard and are solely intended to pretreat runoff prior to infiltration.

The table below summarizes the peak rates of runoff generated from the Development Area in its pre and post developed condition, the maximum storage volume and elevation, and the required 10-year net increase in impervious cover (NIC) volume. Drainage shed modeling of the Development Area is provided in Appendix C.

DEVELOPMENT AREA 6								
		Peak Discharge (CFS)	Allowable Discharge (CFS)	Maximum Storage Volume (CF)	Maximum Storage Elevation (FT)	10-Year NIC Volume (CF)		
	100-Year	32.13						
Existing	10-Year	4.43						
	2-Year	0.25						
	100-Year	24.92	25.70	648,534	53.91			
Proposed	10-Year	2.42	3.32	449,008	53.19	395,981		
	2-Year	0.00	0.12	278,250	52.43			

DEVELOPMENT AREA 7

The Development Area is identified on the Phase 2 Stormwater Master Plan (Sheet C1401) prepared by Marathon and is the site of proposed administrative buildings. The area fronts on the southeast corner of Pomona Road and Duerer Street. The area drains in the easterly direction towards a tributary of Morse's Mill Stream which discharges into Lake Fred and Morse's Mill Stream. For purposes of determining stormwater management compliance, the stormwater analysis assumes that the entire site under its pre-developed conditions is wooded. The table below shows the pre and post developed cover conditions for the Development Area:

PROJECT AREA COMPARISON									
		Imper	vious	Pervious					
	Total Area	Paving & Walks	Roof	Open Space	Woods				
		(incl. Gravel)							
Evicting	36.49 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	36.49 Ac				
Existing	Subtotal	00 Ac		0 Ac 0 Ac 36.49 Ac 00 Ac 36.49 Ac	9 Ac				
Duanaaad	36.49 Ac	3.21 Ac	8.76 Ac	10.00 Ac	14.52 Ac				
Floposed	Subtotal	11.9	7 Ac	24.52 Ac					

Nonstructural stormwater management strategies at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3 will be implemented to the maximum extent practicable on the project (Refer to Section 13). Low-impact development measures such as vegetative retention swales and rain gardens disconnect and pre-treat stormwater runoff from parking areas and drives. The stormwater is conveyed and discharged into an open stormwater management basin that is designed to retain and infiltrate the total runoff volume generated from the net increase in impervious surfaces by the ten-year storm. The basin is designed so that the post-construction peak runoff discharge rates for the 10 and 100-year storm events do not exceed 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-construction peak runoff rates. The basins absorb the entire runoff volume from the two-year storm event. Note

that the volume retained in the swales and rain garden areas, which will be designed in detail when the actual field layout is determined in the future, is not required to meet the groundwater recharge standard and are solely intended to pretreat runoff prior to infiltration.

The table below summarizes the peak rates of runoff generated from the Development Area in their pre and post developed condition, the maximum storage volume and elevation, and the provided 10-year net increase in impervious cover (NIC) volume. Drainage shed modeling of the Development Area is provided in Appendix C.

DEVELOPMENT AREA 7								
		Peak Discharge (CFS)	Allowable Discharge (CFS)	Maximum Storage Volume (CF)	Maximum Storage Elevation (FT)	10-Year NIC Volume (CF)		
	100-Year	44.68						
Existing	10-Year	11.83						
	2-Year	2.02						
	100-Year	35.67	35.74	366,361	54.07			
Proposed	10-Year	2.97	8.87	242,751	53.52	212,335		
	2-Year	0.00	1.01	158,291	53.14			

DEVELOPMENT AREA 9

The Development Area is identified on the Stormwater Plan (Sheet C1400) prepared by Marathon and is the site of the proposed storage facility for Plant Management. The area fronts on Vera King Farris Drive. The project entails construction of two new storage buildings within the area that is currently cleared and covered with a compacted gravel surface. The area drains in the easterly direction towards a tributary of Morse's Mill Stream which discharges downstream of Lake Fred. Since the area proposed for improvement is previously disturbed and no increase in impervious surface is proposed, no stormwater management measures are required

DEVELOPMENT AREA 10

The Development Area is identified on the Stormwater Plan (Sheet C1401 and C1403) prepared by Marathon and is the site of the proposed Research Park Administrative Annex. The Development Area fronts on Jimmie Leeds Road, Insbruck Avenue and Duerer Street. The area drains in the northerly direction towards a tributary of Morse's Mill Stream which discharges into Lake Fred and Morse's Mill Stream. For purposes of determining stormwater management compliance, the stormwater analysis assumes that the entire site under its pre-developed conditions is wooded. The table below show the pre and post developed cover conditions for the Development Area:

PROJECT AREA COMPARISON-10									
		Imper	vious	Pervious					
Total Are		Paving & Walks	Roof	Open Space	Woods				
		(incl. Gravel)							
Evicting	24.35 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	0 Ac	24.35 Ac				
Existing	Subtotal	0 /	Ac	24.35	5 Ac				
Duanaaad	24.35 Ac	0.94 Ac	5.60 Ac	3.52 Ac	14.29 Ac				
Floposed	Subtotal	6.54	Ac	17.81 Ac					

Nonstructural stormwater management strategies at N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3 will be implemented to the maximum extent practicable on the project (Refer to Section 13). Low-impact development measures such as vegetative retention swales and rain gardens disconnect and pre-treat stormwater runoff from parking areas and drives. The stormwater is conveyed and discharged into an open stormwater management basin that is designed to retain and infiltrate the total runoff volume generated from the net increase in impervious surfaces by the ten-year storm. The basin is designed so that the post-construction peak runoff discharge rates for the 10 and 100-year storm events do not exceed 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-construction peak runoff rates. The basins absorb the entire runoff volume from the two-year storm event. Note that the volume retained in the swales and rain garden areas, which will be designed in detail when the actual field layout is determined in the future, is not required to meet the groundwater recharge standard and are solely intended to pretreat runoff prior to infiltration.

The table below summarizes the peak rates of runoff generated from the Development Area in its pre and post developed condition, the maximum storage volume and elevation, and the provided 10-year net increase in impervious cover (NIC) volume. Drainage shed modeling of the Development Area is provided in Appendix C.

DEVELOPMENT AREA 10								
		Peak Discharge (CFS)	Allowable Discharge (CFS)	Maximum Storage Volume (CF)	Maximum Storage Elevation (FT)	10-Year NIC Volume (CF)		
	100-Year	22.87						
Existing	10-Year	7.50						
	2-Year	1.87						
	100-Year	17.81	18.30	275,554	58.13			
Proposed	10-Year	2.54	5.63	165,897	57.53	124,812		
	2-Year	0.00	0.94	111,315	57.22			

8.0 COMPLIANCE WITH GROUNDWATER RECHARGE STANDARD AT N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6iii

For Development Area 1 (the previously developed core academic area), the groundwater recharge standard does not apply since there is a net decrease in impervious surfaces.

For the development areas in existing vacant portions of the site, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6iii, the stormwater runoff volume generated by the ten (10) year twenty-four (24) hour storm from the net increase in impervious surfaces is retained and infiltrated on-site and shown in section 7 above.

The table in Appendix C summarizes the total ten-year runoff volume generated by the site under post-development conditions and the volume infiltrated.

9.0 COMPLIANCE WITH RUNOFF QUANTITY STANDARD AT N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6ii

For the Development Area 1, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6ii(1), the postconstruction runoff hydrographs for the two, 10, and 100-year storm events do not exceed, at any point in time, the pre-construction runoff hydrographs for the same storm events.

For the development areas in existing vacant portions of the site, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6ii(3), the peak post-development stormwater runoff rates for the 2 year, 10 year and 100 year storms do not exceed 50, 75 and 80 percent, respectively, of the peak pre-development stormwater rates for the same storms.

The table in Appendix C summarizes the discharge rates, storage volumes and storage elevation within each basin system for the post-developed conditions under normal operations assuming no depletion of volume due to infiltration:

10.0 COMPLIANCE WITH RUNOFF QUALITY STANDARD AT N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.5

In accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.5, a land development that creates 0.25 acres or more of new or additional impervious surface must include stormwater management measures that reduce the average annual total suspended solids (TSS) load in the site's post-construction runoff by 80%. Since the development in the Master Plan proposes to construct more than 0.25 acres of additional impervious surface, this project must meet the Runoff Water Quality Standards of the NJ Stormwater Regulations.

The infiltration basins are designed to accommodate the full volume of runoff from the water quality storm. Infiltration basins are assigned a TSS removal rate of 80%. The rate

provided is explained in detail in Chapter 9 of the BMP Manual. Additionally, the vegetated conveyance areas and vegetated infiltration areas within the proposed parking lots will provided between 50% and 80% TSS removal prior to discharge to the basins.

11.0 COMPLIANCE WITH INFILTRATION BASIN DESIGN, SITING AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARD AT N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6iv

Stormwater infiltration facilities are designed to provide a minimum separation of at least two feet between the elevation of the lowest point of the bottom of the infiltration facility and the seasonal high water level;

Stormwater infiltration facilities are sited in suitable soils verified by laboratory testing to have permeability rates between one and 20 inches per hour. A factor of safety of two was applied to the soil's permeability rate in determining the infiltration facility's design permeability rate;

Groundwater mounding analysis has been performed to assess the hydraulic impacts of mounding of the water table resulting from infiltration of stormwater runoff from the maximum storm designed for infiltration. Groundwater mounding does not cause stormwater or groundwater to breakout to the land surface or cause adverse impacts to adjacent water bodies, wetlands or subsurface structures, including, but not limited to basements and septic systems;

To the maximum extent practical, stormwater management measures are designed to limit site disturbance, maximize stormwater management efficiencies, maintain or improve aesthetic conditions and incorporate pretreatment as a means of extending the functional life and increasing the pollutant removal capability of structural stormwater management facilities;

The basins are designed to minimize disturbance by avoiding clearing and excavation where possible and maintaining the naturally wooded area to be shallow storage for runoff that will act as a bioretention area for runoff. Aesthetic conditions are maintained in the basin areas by minimizing tree removal and incorporation of functional landscape areas in the parking lots. Those same landscape areas in the parking lots will be pretreatment for the runoff prior to discharge to the stormwater management facilities. They will be low depth vegetated swales and rain gardens designed to accept the first flush of runoff and provide pretreatment of runoff from the parking areas. Any runoff in excess of the pretreatment volume in the landscape areas will be safely conveyed to the basins by a combination of vegetated conveyance areas and inlets and piping that will be designed in detail once the final layout of the respective development areas is determined. To avoid sedimentation that may result in clogging and reduction of infiltration capability and to maintain maximum soil infiltration capacity, the construction of stormwater infiltration basins shall be managed in accordance with the following standards:

- (A) Due to the timelines associated with full build-out of the development areas, the stormwater infiltration basins may be placed into operation prior to the complete stabilization of the upstream drainage areas. Where possible, temporary stormwater management facilities and sediment basins will be utilized upstream of the basins to remove any sedimentation prior to discharge to the facilities. These measures, in conjunction with soil erosion and sediment control measures that will be utilized during construction in accordance with NJ State Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Standards, will ensure no accumulation of sediment will take place within the basins or downstream. Additionally, if possible (where excavation is proposed) the basin's bottom during this period will be constructed at a depth at least two feet higher than its final design elevation. When the drainage area has been completely stabilized, all accumulated sediment shall be removed from the infiltration basin, which shall then be excavated to its final design elevation; and
- (B) To avoid compacting the infiltration basin's subgrade soils, no heavy equipment such as backhoes, dump trucks or bulldozers shall be permitted to operate within the footprint of the stormwater infiltration basin. All excavation required to construct a stormwater infiltration basin shall be performed by equipment placed outside the basin where possible. If equipment is required within the basin footprint, it will be low ground pressure equipment that will not compact the subgrade soils. The soils within the excavated area will be renovated and tilled after construction is completed. Earthwork associated with stormwater infiltration basin construction, including excavation, grading, cutting or filling, shall not be performed when soil moisture content is above the lower plastic limit.

12.0 COMPLIANCE WITH AS-BUILT REQUIREMENT AT N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6v

In accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6v(1), after all construction activities have been completed on the Project Site and finished grade has been established in the infiltration basin, replicate post-development field permeability tests will be conducted to determine if as-built soil permeability rates are consistent with design permeability rates.

If the results of the post-development field permeability tests fail to achieve the minimum required design permeability rate, utilizing a factor of safety of two, the infiltration basin will be renovated and re-tested until such minimum required permeability rates are achieved; and

In accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6v(2), After all construction activities and required field testing have been completed on the Project Site, as-built plans, including as-built elevations of all stormwater management measures will be prepared to verify sufficient volume exists within the basin(s) to meet the design requirements outlined herein.

13.0 CONFORMANCE WITH NONSTRUCTURAL MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES AT N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3

In accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.2(a), nonstructural stormwater management strategies are incorporated into the site design of the development. A total of nine strategies are used to the maximum extent practicable to meet the groundwater recharge, stormwater quality, and stormwater quantity requirements prior to utilizing structural stormwater management measures. Nonstructural stormwater management strategies incorporated into the site design include:

- 1. Protecting wetland areas and other environmentally sensitive areas by inclusion of three hundred feet buffer;
- 2. Minimizing impervious surfaces by reducing cartway widths and parking stall dimensions and breaking up or disconnecting the flow of runoff from parking areas, drives and roadways by incorporating small-scale distributed vegetative swales and rain gardens;
- 3. Protecting and preserving natural drainage features and vegetation to slow runoff, filter out pollutants and facilitate infiltration;
- 4. Minimizing the decrease in the "time of concentration" from pre-construction to post-construction through grading to encourage sheet flow and to lengthen flow paths.
- 5. Minimizing land disturbance by limiting clearing and grading to the areas to be developed and protecting vegetation to remain.
- 6. Minimizing soil compaction by limiting same to cartway, parking and building footprint areas.
- 7. Providing low-maintenance landscaping that encourages retention and planting of native vegetation and minimizing the use of lawns, fertilizers and pesticides;
- 8. Providing vegetated open-channel conveyance systems discharging into and through stable vegetated areas to help filter runoff and encourage recharge; and
- 9. Providing other source controls to prevent or minimize the use or exposure of pollutants at the site in order to prevent or minimize the release of those pollutants into stormwater runoff. These source controls include, but are not limited to:
 - i. Preventing the accumulation of trash and debris in drainage systems;
 - ii. Preventing the discharge of trash and debris from drainage systems;
 - iii. Applying fertilizer in accordance with the requirements established under the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Act, N.J.S.A. 4:24-39 et seq., and implementing rules.

The New Jersey Nonstructural Stormwater Management Strategies Point System (NSPS) Worksheet will be prepared for each individual project described herein at the time the actual development plans are made. The NSPS Worksheet provides a tool in determining that the strategies have been used to the "maximum extent practicable" at a major development as required by the Rules. If the NSPS demonstrates that sufficient nonstructural stormwater management measures have been utilized at the project, no further proof of compliance with the maximum extent practicable requirement shall be required. However, if the NSPS fails to demonstrate such compliance, such results shall not be used to disapprove any permit application sought by the proposed development. Instead, the College will be required to demonstrate compliance through other and/or additional means. This includes the Low Impact Development (LID) Checklist contained in Appendix A of the New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual, which includes a rigorous alternatives analysis for each measure.

14.0 CONFORMANCE WITH LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT STANDARD IN CHAPTER 2 OF THE NEW JERSEY STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES MANUAL

The rules emphasize the employment of effective alternatives to conventional centralized stormwater management strategy. Strategies have been developed to minimize and prevent adverse stormwater runoff impacts from occurring and to provide necessary treatment closer to the origin of those impacts. Such strategies, known as Low Impact Development or LID, seek to reduce and/or prevent adverse runoff impacts through sound site planning and both nonstructural and structural techniques that preserve or closely mimic the natural or pre-developed hydrologic response to precipitation. Low impact development is a comprehensive technology-based approach to managing stormwater. Stormwater is managed in small, cost-effective landscape features rather than being conveyed and entirely managed in large pond facilities located at the bottom of drainage areas. Low impact development techniques interact with the rainfall-runoff process, controlling stormwater runoff and pollutants closer to the source and providing site design measures that can significantly reduce the overall impact of land development on stormwater runoff.

Effective low impact development includes the use of both nonstructural and structural stormwater management measures that are a division of a larger group of practices and facilities known as Best Management Practices or BMPs. The BMPs utilized in low impact development, known as Integrated Management Practices or IMPs, focus first on minimizing both the quantitative and qualitative changes to a site's predeveloped hydrology through nonstructural practices and then providing treatment as necessary through a network of structural facilities distributed throughout the site.

The primary goal of Low Impact Development methods is to mimic the predevelopment site hydrology by using site design techniques that store, infiltrate, evaporate, and detain runoff. Use of these techniques helps to reduce off-site runoff and ensure adequate groundwater recharge. The objective of low-impact development is accomplished by:

- 1. Minimizing stormwater impacts to the extent practicable. Techniques include reducing imperviousness, conserving natural resources and ecosystems, maintaining natural drainage courses, reducing use of pipes, and minimizing clearing and grading.
- 2. Providing runoff storage measures dispersed uniformly throughout a site landscape with the use of a variety of detention, retention, and runoff practices.
- 3. Maintaining predevelopment time of concentration by strategically routing flows to maintain travel time and control the discharge.

Low-impact development technology employs integrated management practices to achieve desired post development hydrologic conditions. Management practices that are suited to low-impact development and will be incorporated into the development include:

Bioretention - Bioretention is a practice to manage and treat stormwater runoff by using a conditioned planting soil bed and planting materials to filter runoff stored within a shallow depression. The method combines physical filtering and adsorption with biological processes. The system can include the following components: a pretreatment filter strip of grass channel inlet area, a shallow surface water ponding area, a vegetative planting area, a soil zone, an underdrain system, and an overflow outlet structure.

Dry Wells - A dry well consists of a small excavated pit backfilled with stone aggregate. Dry wells function as infiltration systems used to control runoff from building rooftops. Another special application of dry wells is modified catch basins, where inflow is a form of direct surface runoff. Dry wells provide the majority of treatment by processes related to soil infiltration, including adsorption, trapping, filtering, and bacterial degradation.

Filter Strips - Filter strips are typically bands of close-growing vegetation, usually grass, planted between pollutant source areas and a downstream receiving waterbody. They also can be used as outlet or pretreatment devices for other stormwater control

practices. For LID sites, a filter strip should be viewed as only one component in a stormwater management system.

Vegetated Buffers - Vegetated buffers are strips of vegetation, either natural or planted, around sensitive areas such as waterbodies, wetlands, woodlands, or highly erodible soils. In addition to protecting sensitive areas, vegetated strips help to reduce stormwater runoff impacts by trapping sediment and sediment-bound pollutants, providing some infiltration, and slowing and dispersing stormwater flows over a wide area.

Level Spreaders - A level spreader typically is an outlet designed to convert concentrated runoff to sheet flow and disperse it uniformly across a slope to prevent erosion. One type of level spreader is a shallow trench filled with crushed stone. The lower edge of the level spreader must be exactly level if the spreader is to work properly.

Grassed Swales - Swales are simple drainage and grassed channels that primarily served to transport stormwater runoff away from roadways and rights-of-way. Two types of grassed swales are being used for this purpose: the dry swale, which provides both quantity (volume) and quality control by facilitating stormwater infiltration, and the wet swale, which uses residence time and natural growth to reduce peak discharge and provide water quality treatment before discharge to a downstream location. The wet swale typically has water tolerant vegetation permanently growing in the retained body of water. These systems are often used on roadway designs.

Cisterns - Stormwater runoff cisterns are roof water management devices that provide retention storage volume in underground storage tanks. On-site storage with later reuse of stormwater also provides an opportunity for water conservation and the possibility of reducing water utility costs.

Infiltration Trenches - An infiltration trench is an excavated trench that has been backfilled with stone to form a subsurface basin. Stormwater runoff is diverted into the trench and is stored until it can be infiltrated into the soil, usually over a period of a few days. Infiltration trenches are very adaptable IMPs, and the availability of many practical configurations make them ideal for small urban drainage areas. They are most effective and have a longer life cycle when some form of pretreatment is included in their design. Pretreatment may include techniques like vegetated filter strips or grassed swales. Care must be taken to avoid clogging of infiltration trenches, especially during site construction activities.

15.0 CONFORMANCE WITH SOIL EROSION CONTROL STANDARD AT N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.4(a)1

The development of each project will comply with the minimum design and performance standards for erosion control established under the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Act, N.J.S.A. 4:24-39 et seq. and implementing rules. Each project will be submitted to the Cape Atlantic Conservation District for certification of a Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan prior to commencement of construction.

16.0 CONCLUSION

This Stormwater Compliance Report demonstrates that Stockton's goal to provide the most environmentally responsible and cost-effective stormwater management system for the development proposed within the Master Plan can be met with low impact techniques that provide both functional and aesthetic benefits. The different development areas, and their associated drainage patterns and underlying soil conditions, provide opportunity for the use of different stormwater management techniques and features to address the applicable Stormwater Management Regulations of the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan. The general theme of the development approach is low impact techniques relying on functional landscaping elements and naturally wooded areas to treat and attenuate runoff prior to discharge downstream. This approach ensures existing drainage patterns and intensities are maintained so there are no negative impacts to downstream wetland buffers, wetlands, waterways and waterbodies.

As described above, the stormwater management and collection systems are designed in accordance with applicable state regulations and requirements. The stormwater management and collection systems are designed to accommodate the required design storms and to provide groundwater recharge, runoff control, and water quality measures as outlined in N.J.A.C. 7:8 and the Pinelands CMP N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6.

APPENDIX A

Existing and Proposed Conditions

THE RICHARD STOCKTON COLLEGE OF NEW JERSEY STORMWATER MASTER PLAN AREAS

PROPOSED CONDITION

leadow				00.00			0.00					00.0						0.00					0.00			0.00			0.00
Woods N	0.43	9.85		10.28			0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00				12.07	12.07	0.69	13.83	14.52			0.00
Open Space		50.00	34.07	84.07		000	0.00	2.83	8.35	9.50	2.58	23.26	1.05	9.45	6.04	2.90	1.24	20.68	6.15	5.46	2.60		14.21		10.00	10.00	2.22	4.85	7.07
TOTAL Pervious	0.43	59.85	34.07	94.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.83	8.35	9.50	2.58	23.26	1.05	9.45	6.04	2.90	1.24	20.68	6.15	5.46	2.60	12.07	26.28	0.69	23.83	24.52	2.22	4.85	7.07
Parking pervious			4.33	4.33																									
Parking npervious			3.37	3.37			0.00	1.39	3.74	1.08	2.53	8.74			5.18			5.18			16.30		16.30		8.76	8.76	2.80	2.80	5.60
Roadway Ir			1.65	1.65			0.00	0.00	3.60	0.00	00.0	3.60			3.24			3.24					0.00			0.00			0.00
Building			2.60	2.60	3.77		3.77	1.03	3.47	1.61	2.29	8.40			6.26			6.26			5.62		5.62		3.21	3.21	0.47	0.47	0.94
TOTAL Impervious	0.00	0.00	11.95	11.95	3.77	0.00	3.77	2.42	10.81	2.69	4.82	20.74	0.00	0.00	14.68	0.00	0.00	14.68	0.00	0.00	21.92	0.00	21.92	0.00	11.97	11.97	3.27	3.27	6.54
TOTAL AREA	0.43	59.85	46.02	106.30	 3.77	0.00	3.77	5.25	19.16	12.19	7.40	44.00	1.05	9.45	20.72	2.90	1.24	35.36	6.15	5.46	24.52	12.07	48.20	0.69	35.80	36.49	5.49	8.12	13.61
HSG	۵	ш	A	TOTAL		TOT A	IOIAL	ш		U	υ	TOTAL	۵	ш	в	A	U	TOTAL	В	A	A	ပ	TOTAL	۵	В	TOTAL	В	ပ	TOTAL
Soil Type	AtsA	DocB	GamB					SacA	DocB	MbtB	WoeA		AtsA	AugB	DocB	GamkB	HboA		DocB	EveB	GamB	WoeA		AtsA	HboA		SacA	WoeA	
AREA	2&3				4			5-E	1				5-W						9					7			10		

THE RICHARD STOCKTON COLLEGE OF NEW JERSEY STORMWATER MASTER PLAN AREAS

EXISTING CONDITION

	 - (()	TOTAL	TOTAL				TOTAL	Open		
AREA	Soil Type	HSG	AREA	Impervious	Building	коадway	Parking	Pervious	space	SD00 VV	IVIEADOW
2 & 3	AtsA	۵	0.43	0.00				0.43		0.43	
	DocB	ш	59.85	00.0				59.85	34.85	25.00	
	GamB	A	46.02	0.00				46.02		46.02	
		TOTAL	106.30	00.0	0.00	00.0	0.00	106.30	34.85	71.45	00.00
4			3.26	3.26	2.53		0.73	00.0			
			00.00	00.00				00.00			
		TOTAL	3.26	3.26	2.53	00'0	0.73	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00
ъ-Е	SacA	в	5.25	00.0				5.25		5.25	
	DocB	ш	19.16	00.0				19.16		19.16	
	MbtB	ပ	12.19	00.0				12.19		12.19	
	WoeA	U	7.40	0.00				7.40		7.40	
		TOTAL	44.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.00	44.00	00.0	44.00	0.00
5-W	AtsA	Δ	1.05	00.00				1.05		1.05	
	AugB	ш	9.45	00.0				9.45		9.45	
	DocB	ш	20.72	00.0				20.72		20.72	
	GamkB	A	2.90	00.00				2.90		2.90	
	HboA	ပ	1.24	00.0				1.24		1.24	
		TOTAL	35.36	00.00	00.0	00.0	0.00	35.36	0.00	35.36	00.00
9	DocB	в	6.15	0.00				6.15		6.15	
	EveB	A	5.46	00.00				5.46		5.46	
	GamB	A	23.47	00.00				23.47		23.47	
	WoeA	ပ	13.12	00.00				13.12		13.12	
		TOTAL	48.20	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.00	48.20	00.0	48.20	0.00
7	AtsA	D	0.69	00.00				0.69		0.69	
	HboA	В	35.80					35.80		35.80	
		TOTAL	36.49	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.00	36.49	00.0	0.69	00.0
10	SacA	в	5.49	00.0				5.49		5.49	
	WoeA	ပ	8.12	00.00				8.12		8.12	
		TOTAL	13.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.61	00.0	13.61	0.00

<u>APPENDIX B</u>

Stormwater Management Basin Volumes

THE RICHARD STOCKTON COLLEGE OF NEW JERSEY PHASE 2 STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

BASIN VOLUMES

	Elevation	Area	Incremental Volume	Cumulative Volume	
Area 2	52	378 731	369 565	1 063 693	
	51	360 300	351 311	60/ 128	
	50	342 222	248 747	342 817	
	40.5	242,222	101 005	105 075	Drimony Spillway
	49.5	240,747	89 502	04.070	Filliary Spillway
	49	100,272	00,J9Z	94,070	
	48	21,912	5,478	5,478	
	47.5	0	0	0	
Area 5 East	56	269,340	266,306	736,533	
	55	263,271	260,265	470,228	
	54.7	261,467	181,554	391,517	Primary Spillway
	54	257,259	162,737	209,963	
	53	68,215	40,667	47,226	
	52	13,118	6,559	6,559	
	51	0	0	0	
Area 5 West	51	192 920	189 421	553 991	
	50	185 921	153 689	364 570	
	19.1	147 243	53 7/0	264 621	Primary Spillway
	49.4	197,243	102.267	204,021	Finnary Spinway
	49	02 077	69 476	210,001	
	40	63,077 52,975	24 702	100,014	
	47	55,675 45 520	34,703	40,130	
	46	15,530	5,436	5,436	
	45.3	0	0	0	
Area 6	55	345,659	323,499	996,424	
	54	301.338	276,944	672,925	
	53	252,550	208.009	395,981	Primary Spillway
	52	163,467	126.834	187,973	5 1 5
	51	90.200	53,120	61,139	
	50	16,039	8.020	8.020	
	49	0	0	0	
Aroa 7	55	271 840	267 511	615 270	
Alea I	53	271,049	207,511	247 769	
	52 A	203,172	79 156	205 116	Drimon, Spillwov
	53.4	212,333	116 179	205,110	Filliary Spillway
	55	170,444	10,170	120,900	
	52	53,912	10,782	10,782	
	51.6	0	0	0	
Area 10	59	187,833	184,934	435,872	
	58	182,035	179,164	250,938	
	57.3	178,015	53,146	124,920	Primary Spillway
	57	176,292	71,774	71,774	- · · ·
	56.5	110,805	0	0	

APPENDIX C

Pre and Post-Developed 2, 10, 50, and 100-Year Storm Runoff Calculations

THE RICHARD STOCKTON COLLEGE OF NEW JERSEY PHASE 2 STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

RSC 011.01 Page 1 of 2

DEVELOPMENT AREA	2 & 3	5 East	5 West	9	7	10
Drainage Shed Area (Acres) Impervious Cover (Acres)	106.30 11.95	44.00 20.74	35.36 14.68	48.20 21.92	36.49 11.97	13.61 6.54
BASIN DATA						
Top of Berm Elevation	52.00	56.60	51.30	55.00	55.00	59.10
Bottom of Basin Elevation	47.20	51.00	45.30	49.00	51.60	56.50
Height to Top of Berm(Feet)	4.80	5.60	6.00	6.00	3.40	2.60
Pond Depth to Spillway (Feet)	2.40	3.70	4.10	4.00	1.80	0.80
Emergency Spillway Width (Feet)	40	40	40	40	40	40
Emergency Spillway Elevation	51.00	55.60	50.30	54.00	54.00	58.10
Primary Spillway Width (Feet)	14	25	15	11	25	6
Primary Spillway Elevation	49.60	54.70	49.40	53.00	53.40	57.30
Discharge Velocity (CFS) (100 Yr. Storm)	2.53	2.14	2.14	1.96	1.90	1.79

THE RICHARD STOCKTON COLLEGE OF NEW JERSEY PHASE 2 STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

RSC 011.01 Page 2 of 2

DEVELOPMENT AREA	2&3	5 East	5 West	9	7	10
POST DEVELOPED						
Required 10 Year NIC Volume (CF) Provided 10 Year NIC Volume (CF)	175,409 195,075	371,271 395,981	262,790 264,621	392,395 395,981	202,165 205,116	117,074 124,812
100 Year Design Storm Peak Discharge (CFS) Max. Storage Volume (CF) Max. Storage Elevation	59.72 690,948 50.99	51.05 616,820 55.55	35.85 426,770 50.33	24.92 648,534 53.91	35.67 366,361 54.07	17.81 275,554 58.13
10 Year Design Storm Peak Discharge (CFS) Max. Storage Volume (CF) Max. Storage Elevation	8.77 339,400 49.99	4.64 436,059 54.87	3.00 299,778 49.58	2.42 449,008 53.19	2.97 242,751 53.52	2.54 165,897 57.53
2 Year Design Storm Peak Discharge (CFS) Max. Storage Volume (CF) Max. Storage Elevation	0.00 208,490 49.46	0.00 295,529 54.33	0.00 195,825 48.85	0.00 278,250 52.43	0.00 158,291 53.14	0.00 111,315 57.22
PREDEVELOPED						
100 Year Design Storm Peak Discharge (CFS) Allowable Discharge (CFS)	77.64 62.11	63.79 51.03	45.70 36.56	32.13 25.70	44.68 35.74	22.87 18.30
10 Year Design Storm Peak Discharge (CFS) Allowable Discharge (CFS)	12.63 9.47	21.36 16.02	11.61 8.71	4.43 3.32	11.83 8.87	7.50 5.63
2 Year Design Storm Peak Discharge (CFS) Allowable Discharge (CFS)	0.98 0.49	5.69 2.85	1.76 0.88	0.25 0.12	2.02 1.01	1.87 0.94



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	7.597	2	742	76,285				Post Developed Area 2 & 3_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	32.66	2	726	132,206				NIC
3	Combine	33.76	2	726	208,490	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	n/a	0	3	49.46	208,490	Area 2 & 3 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	0.974	2	942	27,390				Pre Developed Area 2 & 3

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 2 & 3_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 7.597 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 742 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 76,285 cuft
Drainage area	= 94.350 ac	Curve number	= 53*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (0.430 x 77) + (50.000 x 61) + (9.850 x 55) + (34.070 x 39)] / 94.350



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type= SCS RunoffStorm frequency= 2 yrsTime interval= 2 minDrainage area= 11.950 acBasin Slope= 0.0 %Tc method= USERTotal precip.= 3.30 inStorm duration= 24 hrs	Peak discharge Time to peak Hyd. volume Curve number Hydraulic length Time of conc. (Tc) Distribution Shape factor		32.66 cfs 726 min 132,206 cuft 98* 0 ft 6.00 min Type III 285
--	---	--	--

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(2.600 x 98) + (1.650 x 98) + (3.370 x 98) + (4.330 x 98)] / 11.950



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	=	33.76 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	=	726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	=	208,490 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	=	106.300 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 2 & 3 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= n/a
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 49.46 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 2 & 3	Max. Storage	= 208,490 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Pond No. 1 - Area 2 & 3

Pond Data

Contours - User-defined contour areas. Average end area method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 47.50 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (f	ť)	Contour a	rea (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total sto	rage (cuft)			
0.00	47.50		00		0		0			
0.50	48.00		21,912		5,478	5,	478			
1.50	49.00		155,272		88,592	94,	070			
2.50	50.00		342,222		248,747	342,	817			
3.50	51.00		360,399		351,311	694,	128			
4.50	52.00		378,731		369,566	1,063,	693			
Culvert / Ori	fice Structure	es			Weir Structu	ires				
	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	
Rise (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest Len (ft)	= 14.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Span (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 49.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	
No. Barrels	= 0	0	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 2.60	3.33	3.33	3.33	
Invert El. (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Weir Type	= Broad				
Length (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= No	No	No	No	
Slope (%)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	n/a						
N-Value	= .013	.013	.013	n/a						
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.000 (by	/ Wet area)			
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00				

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 2 & 3

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.974 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 942 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 27,390 cuft
Drainage area	= 106.300 ac	Curve number	= 46*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 53.30 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.430 x 77) + (25.000 x 55) + (46.020 x 30) + (34.850 x 61)] / 106.300



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	68.81	2	728	324,984				Post Developed Area 2 & 3 Perv
2	SCS Runoff	51.85	2	726	213,919				NIC
3	Combine	118.88	2	726	538,904	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	8.765	2	930	295,563	3	49.99	339,400	Area 2 & 3 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	12.63	2	798	213,634				Pre Developed Area 2 & 3
Aro			1		Poturn P	eriod: 10 V	í íoar	Wednesday	/ Jup 16, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 2 & 3_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 68.81 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 728 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 324,984 cuft
Drainage area	= 94.350 ac	Curve number	= 53*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (0.430 x 77) + (50.000 x 61) + (9.850 x 55) + (34.070 x 39)] / 94.350



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type Storm frequency Time interval Drainage area Basin Slope Tc method Total precip. Storm duration	 SCS Runoff 10 yrs 2 min 11.950 ac 0.0 % USER 5.20 in 24 hrs 	Peak discharge Time to peak Hyd. volume Curve number Hydraulic length Time of conc. (Tc) Distribution Shape factor	 = 51.85 cfs = 726 min = 213,919 cuft = 98* = 0 ft = 6.00 min = Type III = 285
---	--	---	--

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(2.600 x 98) + (1.650 x 98) + (3.370 x 98) + (4.330 x 98)] / 11.950



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

CIS
1
- cuft
) ac
)



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 2 & 3 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 8.765 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 930 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 295,563 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 49.99 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 2 & 3	Max. Storage	= 339,400 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 2 & 3

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 12.63 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 798 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 213,634 cuft
Drainage area	= 106.300 ac	Curve number	= 46*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 53.30 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.430 x 77) + (25.000 x 55) + (46.020 x 30) + (34.850 x 61)] / 106.300



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	287.99	2	726	1,080,606				Post Developed Area 2 & 3_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	89.07	2	726	373,268				NIC
3	Combine	377.06	2	726	1,453,873	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	59.72	2	768	1,210,534	3	50.99	690,948	Area 2 & 3 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	77.64	2	772	899,930				Pre Developed Area 2 & 3
Area 2.gpw			Return P	eriod: 100	Year	Wednesday	y, Jun 16, 2010		

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 2 & 3_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 287.99 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,080,606 cuft
Drainage area	= 94.350 ac	Curve number	= 53*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (0.430 x 77) + (50.000 x 61) + (9.850 x 55) + (34.070 x 39)] / 94.350



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type Storm frequency Time interval Drainage area Basin Slope Tc method Total precip. Storm duration	 SCS Runoff 100 yrs 2 min 11.950 ac 0.0 % USER 8.90 in 24 hrs 	Peak discharge Time to peak Hyd. volume Curve number Hydraulic length Time of conc. (Tc) Distribution Shape factor	 = 89.07 cfs = 726 min = 373,268 cuft = 98* = 0 ft = 6.00 min = Type III = 285
---	---	---	--

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(2.600 x 98) + (1.650 x 98) + (3.370 x 98) + (4.330 x 98)] / 11.950



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 377.06 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,453,873 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 106.300 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 2 & 3 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 59.72 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 768 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,210,534 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 50.99 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 2 & 3	Max. Storage	= 690,948 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 2 & 3

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 77.64 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 772 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 899,930 cuft
Drainage area	= 106.300 ac	Curve number	= 46*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 53.30 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(0.430 x 77) + (25.000 x 55) + (46.020 x 30) + (34.850 x 61)] / 106.300



Watershed Model Schematic



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Pond No. 1 - Area 5 East

Pond Data

Contours - User-defined contour areas. Average end area method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 51.00 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft) Contou		Contour a	rea (sqft) Incr. Storage (cuft)		Total sto	rage (cuft)			
0.00	51.00		00		0		0			
1.00	52.00		13,118		6,559	6,	6,559			
2.00	53.00		68,215		40,667	47,	47,226			
3.00	54.00		257,259		162,737	209,	209,963			
4.00	55.00		263,271		260,265	470,	470,228			
5.00	56.00		269,340		266,306	736,	533			
Culvert / Ori	fice Structure	s			Weir Structu	ires				
	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	
Rise (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest Len (ft)	= 25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Span (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 54.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	
No. Barrels	= 0	0	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 2.60	3.33	3.33	3.33	
Invert El. (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Weir Type	= Broad				
Length (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= No	No	No	No	
Slope (%)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	n/a						
N-Value	= .013	.013	.013	n/a						
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.000 (by Wet area)				
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00				

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Tuesday, Jun 29, 2010

Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	15.72	2	726	66,078				Post Developed Area 5_East_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	56.69	2	726	229,451				NIC
3	Combine	72.41	2	726	295,529	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	n/a	0	3	54.33	295,529	Area 5 East Basin
5	SCS Runoff	5.690	2	788	83,780				Pre Developed Area 5_East

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 5_East_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 15.72 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 66,078 cuft
Basin Slope Tc method	= 23.260 ac = 0.0 % = USER	Hydraulic length Time of conc. (Tc)	$= 68^{\circ}$ = 0 ft = 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(2.830 x 61) + (8.350 x 61) + (9.500 x 74) + (2.580 x 74)] / 23.260



Tuesday, Jun 29, 2010
Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type =	SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 56.69 cfs
Storm requericy –	z yis	тіпе ю реак	- 720 11111
Time interval =	2 min	Hyd. volume	= 229,451 cuft
Drainage area =	20.740 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope =	0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method =	USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip. =	3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration =	24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 72.41 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 295,529 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 44.000 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 5 East Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= n/a
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 54.33 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 5 East	Max. Storage	= 295,529 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 5_East

Hydrograph type Storm frequency Time interval Drainage area Basin Slope Tc method Total precip. Storm duration	 = SCS Runoff = 2 yrs = 2 min = 44.000 ac = 0.0 % = TR55 = 3.30 in = 24 hrs 	Peak discharge Time to peak Hyd. volume Curve number Hydraulic length Time of conc. (Tc) Distribution Shape factor	 = 5.690 cfs = 788 min = 83,780 cuft = 62* = 0 ft = 54.30 min = Type III = 285
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(24.400 x 55) + (19.600 x 70)] / 44.000



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	45.87	2	726	169,747				Post Developed Area 5_East_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	89.99	2	726	371,271				NIC
3	Combine	135.87	2	726	541,018	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	4.638	2	988	148,858	3	54.87	436,059	Area 5 East Basin
5	SCS Runoff	21.36	2	774	249,730				Pre Developed Area 5_East

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 5_East_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 45.87 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 169,747 cuft
Drainage area	= 23.260 ac	Curve number	= 68*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(2.830 x 61) + (8.350 x 61) + (9.500 x 74) + (2.580 x 74)] / 23.260



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 89.99 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 371,271 cuft
Drainage area	= 20.740 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Šlope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 135.87 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 541,018 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 44.000 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 5 East Basin

4.638 cfs
988 min
148,858 cuft
54.87 ft
436,059 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 5_East

10 yrs 2 min 44.000 ac 0.0 % TR55 5.20 in 24 hrs	Time to peak = Hyd. volume = Curve number = Hydraulic length = Time of conc. (Tc) = Distribution = Shape factor =	 774 min 249,730 cuft 62* 0 ft 54.30 min Type III 285
24 hrs	Shape factor =	= 285
	10 yrs 2 min 44.000 ac 0.0 % TR55 5.20 in 24 hrs	10 yrsTime to peak2 minHyd. volume44.000 acCurve number0.0 %Hydraulic lengthTR55Time of conc. (Tc)5.20 inDistribution24 hrsShape factor

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(24.400 x 55) + (19.600 x 70)] / 44.000



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	116.39	2	726	419,626				Post Developed Area 5_East_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	154.58	2	726	647,831				NIC
3	Combine	270.98	2	726	1,067,456	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	51.05	2	756	675,296	3	55.55	616,820	Area 5 East Basin
5	SCS Runoff	63.79	2	768	681,580				Pre Developed Area 5_East
	a 5 East and				Return D	eriod: 100	Year	Tuesday	un 29, 2010
	a o_casi.ypw				T COUTTIE	51150. 100		i ucouay, J	an 20, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 5_East_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 116.39 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 419,626 cuft
Drainage area	= 23.260 ac	Curve number	= 68*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(2.830 x 61) + (8.350 x 61) + (9.500 x 74) + (2.580 x 74)] / 23.260



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 154.58 cfs
100 yrs	Time to peak states and states an	= 726 min
2 min	Hyd. volume	= 647,831 cuft
20.740 ac	Curve number	= 98
0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285
	SCS Runoff 100 yrs 2 min 20.740 ac 0.0 % USER 8.90 in 24 hrs	SCS RunoffPeak discharge100 yrsTime to peak2 minHyd. volume20.740 acCurve number0.0 %Hydraulic lengthUSERTime of conc. (Tc)8.90 inDistribution24 hrsShape factor



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 270.98 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,067,456 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 44.000 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 5 East Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 51.05 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 756 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 675,296 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 55.55 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 5 East	Max. Storage	= 616,820 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 5_East

Hydrograph type	 SCS Runoff 100 yrs 2 min 44.000 ac 0.0 % TR55 8.90 in 24 hrs 	Peak discharge	= 63.79 cfs
Storm frequency		Time to peak	= 768 min
Time interval		Hyd. volume	= 681,580 cuft
Drainage area		Curve number	= 62*
Basin Slope		Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method		Time of conc. (Tc)	= 54.30 min
Total precip.		Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration		Shape factor	= 285
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 19pe m = 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(24.400 x 55) + (19.600 x 70)] / 44.000





Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Pond No. 1 - Area 5 West

Pond Data

Contours - User-defined contour areas. Average end area method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 45.30 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)
0.00	45.30	00	0	0
0.70	46.00	15,530	5,436	5,436
1.70	47.00	53,875	34,703	40,138
2.70	48.00	83,077	68,476	108,614
3.70	49.00	121,457	102,267	210,881
4.70	50.00	185,921	153,689	364,570
5.70	51.00	192,920	189,421	553,991

Culvert / Orifice Structures

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest Len (ft)	= 15.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
Span (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 49.40	50.30	0.00	0.00
No. Barrels	= 1	0	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 2.60	2.60	2.60	3.33
Invert El. (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Weir Type	= Broad	Broad	Broad	
Length (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= No	No	No	No
Slope (%)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	n/a					
N-Value	= .013	.013	.013	n/a					
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.000 (by	Wet area)		
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00			

Weir Structures

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	5.722	2	732	33,417				Post Developed Area 5_West_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	40.13	2	726	162,408				NIC
3	Combine	45.20	2	726	195,825	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	n/a	0	3	48.85	195,825	Area 5 West Basin
5	SCS Runoff	1.762	2	798	32,362				Pre Developed Area 5_West
Are	a 5_vvest.gpv	V			Return P	eriod: 2 Ye	ear	📋 Thursday, 🕻	Jul 1, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 5_West_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 5.722 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 732 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 33,417 cuft
Drainage area	= 20.680 ac	Curve number	= 60*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.050 x 80) + (9.450 x 61) + (6.040 x 61) + (2.900 x 39) + (1.240 x 74)] / 20.680



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 40.13 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 162,408 cuft
Drainage area	= 14.680 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 45.20 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 195,825 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 35.360 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 5 West Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= n/a
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 48.85 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 5 West	Max. Storage	= 195,825 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 5_West

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 1.762 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 798 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 32,362 cuft
Drainage area	= 35.360 ac	Curve number	= 54*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 42.30 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.050 x 77) + (9.450 x 55) + (20.720 x 55) + (2.900 x 30) + (1.240 x 55)] / 35.360



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	26.44	2	726	105,878				Post Developed Area 5_West_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	63.70	2	726	262,790				NIC
3	Combine	90.14	2	726	368,668	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	3.001	2	1014	96,299	3	49.58	299,778	Area 5 West Basin
5	SCS Runoff	11.61	2	768	130,873				Pre Developed Area 5_West
Are	a 5_West.gpv	ν			Return P	eriod: 10 Y	Year	Thursday,	Jul 1, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 5_West_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 26.44 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 105,878 cuft
Drainage area	= 20.680 ac	Curve number	= 60*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.050 x 80) + (9.450 x 61) + (6.040 x 61) + (2.900 x 39) + (1.240 x 74)] / 20.680



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 63.70 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 262,790 cuft
Drainage area	= 14.680 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 90.14 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 368,668 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 35.360 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 5 West Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 3.001 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 1014 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 96,299 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 49.58 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 5 West	Max. Storage	= 299,778 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 5_West

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 11.61 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 768 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 130,873 cuft
Drainage area	= 35.360 ac	Curve number	= 54*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 42.30 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.050 x 77) + (9.450 x 55) + (20.720 x 55) + (2.900 x 30) + (1.240 x 55)] / 35.360



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	82.49	2	726	300,057				Post Developed Area 5_West_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	109.41	2	726	458,541				NIC
3	Combine	191.90	2	726	758,598	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	35.85	2	756	486,229	3	50.33	426,770	Area 5 West Basin
5	SCS Runoff	45.70	2	762	423,903				Pre Developed Area 5_West
Are	a 5 West.gov				Return P	eriod: 100	Year	Thursday.	Jul 1, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 5_West_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 82.49 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 300,057 cuft
Drainage area	= 20.680 ac	Curve number	= 60*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.050 x 80) + (9.450 x 61) + (6.040 x 61) + (2.900 x 39) + (1.240 x 74)] / 20.680



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 109.41 cfs
= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 458,541 cuft
= 14.680 ac	Curve number	= 98
= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285
	 SCS Runoff 100 yrs 2 min 14.680 ac 0.0 % USER 8.90 in 24 hrs 	= SCS RunoffPeak discharge= 100 yrsTime to peak= 2 minHyd. volume= 14.680 acCurve number= 0.0 %Hydraulic length= USERTime of conc. (Tc)= 8.90 inDistribution= 24 hrsShape factor



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

= 191.90 cfs
= 726 min
= 758,598 cuft
= 35.360 ac
I



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 5 West Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 35.85 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 756 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 486,229 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 50.33 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 5 West	Max. Storage	= 426,770 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 5_West

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 45.70 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 762 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 423,903 cuft
Drainage area	= 35.360 ac	Curve number	= 54*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 42.30 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(1.050 x 77) + (9.450 x 55) + (20.720 x 55) + (2.900 x 30) + (1.240 x 55)] / 35.360


Watershed Model Schematic



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	5.358	2	734	35,744				Post Developed Area 6_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	59.92	2	726	242,506				NIC
3	Combine	63.98	2	726	278,250	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	n/a	0	3	52.43	278,250	Area 6 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	0.246	2	984	7,396				Pre Developed Area 6
Are	Area 6.gpw			Return P	eriod: 2 Ye	ar	Thursday, .	Jun 17, 2010	

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 6_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 5.358 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 734 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 35,744 cuft
Drainage area	= 26.280 ac	Curve number	= 58*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (6.150 x 61) + (5.460 x 39) + (2.600 x 39) + (12.070 x 70)] / 26.280



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 59.92 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 242,506 cuft
Drainage area	= 21.920 ac	Curve number	= 98*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(21.920 x 98)] / 21.920



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 63.98 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 278,250 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 48.200 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 6 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= n/a
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 52.43 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 6	Max. Storage	= 278,250 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Pond No. 1 - Area 6

Pond Data

Contours - User-defined contour areas. Average end area method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 49.00 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)
0.00	49.00	00	0	0
1.00	50.00	16,039	8,020	8,020
2.00	51.00	90,200	53,120	61,139
3.00	52.00	163,467	126,834	187,973
4.00	53.00	252,550	208,009	395,981
5.00	54.00	301,338	276,944	672,925
6.00	55.00	345,659	323,499	996,424

Culvert / Orifice Structures

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest Len (ft)	= 11.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Span (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 53.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. Barrels	= 0	0	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 2.60	3.33	3.33	3.33
Invert El. (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Weir Type	= Broad			
Length (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= No	No	No	No
Slope (%)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	n/a					
N-Value	= .013	.013	.013	n/a					
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.000 (by	/ Wet area)		
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00			

Weir Structures

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 6

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 0.246 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 984 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 7,396 cuft
Drainage area	= 48.200 ac	Curve number	= 44*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 49.60 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(6.150 x 55) + (5.460 x 30) + (23.470 x 30) + (13.120 x 70)] / 48.200



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	29.23	2	726	121,371				Post Developed Area 6_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	95.11	2	726	392,395				NIC
3	Combine	124.34	2	726	513,766	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	2.417	2	1216	117,754	3	53.19	449,008	Area 6 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	4.427	2	802	80,196				Pre Developed Area 6
Are	Area 6.gpw			Return P	eriod: 10 Y	ear	Thursday, .	Jun 17, 2010	

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 6_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 29.23 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 121,371 cuft
Drainage area	= 26.280 ac	Curve number	= 58*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (6.150 x 61) + (5.460 x 39) + (2.600 x 39) + (12.070 x 70)] / 26.280



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 95.11 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 392,395 cuft
Drainage area	= 21.920 ac	Curve number	= 98*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(21.920 x 98)] / 21.920



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 124.34 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 513,766 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 48.200 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 6 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 2.417 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 1216 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 117,754 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 53.19 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 6	Max. Storage	= 449,008 cuft

Storage Indication method used.

Hyd No. 4



Total storage used = 449,008 cuft

Hyd No. 3

Thursday, Jun 17, 2010

Time (min)

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 6

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 4.427 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 802 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 80,196 cuft
Drainage area	= 48.200 ac	Curve number	= 44*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 49.60 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(6.150 x 55) + (5.460 x 30) + (23.470 x 30) + (13.120 x 70)] / 48.200



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description	
1	SCS Runoff	97.89	2	726	358,241				Post Developed Area 6_Perv	
2	SCS Runoff	163.38	2	726	684,689				NIC	
3	Combine	261.26	2	726	1,042,930	1, 2			<no description=""></no>	
4	Reservoir	24.92	2	792	646,918	3	53.91	648,534	Area 6 Basin	
5	SCS Runoff	32.13	2	772	370,639				Pre Developed Area 6	
Are	a 6.gpw		<u> </u>		Return P	eriod: 100	Year	Thursday, Jun 17, 2010		

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 6_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 97.89 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 358,241 cuft
Drainage area	= 26.280 ac	Curve number	= 58*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (6.150 x 61) + (5.460 x 39) + (2.600 x 39) + (12.070 x 70)] / 26.280



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 163.38 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 684,689 cuft
Drainage area	= 21.920 ac	Curve number	= 98*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method :	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(21.920 x 98)] / 21.920



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 261.26 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 1,042,930 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 48.200 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 6 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 24.92 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 792 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 646,918 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 53.91 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 6	Max. Storage	= 648,534 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 6

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 32.13 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 772 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 370,639 cuft
Drainage area	= 48.200 ac	Curve number	= 44*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 49.60 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(6.150 x 55) + (5.460 x 30) + (23.470 x 30) + (13.120 x 70)] / 48.200



Watershed Model Schematic



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Pond No. 1 - Area 7

Pond Data

Contours - User-defined contour areas. Average end area method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 51.60 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Contour area (sqft)	Incr. Storage (cuft)	Total storage (cuft)
0.00	51.60	00	0	0
0.40	52.00	53,912	10,782	10,782
1.40	53.00	178,444	116,178	126,960
2.40	54.00	263,172	220,808	347,768
3.40	55.00	271,849	267,511	615,279
Culvert / Orifice Structures		Weir Structure	es	

Culvert / Orifice Structures

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]
Rise (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest Len (ft)	= 25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Span (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 53.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
No. Barrels	= 0	0	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 2.60	3.33	3.33	3.33
Invert El. (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Weir Type	= Broad			
Length (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= No	No	No	No
Slope (%)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	n/a					
N-Value	= .013	.013	.013	n/a					
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.000 (by	vWet area)		
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00			

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	4.999	2	734	33,350				Post Developed Area 7_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	53.34	2	716	124,941				NIC
3	Combine	53.34	2	716	158,291	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	n/a	0	3	53.14	158,291	Area 7 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	2.023	2	804	37,271				Pre Developed Area 7
Aro					Poturo D	loriod: 2 Va		Thursday	

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 7_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 4.999 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 734 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 33,350 cuft
Drainage area	= 24.520 ac	Curve number	= 58*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (0.690 x 77) + (10.000 x 61) + (13.830 x 55)] / 24.520



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 53.34 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 124,941 cuft
Drainage area	= 11.970 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 53.34 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 158,291 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 36.490 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 7 Basin

10.00

0.00

0

120

Hyd No. 4

240

360

480

600

Hyd No. 3

720

840

960

1080

Total storage used = 158,291 cuft

1200

1320

1440

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= n/a
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 53.14 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 7	Max. Storage	= 158,291 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



10.00

0.00

Time (min)

1560

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 7

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 2.023 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 804 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 37,271 cuft
Drainage area	= 36.490 ac	Curve number	= 55*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 50.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(35.800 x 55) + (0.690 x 77)] / 36.490



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	27.27	2	726	113,243				Post Developed Area 7_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	84.62	2	716	202,165				NIC
3	Combine	92.28	2	716	315,407	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	2.972	2	978	100,113	3	53.52	242,751	Area 7 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	11.83	2	774	143,366				Pre Developed Area 7
Area 7.gpw			Return P	eriod: 10 Y	ear	📋 I hursday, 🕻	Jul 1, 2010		

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 7_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 27.27 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 113,243 cuft
Drainage area	= 24.520 ac	Curve number	= 58*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (0.690 x 77) + (10.000 x 61) + (13.830 x 55)] / 24.520



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 84.62 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 202,165 cuft
Drainage area	= 11.970 ac	Curve number	= 98
Basin Šlope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type II
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 92.28 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 315,407 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 36.490 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 7 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 2.972 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 978 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 100,113 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 53.52 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 7	Max. Storage	= 242,751 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 7

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 11.83 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 774 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 143,366 cuft
Drainage area	= 36.490 ac	Curve number	= 55*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 50.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(35.800 x 55) + (0.690 x 77)] / 36.490



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	91.33	2	726	334,249				Post Developed Area 7_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	145.29	2	716	352,756				NIC
3	Combine	183.05	2	718	687,005	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	35.67	2	752	471,710	3	54.07	366,361	Area 7 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	44.68	2	766	452,935				Pre Developed Area 7
Are	a 7.gpw				Return P	eriod: 100	Year	Thursday.	Jul 1, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 7_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 91.33 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 334,249 cuft
Drainage area	= 24.520 ac	Curve number	= 58*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (0.690 x 77) + (10.000 x 61) + (13.830 x 55)] / 24.520


Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 145.29 cfs
= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 716 min
= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 352,756 cuft
= 11.970 ac	Curve number	= 98
= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type II
= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 484
	= SCS Runoff = 100 yrs = 2 min = 11.970 ac = 0.0 % = USER = 8.90 in = 24 hrs	= SCS RunoffPeak discharge= 100 yrsTime to peak= 2 minHyd. volume= 11.970 acCurve number= 0.0 %Hydraulic length= USERTime of conc. (Tc)= 8.90 inDistribution= 24 hrsShape factor



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 183.05 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 718 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 687,005 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 36.490 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 7 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 35.67 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 752 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 471,710 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 54.07 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 7	Max. Storage	= 366,361 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 7

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 44.68 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 766 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 452,935 cuft
Drainage area	= 36.490 ac	Curve number	= 55*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 50.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(35.800 x 55) + (0.690 x 77)] / 36.490



Watershed Model Schematic



Pond Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Pond No. 1 - Area 10

Pond Data

Contours - User-defined contour areas. Average end area method used for volume calculation. Begining Elevation = 56.50 ft

Stage / Storage Table

Stage (ft)) Elevation (ft) Contour area (sqft) Incr. Storage (cuft) Total storage		rage (cuft)							
0.00	56.50		110,805		0		0			
0.50	57.00		176,293		71,775	71,	775			
1.50	58.00		182,035		179,164	250,	939			
2.50	59.00		187,833		184,934	435,	873			
Culvert / Orifi	ice Structu	res			Weir Structu	ires				
	[A]	[B]	[C]	[PrfRsr]		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	
Rise (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest Len (ft)	= 9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Span (in)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Crest El. (ft)	= 57.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	
No. Barrels	= 0	0	0	0	Weir Coeff.	= 2.60	3.33	3.33	3.33	
Invert El. (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Weir Type	= Broad				
Length (ft)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Multi-Stage	= No	No	No	No	
Slope (%)	= 0.00	0.00	0.00	n/a						
N-Value	= .013	.013	.013	n/a						
Orifice Coeff.	= 0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	Exfil.(in/hr)	= 0.000 (by	/ Wet area)			
Multi-Stage	= n/a	No	No	No	TW Elev. (ft)	= 0.00				

Note: Culvert/Orifice outflows are analyzed under inlet (ic) and outlet (oc) control. Weir risers checked for orifice conditions (ic) and submergence (s).



Stage / Discharge



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	1.216	2	734	8,763				Post Developed Area 10_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	17.88	2	726	72,354				NIC
3	Combine	25.92	2	726	111,315	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	0.000	2	n/a	0	3	57.22	111,315	Area 10 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	1.873	2	774	24,040				Pre Developed Area 10
Aro	o 10 gow				Poturn D	oriod: 2 Vo	or	Wednesday	/ Jup 30, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 10_Perv

Hydrograph type Storm frequency Time interval Drainage area Basin Slope Tc method Total precip. Storm duration	 = SCS Runoff = 2 yrs = 2 min = 7.070 ac = 0.0 % = USER = 3.30 in = 24 brs 	Peak discharge Time to peak Hyd. volume Curve number Hydraulic length Time of conc. (Tc) Distribution Shape factor	 = 1.216 cfs = 734 min = 8,763 cuft = 57* = 0 ft = 6.00 min = Type III = 285
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (4.850 x 55) + (2.220 x 61)] / 7.070



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 17.88 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 72,354 cuft
Drainage area	= 6.540 ac	Curve number	= 98*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(6.540 x 98)] / 6.540



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 25.92 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 111,315 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 13.610 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 10 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 0.000 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= n/a
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 0 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 57.22 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 10	Max. Storage	= 111,315 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 10

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 1.873 cfs
Storm frequency	= 2 yrs	Time to peak	= 774 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 24,040 cuft
Drainage area	= 13.610 ac	Curve number	= 61*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 42.00 min
Total precip.	= 3.30 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(8.120 x 55) + (5.490 x 70)] / 13.610



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	7.287	2	728	30,927				Post Developed Area 10 Perv
2	SCS Runoff	28.38	2	726	117,074				NIC
3	Combine	57.23	2	726	227,050	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	2.537	2	956	101,502	3	57.53	165,897	Area 10 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	7.498	2	764	73,809				Pre Developed Area 10
Aro			1	1	Boturn D	oriod: 10 V	l	Wedneedey	/ Jup 20, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 10_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 7.287 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 728 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 30,927 cuft
Drainage area	= 7.070 ac	Curve number	= 57*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (4.850 x 55) + (2.220 x 61)] / 7.070



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 28.38 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 117,074 cuft
Drainage area	= 6.540 ac	Curve number	= 98*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(6.540 x 98)] / 6.540



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 57.23 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 227,050 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 13.610 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 10 Basin

= 2.537 cfs
= 956 min
= 101,502 cuft
= 57.53 ft
= 165,897 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 10

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 7.498 cfs
Storm frequency	= 10 yrs	Time to peak	= 764 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 73,809 cuft
Drainage area	= 13.610 ac	Curve number	= 61*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 42.00 min
Total precip.	= 5.20 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(8.120 x 55) + (5.490 x 70)] / 13.610



Hydrograph Summary Report

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No.	Hydrograph type (origin)	Peak flow (cfs)	Time interval (min)	Time to peak (min)	Hyd. volume (cuft)	Inflow hyd(s)	Maximum elevation (ft)	Total strge used (cuft)	Hydrograph description
1	SCS Runoff	25.39	2	726	93,281				Post Developed Area 10_Perv
2	SCS Runoff	48.74	2	726	204,282				NIC
3	Combine	128.99	2	726	494,090	1, 2			<no description=""></no>
4	Reservoir	17.81	2	768	368,542	3	58.13	275,554	Area 10 Basin
5	SCS Runoff	22.87	2	760	205,200				Pre Developed Area 10
Are	a 10.gpw				Return P	eriod: 100	Year	Wednesday	/, Jun 30, 2010

Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 1

Post Developed Area 10_Perv

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 25.39 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 93,281 cuft
Drainage area	= 7.070 ac	Curve number	= 57*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = + (4.850 x 55) + (2.220 x 61)] / 7.070



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 2

NIC

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 48.74 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 204,282 cuft
Drainage area	= 6.540 ac	Curve number	= 98*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= USER	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 6.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(6.540 x 98)] / 6.540



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 3

<no description>

Hydrograph type	= Combine	Peak discharge	= 128.99 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 726 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 494,090 cuft
Inflow hyds.	= 1, 2	Contrib. drain. area	= 13.610 ac



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 4

Area 10 Basin

Hydrograph type	= Reservoir	Peak discharge	= 17.81 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 768 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 368,542 cuft
Inflow hyd. No.	= 3 - <no description=""></no>	Max. Elevation	= 58.13 ft
Reservoir name	= Area 10	Max. Storage	= 275,554 cuft

Storage Indication method used.



Hydraflow Hydrographs by Intelisolve v9.23

Hyd. No. 5

Pre Developed Area 10

Hydrograph type	= SCS Runoff	Peak discharge	= 22.87 cfs
Storm frequency	= 100 yrs	Time to peak	= 760 min
Time interval	= 2 min	Hyd. volume	= 205,200 cuft
Drainage area	= 13.610 ac	Curve number	= 61*
Basin Slope	= 0.0 %	Hydraulic length	= 0 ft
Tc method	= TR55	Time of conc. (Tc)	= 42.00 min
Total precip.	= 8.90 in	Distribution	= Type III
Storm duration	= 24 hrs	Shape factor	= 285

* Composite (Area/CN) = [(8.120 x 55) + (5.490 x 70)] / 13.610



<u>APPENDIX D</u>

Soil Investigation

Groundwater Mounding Analysis

ater		Elevation (ft)	41.5	43.4	46.4	43.5	43.8	45.8	47.5	50.6	46.4	48.3	43.8	42.5	0 4 4	444.0		44.5	47.6	50.7	48.5	46.4	47.1	!	47.3	47.8	48.9	51.0	48.6	52.3	52.0	54.3	50.7	50.7
th to Ground Wa	Feet below	Existing Grade	5.75	6.50	3.50	6.50	6.17	7.75	11.00	2.33	5.17	10.33	3 83	10.33	7.0.1 7.2.1	3.07	20.04	7.50	3.00	3.33	3.50	3.92	7.00	1	5.25	5.25	5.08	2.00	5.50	4.25	5.50	3.33	5.83	5.83
ded	Inches below	Existing Grade	69	78	42	78	74	66	132	28	62	124	46	124	47I	200	F «	60	36	40	42	47	84		63	63	61	24	99	51	66	40	20	51
und Water		Elevation (ft)	44.3	46.2	46.4	47.2	46.6	49.7	50.3	50.6	49.5	54.1	44.7	40.1	13.1	44.0 17 8	0.24	46.8	48.6	50.7	50.0	47.4	50.6		49.1	49.7	49.7	51.0	51.3	54.2	53.9	54.3	53.2	53.0
asonal High Go	Feet below	Existing Grade	2.92	3.67	3.50	2.83	3.42	3.83	8.17	2.33	2.08	4.50	2 92	3 75	0.1.0	4.0/	1.00	5.17	2.00	3.33	2.00	2.92	3.50		3.42	3.33	4.33	2.00	2.83	2.33	3.58	3.33	3.33	3.50
Depth to Se	Inches below	Existing Grade	35	44	42	34	41	46	86	28	25	Perched - 54	35	Darchad - 45		00		62	24	40	24	35	42		41	40	52	24	34	28	43	40	40	42
	Proposed Basin	Elevation (ft)	47.2	48.9	49.4	49.8	49.9	52.5	53.2	52.6	51.6	53.8	46.9	48.0	10.04	40.0	0.01	49.2	50.6	54.0	52.0	50.3	54.1	1	51.6	52.3	53.5	53.0	53.9	56.5	57.2	57.2	56.5	56.5
	Existing Ground	Elevation (ft)	47.2	49.9	49.9	50.0	50.0	53.5	58.5	52.9	51.6	58.6	47.6	5.7 R	07.0 10.5	49.0		52.0	50.6	54.0	52.0	50.3	54.1	1	52.5	53.0	54.0	53.0	54.1	56.5	57.5	57.6	56.5	56.5
		Date	6/14/2010	6/14/2010	5/5/2010	6/14/2010	6/14/2010	6/22/2010	6/22/2010	5/5/2010	6/22/2010	6/22/2010	6/22/2010	6/15/2010	6/2/2010	5/5/2010 6/15/2010		6/15/2010	6/15/2010	5/5/2010	6/15/2010	6/15/2010	6/15/2010		6/14/2010	6/14/2010	6/15/2010	5/5/2010	6/14/2010	6/14/2010	6/15/2010	5/5/2010	6/15/2010	6/15/2010
RSC 011.01		Test Pit ID	TP-2A	TP-2B	TP-2C	TP-2D	TP-2E	TP-5A	TP-5B	TP-5C	TP-5D	TP-5E	TP-5F	TP-5G				TP-5J	TP-6A	TP-6B	TP-6C	TP-6D	TP-6E	-	TP-7A	TP-7B	TP-7C	TP-7D	TP-7E	TP-10A	TP-10B	TP-10C	TP-10D	TP-10E

MARATHON ENGINEERING &Stormwater Master PlanSOIL LOGENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.The Richard Stockton College of NJTP-2A2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3ATownship of Galloway,TP-2AATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401Atlantic County, New JerseyJob No.: RSC 011.01					
Sample codes: G =	grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample			
Depth (in) below existing grade Seasonal High Water Table: 35 Ground Water: 69					
114+	Light gray (10YR 7/2) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated; 40% fine to medium rounded quartzose gravel 4+				
84	Very pale structure; fr clay with co (10YR 6 angular b	72 (UD)			
56	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) sand; common medium, distinct, yellow (2.5Y 7/6) and strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) mottles; single grain; loose; 20% fine to medium rounded quartzose gravel; abrupt, wavy boundary				
35	Yellowish-b blocky to betv	rown (10YR 5/6) loamy sand; weak subangu granular structure; friable; weak clay bridging /een sand grains; clear, wavy boundary	ular g	30 (G)	
23	Light yello stri	wish-brown (10YR 6/4) loamy sand; granula ucture; friable; gradual, wavy boundary	аг		
6	Gray (10 str	YR 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky ucture; friable; abrupt, wavy boundary	у		
Depth (in) below existing grade 0				Sample Depth (in)	
Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/14/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland				

Date: 6/14/2010 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland

+2"		Surroundings: Wooded Upland
0	O-horizon (organic layer)	
2"	Dark grayish-brown (10YR 4/2) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable; many medium roots	
6°*	Light brownish-gray (10YR 6/2) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; few medium roots	
	Brown (10YR 5/3) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
10"	Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) Ioamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; 30% rounded quartzose gravel, < 0.5" diameter	
24*	Brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; 30% rounded quartzose gravel, < 0.5" diameter	
36"	without any and the second	
44"	Pale yellow (2,5Y 7/4) sandy loam; subangular blocky structure; friable	
62"	Variegated yellow (10YR 7/6), pale yellow (2.5Y 8/2), and yellowish-brown (10YR 5/4) loamy sand; common, medium, prominent, yellow (2.5Y 7/6) mottles; subangular blocky structure; friable	
64"	Thin bands of brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6), pale yellow (2.5Y 8/3), and yellow (10YR 7/6) silly clay; subangular blocky structure; firm-in-place	
	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) sandy clay loam; subangular blocky structure; slightly plastic; and white (2.5Y 8/1) sit loam; subangular blocky structure; friable; saturated	Two undisturbed samples taken at 66"
82"	Variegated pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) and yellow (10YR 7/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; saturated	
108"+	and Gray (10YR 6/1) sandy clay, subangular blocky structure; plastic saturated	
	Estimated Seas	onal High Water Table Observed at: 44 inches below existing grade
		Ground Water Observed at: 78 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-2B (Area 2 and 3 Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: 5/5/2010 Performed by: Christopher Andes and Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland

+"2"	O-horizon (organic layer)	
Sunace	Grayish-brown (10YR 5/2) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
11"	Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
17"	Yellow (2.5Y 7/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
29"	Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	Two (2) undisturbed sample taken at 22" Disturbed sample taken at 22"
42"	Yellow (2.5Y 7/6) sand; single grain; loose; 20% rounded quartzose pebbles < 0.5" diameter	
72"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 8/4) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated	
	Mixed layers of: Pale yellow (2.5Y 8/4) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated; and	
102"+	White (8/N) silt loam; many, coarse, prominent, olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) mottles; slightly plastic; saturated	
Notes: Test pit left open for approximately 30	Estimated Seaso	onal High Water Table Observed at: 42 inches below existing grade
Test pit began collapsing at approximately 60" due to groundwate	r saturation. Es	timated Ground Water Observed at: 42 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ	SOIL LOG TP-2C
2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	(Area 2 and 3 Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: Performed by: Method:	06/14/10 Don Brickner Test pit				
Surroundings:	Woodland		Samula		
existing grade	e		Depth (in)		
9	Gray (10` stru	/R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular block cture; friable; abrupt, irregular boundary	y		
24	Brownish 10% medium	-yellow (10YR 6/6) sand; single grain; loose rounded quartzose gravel; clear, wavy bour	; idary		
34	Yellowish-b blocky st qua	rown (10YR 5/6) loamy sand; weak subangu ructure; friable; 20% fine to medium rounded rtzose gravel; clear, irregular boundary	ılar İ		
60	Pale yellow (2 (2.5Y 7/6) an loose; 20	ellow rain; ;			
82	Gray (10YF brownish-yel struct	ent, ocky 84 (UD, G)			
120+	Light gra quartzose gra peds of varie 4/1) clay w (10YR 7/3) m	mon 0YR vn rated			
Depth (in) below existing grade Seasonal High Water Table: 34					
Sample codes: G =	grab sample, I	Ground W	/ater: 78		
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL S 2922 ATLANTIC AV ATLANTIC CITY	INEERING & ERVICES, INC. /E., SUITE 3A /, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-2D Job No.: RSC 011.01		

Date: 6/14/2010 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland

+2"		
0	O-horizon (organic layer)	
2"	Dark grayish-brown (10YR 4/2) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; frlable; many medium roots	
	Light brownish-gray (10YR 6/2) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; few medium roots	
10	Brown (10YR 5/3) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
12"	Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) loamy	
26"	sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
41"	Yellow (2.5Y 7/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
52"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 8/2) loamy sand; common, medium, prominent, yellow (2.5Y 7/6) mottles (increasing size and density with depth); subangular blocky structure; friable	
04	Mixed layers of: Light brownish-gray (10YR 6/2) sand; many, coarse, prominent, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; single grain; loose; moist; 20% rounded quartzose gravel, 0.5 to 1" diameter;	2
	and Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; many, medium, distinct, otive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) mottles; subangular blocky structure; friable; moist; 20% rounded quartzose gravel, 0.5 to 1" diameter and	
72"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) sandy clay; subangular blocky structure; plastic	
Q6"+	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated	Two undisturbed samples taken at 72"
יי סצ		6
	Estimated Sease	onal High Water Table Observed at: 41 inches below existing grade
		Ground Water Observed at: 74 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING &	Stormwater Master Plan	SOIL LOG
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.	The Richard Stockton College of NJ	TP-2E
2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A	Township of Galloway,	(Area 2 and 3 Basin)
ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/22/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland					
Depth (in) below existing grade				Sample Depth (in)		
10	Gray (10) strue	/R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky cture; friable; abrupt, irregular boundary	ý			
34	Light yellowisi block	h-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; weak suban y structure; friable; clear, wavy boundary	gular			
46	Brownish-ye blocky	ellow (10YR 6/6) loamy sand; weak subangu structure; friable; clear, smooth boundary	ılar	36 (UD)		
58	Variegated pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) and yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) sandy clay loam; subangular blocky structure; slightly plastic; gradual, irregular boundary					
71	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) sandy loam; common medium, distinct, olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) mottles; subangular blocky structure; friable; moist; clear, wavy boundary					
102	Light gray prominent, br mottles; a	r (10YR 7/2) silty clay loam; common coarse ownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) and yellow (10YR ngular blocky structure; slightly plastic; mois gradual, wavy boundary	, 7/6) t;			
138+	Light gray (2 thick) bands o	.5Y 7/2) sand; common medium (0.5 to 1.0 i of brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) sand; single g saturated	inch rain;			
				Depth (in) below existing grade		
Sample codes: G =	Seasonal right water rable: 46 Ground Water: 93 Sample codes: G = grab sample, UD = undisturbed sample					
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL S 2922 ATLANTIC AN ATLANTIC CITY	INEERING & ERVICES, INC. /E., SUITE 3A /, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job I	SOIL LOG TP-5A No.: RSC 011.01		

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/22/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland		
Depth (in) below existing grade 0	-		Sample Depth (in)
6	Gray (10) struc	'R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky sture; friable; abrupt, irregular boundary	r
24	Light yellov blocky s	vish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; subangula tructure; friable; gradual, irregular boundary	ar
46	Variega (10YR 6/6) wea	ted pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4), brownish-yellow , and yellowish-brown (10YR 5/4) sandy loar ak subangular blocky structure; friable; clear, wavy boundary	n;
84	Yellowish	-brown (10YR 5/8) sand; single grain; loose; clear, wavy boundary	
98	Brownish commo	-yellow (10YR 6/6) sand; single grain; loose; on flecks of mica; gradual, wavy boundary	84 (UD)
156+	Light gray (2.t	(2.5Y 7/2) sand; common fine, distinct, yello 5Y 7/6) mottles; single grain; saturated	w 144 (G)
		Seasonal High Water Ta	Depth (in) belo existing grade able: 98 ater: 132
Sample codes: G =	= grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample	aton 102
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL 2922 ATLANTIC A	HNEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A V N L 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-5B

		Date: 5/5/2010 Performed by: Christopher Andes and Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit
		Surroundings. wooded Opland
+"2"	O-horízon (organic layer)	
Surface		
4"	Gray (10YR 6/1) fine sand; single grain; loose	
	Valley (0 EV 7/0) laarey aaada	
28"	subangular blocky structure; friable	Disturbed sample taken at 11" Disturbed sample taken at 11"
	Yellow (2.5Y 7/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable structure; friable; 20% rounded quartzose pebbles < 0.5" diam.;	
56"	Saturated	
66"	Brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) clay; subangular blocky structure; plastic	
	Mixed layers of: brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated; and White (10YR 8/1) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated; and reddish-yellow (5YR 6/6) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated;	Undisturbed sample taken at 78"
76"		
	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; saturated	
86"		
	Pale yellow (2.5Y 8/2) coarse sand; pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) and brownish-yellow (10YR 6/8) striations; single grain; loose;	
96"	saturated	
	Light gray (2.5Y 7/2) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated	
102"+		I
Notes: Test pit left open for approximately 30 Test pit began collapsing at	minutes.	Seasonal High Water Table: 28 inches below existing grade
approximately 66" due to groundwate	er saturation.	Ground Water: 28 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING &	Stormwater Master Plan	SOIL LOG
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE SUITE 3A	The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway.	TP-5C (Area 5 East Basin)
ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: Performed by: Method:	06/22/10 Don Brickner Test pit			
Surroundings:	Woodland			
Depth (in) below existing grade 0			3	Sample Depth (in)
5	Gray (10) struc	/R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky cture; friable; abrupt, irregular boundary	/	
25	Pale yello st	w (2.5Y 7/3) sandy loam; subangular blocky ructure; friable; clear, wavy boundary		18 (UD)
99	Light gray (1 loam); co (10YR 5/ angular bl	IOYR 7/2) clay (gradual transition to sandy c ommon coarse, prominent, yellowish-brown /6) and brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; locky structure; plastic; clear, wavy boundary	lay /	
120+	Light gray (2 thick) bands c	.5Y 7/2) sand; common medium (0.5 to 1.0 i of brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) sand; single g saturated	nch rain;	
120.				
				Depth (in) below existing grade
		Seasonal High Water T Ground W	able: ater:	25 62
Sample codes: G =	e grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample		~-
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL S 2922 ATLANTIC A ATLANTIC CITY	INEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A 7, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job N	SOIL LOG TP-5D No.: RSC 011.01

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/22/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland					
Depth (in) below existing grade	e.				2	Sample Depth (in)
8	Gray (10Y struc	R 5/1) loamy s ture; friable; al	and; weak subangu prupt, irregular boun	lar blocky dary		
24	Light yellow blocky s	rish-brown (2.5 structure; friabl	iY 6/4) loamy sand; s e; clear, irregular bo	subangula undary	ar	
35	Variegated y brown (2.5Y f	ellowish-brown 5/4) sandy clay clear, irre	n (10YR 5/6) and ligh / loam; granular stru gular boundary	nt yellowis cture; fria	sh- ble;	
54	Olive yellow prominent, yel structure, remov	(2.5Y 6/6) sai lowish-red (5Y firm in-place; g ved; 20% fine r clear, irre	ndy clay loam; comn 'R 5/6) mottles; suba ranular structure, fria ounded quartzose g gular boundary	non coars ingular bl able wher ravel;	e, ocky 1	
63	Light gra prominent, rec stru	Light gray (10YR 7/2) clay; common fine to medium, prominent, reddish-yellow (7.5YR 6/6) mottles; angular blocky structure; plastic; clear, irregular boundary				
91	Variegater (10YR 6/6) s friable; comm brownish-ye sli	Variegated pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) and brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) sandy loam; weak subangular blocky structure; friable; common peds of variegated pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) and brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) clay; angular blocky structure; slightly plastic; clear, smooth boundary				84 (UD)
112	Light yellowi	Light yellowish-brown (10YR 6/4) sand; single grain; loose; abrupt, wavy boundary				
120	White (I	White (N 8/) clay; angular blocky structure; plastic; abrupt, wavy boundary				
162+	Light gray (2.5Y 7/2) sand; common fine, distinct, yellow (2.5Y 7/6) mottles; single grain; saturated					
						Depth (in) below existing grade
Sample codes: G	= orab sample	JD = undisturb	Seasonal High G ed sample	Water T iround W	able: ater:	54 (perched) 124
MARATHON ENC ENVIRONMENTAL 2922 ATLANTIC A ATLANTIC CIT	HINEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A Y, N.J. 08401	Storr The Richar Tow Atlantic	nwater Master Plan d Stockton College nship of Galloway, c County, New Jers	of NJ ey	Job 1	SOIL LOG TP-5E No.: RSC 011.01
Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/22/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland					
---	--	--	-----------------	--------------------------------------		
Depth (in) below existing grade	·			Sample Depth (in)		
5	Gray (10) struc	/R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular block sture; friable; abrupt, irregular boundary	y			
15	Light yel subangular b	owish-brown (10YR 6/4) loamy sand; weak locky structure; friable; gradual, wavy bound	dary			
24	Pale yellow (struc	(2.5Y 7/3) loamy sand; weak subangular blo ture; friable; gradual, smooth boundary	cky	18 (UD)		
28	Pale yellow (structure; fr	2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; weak subangular blo iable; 40% medium rounded quartzose grav clear, smooth boundary	eky el;			
35	Light oliv	e brown (2.5Y 5/6) sand; single grain; loose gradual, wavy boundary				
70	Light gray (distinct, ye blocky st	10YR 7/2) sandy clay loam; common mediu llowish-brown (10YR 5/6) mottles; subangul ructure; saturated; clear, irregular boundary	m, ar			
126+	White (N 8/) yellowish (10YR 6/4)	sandy clay loam; common medium, promin -brown (10YR 5/6) and light yellowish-browr) mottles; subangular blocky structure; friabl saturated	ent, n e;			
			-	Depth (in) below existing grade		
		Seasonal High Water T Ground W	able: /ater:	35 46		
Sample codes: G =	e grab sample, t	JD = undisturbed sample				
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL S 2922 ATLANTIC A ATLANTIC CITY	INEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A 7, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job N	SOIL LOG TP-5F Io.: RSC 011.01		

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/15/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland			
Depth (in) below existing grade			0	Sample Depth (in)
6	Gray (۱۵۱ str	/R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky ucture; friable; abrupt, wavy boundary	/	
21	Light yellov block	vish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; subangul y structure; friable; clear, wavy boundary	ar	
34	Yellowish-br	own (10YR 5/6) sandy clay; granular structu friable; gradual, irregular boundary	ire;	
45	Brownish-y distinct, yell blocky stru friable	ellow (10YR 6/6) sandy clay; common coars owish-red (5YR 5/6) mottles; weak subangu cture, slightly firm in-place; granular structur when removed; gradual, wavy boundary	se, Ilar re,	
57	Variegated (2.5Y 7/3), al suba	l light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4), pale yellow nd light reddish-brown (5YR 6/4) silty clay lo angular blocky structure; slightly plastic; gradual, irregular boundary	w am;	
65	Variegate (10YR 6/6) s	d pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) and brownish-yellov andy loam; subangular blocky structure; fria clear, wavy boundary	v ble;	
96	Light gray (10 brownish- structure	YR 7/1) silty clay loam; common fine, promi yeliow (10YR 6/6) mottles; subangular block ; slightly plastic; gradual, irregular boundary	nent, y	76 (UD)
122	Variegated w angular blo	hite (10YR 8/1) and olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) o cky structure; plastic; clear, irregular bounda	olay; ary	
156+	Variegated w common coar	hite (10YR 8/1) and pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) s se, distinct, light gray (N 6/) mottles; single g saturated	and; grain;	150 (G)
				Depth (in) below existing grade
Sampla codos: G -	arah sampla i	Seasonal High Water T Ground W	able: later:	45 (perched) 124
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL S 2922 ATLANTIC AV ATLANTIC CITY	Figue Sample, C INEERING & BERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A /, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job 1	SOIL LOG TP-5G

		Date: 5/5/2010 Performed by: Christopher Andes and Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland
+"2" Surface	O-horizon (organic layer)	
4"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 8/2) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
40%	Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; 20% rounded quartzose pebbles 0.5" - 1" diameter	Undisturbed sample taken at 11" Disturbed sample taken at 36"
48 56"	Yellow (2.5Y 7/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	Disturbed sample taken at 50"
93"	Variegated Light gray (2.5Y 7/1) and pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; and rounded quartoze pebbles 0.5" - 1" diameter; saturated	Undisturbed sample taken at 78"
112"	Yellow (2.5Y 8/6) sandy loam; subangular blocky structure; friable; saturated	
120"+	White (2.5Y 8/1) fine sand; common, medium, prominent, yellow (10YR 7/6) mottles; single grain; loose; saturated	
Notes: Test pit left open for approximately 30 Test pit began collapsing at approximately 90" due to groundwate	minutes. r saturation.	Seasonal High Water Table: 56 inches below existing grade Ground Water: 56 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-5H (Area 5 West Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/15/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland			
Depth (in) below existing grade			-	Sample Depth (in)
4	Gray (10Y stru	'R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky icture; friable; abrupt, wavy boundary	,	
17	Light yellow blocky	vish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; subangula v structure; friable; clear, wavy boundary	ar	
34	Yellowish-bro struct	own (10YR 5/6) loamy sand; subangular blo ture; friable; gradual, irregular boundary	cky	30 (UD)
78	White (10YR 8/1) sandy clay loam; common coarse, prominent, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) and strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) mottles; subangular blocky structure; friable; saturated; clear, wavy boundary			
92	White (10YR (2.5Y 7/4) n (10YR 6/	 8/1) clay; many coarse, prominent, pale yel nottles; many fine, prominent, brownish-yello 6) mottles; angular blocky structure; plastic; clear, smooth boundary 	low ow	
108+	Variegate (10YR 6/6	ed light gray (2.5Y 7/2) and brownish-yellow coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated	ł	
				Depth (in) below existing grade
		Seasonal High Water T Ground W	able: /ater:	34 47
Sample codes: G =	= grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample		
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL 2922 ATLANTIC A ATLANTIC CIT	INEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A Y, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job 1	SOIL LOG TP-5I No.: RSC 011.01

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/15/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland			
Depth (in) below existing grade				Sample Depth (in)
0	Gray (10Y stru	R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky ucture; friable; abrupt, wavy boundary		
22	Light yellow blocky	rish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; subangula / structure; friable; clear, wavy boundary	ar	
49	Yellowish-br	own (10YR 5/6) sandy clay; granular structu friable; gradual, wavy boundary	re;	
62	Brownish-yel structure; com	low (10YR 6/6) coarse sand; subangular blo nmon clay bridging between sand grains; fria clear, wavy boundary	cky able;	
84	Variegated ye single grain; l dark g	and; s of	72 (UD)	
120+	Variegate (10YR 6/6	d light gray (10YR 7/2) and brownish-yellow) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated	1	
		Occupant High Mistor T	ablas	Depth (in) below existing grade
Sample codes: G =	= grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample	ater:	62 90
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL 2922 ATLANTIC A ATLANTIC CIT	HNEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A Y, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job I	SOIL LOG TP-5J No.: RSC 011.01

		Date: 6/15/2010
		Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland
+2"		3
0	O-horizon (organic layer)	
10"	Gray (10YR 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine to medium roots	
12"	Brown (10YR 4/3) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; firm-in-place	
24"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	Two undisturbed samples taken at 20"
39"	Brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) sand; common, medium, distinct, yellowish-brown (10YR 5/8) mottles; single grain; loose; saturated at 36"	
96"	White (10YR 8/1) sandy clay; many, medium, prominent, pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) mottles; subangular blocky structure; slightly plastic; saturated	
108"+	Very pale brown (10YR 7/3) sand; many, coarse, prominent, yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) mottles; single grain; loose; saturated	
	Fatters to de Face	anal High Watas Table Observed at
	Estimated Seas	24 inches below existing grade
		Ground Water Observed at: 36 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-6A (Area 6 Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01

		Date: 5/5/2010 Performed by: Christopher Andes and Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland
+"2" Surface	O-horizon (organic layer)	
7"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky	Two (2) unisturbed sample taken at 12"
33"	structure; friable; saturated at 22" Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4)	Disturbed sample taken at 12"
40"	loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
70"	Variegated Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) and yellow (2.5Y 7/6) loamy sand; few, medium, distinct, yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) mottles; subangular blocky structure; friable; and 20% rounded quartzose pebbles 0.5" - 1" diameter; saturated	
ΩΩ"	Variegated light gray (2.5Y 7/1) clay loam and pale yellow (2.5Y 8/2) clay loam and white (2.5Y 8/1) coarse sand; many, coarse, prominent, yellow (10YR 7/8) mottles; subangular blocky structure; slightly plastic; saturated	
30	White (2.5Y 8/1) silty clay; common, coarse, prominent, reddish-yellow (5YR 7/8) mottles; subangular blocky structure; plastic; saturated	
96"+		
Notes: Test pit left open for approximately 30 Test pit began collapsing at approximately 60" due to groundwat) minutes. er saturation.	Seasonal High Water Table: 40 inches below existing grade Ground Water: 40 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-6B (Area 6 Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: 6/15/2010 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland

+2"		
0	O-horizon (organic layer)	
4"	Gray (10YR 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine to medium roots	
24"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine to medium roots	
34"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) sand; common, medium, prominent, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/8) mottles; single grain; loose; 60% rounded quartzose gravel, 0.5" to 1" diameter	
J4 40"	Light gray (10YR 7/2) sand; many, coarse, prominent, yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) mottles; and many, coarse, distinct, light gray (2.5Y 7/2) mottles; single grain; loose	
4001	Variegated white (10YR 8/1) and brownish-yeliow (10YR 6/6) sandy clay loam; subangular blocky structure; slightly plastic; 40% rounded quartzose gravel, 0.5" to 1" diameter; few white (2.5Y 8/1) sandy clay peds with many, coarse, prominent, yellow (2.5Y 7/6) mottles; slightly plastic	Two undisturbed samples taken at 44"
102"	Very pale brown (10YR 8/2) sand; many, coarse, distinct, pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) mottles; single grain; loose; saturated; few white (2.5Y 8/1) sandy clay peds with many, coarse, prominent, yellow (2.5Y 7/6) mottles; slightly plastic	
108"+		
	Estimated Seas	onal High Water Table Observed at: 24 inches below existing grade Ground Water Observed at: 42 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway.	SOIL LOG TP-6C (Area 6 Basin)
ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job No: RSC 011.01

Date:	06/15/10			
Performed by:	Don Brickner			
Method:	Test pit			
Surroundings:	Woodland			
Depth (in) below				Sample
existing grade	5		2.	Depth (in)
0				
12	Gray (10) stri	'R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky ucture; friable; abrupt, wavy boundary	7	
15	Dark brow stri	n (10YR 3/3) loamy sand; subangular blocky ucture; friable; abrupt, wavy boundary	1	
35	Pale yello struc	w (2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky ture; friable; gradual, irregular boundary		27 (UD)
48	Variegated li yellowish-bro 20% me	ght gray (2.5Y 7/2), pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4), a wn (10YR 5/6) sand; single grain; loose; mo dium to coarse rounded quartzose gravel; abrupt, wavy boundary	and bist;	
102	White (10Y) yellowish-bro dark yellowis structure;	R 8/1) sandy clay; common coarse, promine wn (10YR 5/6) mottles; common fine, promin h-brown (10YR 4/4) mottles; subangular blo plastic; saturated; gradual, broken boundary	nt, nent, cky /	
114+	Variegate (10YR 6/6 30	d light gray (10YR 7/1) and brownish-yellow) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated 0% coarse rounded quartzose gravel		
				Depth (in) below existing grade
		Seasonal High Water Ta	able:	35
		Ground W	ater:	47
Sample codes: G =	= grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample		
MARATHON ENG	INEERING &	Stormwater Master Plan		SOIL LOG
ENVIRONMENTAL S	SERVICES, INC.	The Richard Stockton College of NJ		TP-6D
2922 ATLANTIC A	VE., SUITE 3A	Township of Galloway,		
ATLANTIC CITY	Y, N.J. 08401	Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job N	No.: RSC 011.01

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/15/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland					
Depth (in) below existing grade	•.			Sample Depth (in)		
7	Gray (10Y stru	R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky icture; friable; abrupt, wavy boundary	,			
17	Light yell subangular b	Light yellowish-brown (10YR 6/4) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable; gradual, wavy boundary				
42	Yellowish-br clay	own (10YR 5/6) sand; granular structure; we bridging between sand grains; friable; clear, wavy boundary	eak			
90	Light gray (2 yellowish-i	68 (UD)				
114	Pale yellow (2 of variegat (10YR slightly	5Y 7/3) sand; single grain; loose; common ed light gray (10YR 7/1) and brownish-yellor 6/6) sandy clay; angular blocky structure; plastic; moist; gradual, irregular boundary	peds w			
126+	Variegate (10YR	d pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) and brownish-yellov 6/6) sand; single grain; loose; saturated	v			
				Depth (in) below existing grade		
		Seasonal High Water T Ground W	able: /ater:	42 84		
Sample codes: G =	= grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample				
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL 2922 ATLANTIC A ATLANTIC CIT	HNEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A Y, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job 1	SOIL LOG TP-6E No.: RSC 011.01		

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/14/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland					
Depth (in) below existing grade	6			Sample Depth (in)		
18	Dark gray structure; fria broken	(10YR 4/1) sandy loam; subangular blocky able; mixed with trash (e.g., clam shells, bond dishware, etc.); abrupt, irregular boundary	es,	12 (G)		
30	Light yell subangular b	owish-brown (10YR 6/4) loamy sand; weak locky structure; friable; gradual, wavy bound	ary			
41	Brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable; clear, wavy boundary			30 (UD)		
78	Light yello medium, o subangular	wish-brown (2.5Y 6/3) loamy sand; commor distinct, olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) mottles; weak blocky structure; moist; clear, wavy bounda	ry			
120+	Light gra quartzose gra peds of varieg 4/1) clay w (10YR 7/3) ma	y (2.5Y 7/2) gravelly clay (90% fine rounded avel); subangular blocky structure; firm; com- gated light gray (10YR 7/1) and dark gray (10 ith common medium, distinct, very pale brow ottles; angular blocky structure; plastic; satur	mon DYR /n ated			
Depth (in) below existing grade						
	able: ater:	41 63				
Sample codes: G = grab sample, UD = undisturbed sample						
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL 2922 ATLANTIC A ATLANTIC CITY	INEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A Y, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	S Job No.:	OIL LOG TP-7A RSC 011.01		

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/14/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland				
Depth (in) below existing grade	ej.		-	Sample Depth (in)	
5	Gray (10Y struc	R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky ture; friable; abrupt, irregular boundary			
28	Light yell subangular b	owish-brown (10YR 6/4) loamy sand; weak locky structure; friable; gradual, wavy bound	ary		
37	Variegated ye	llow (2.5Y 7/6) and pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) sa gle grain; loose; clear, wavy boundary	and;		
40	Light yellow 40% me	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) sand; single grain; loose; 40% medium to coarse rounded quartzose gravel; clear, wavy boundary			
54	Light yellowi prominent, blocky to gra qua	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; common fine, prominent, brown (7.5YR 4/4) mottles; weak subangular blocky to granular structure; friable; 20% medium rounded quartzose gravel; clear, irregular boundary			
75	Variegated li gray (10YR 6 (60% fine to r	Variegated light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4), light brownish- gray (10YR 6/2), and strong brown (7.5YR 4/6) gravelly sand (60% fine to medium rounded quartzose gravel); single grain; loose; moist; abrupt, wavy boundary			
108+	Light gray quartzose gra peds of varieg 4/1) clay wi (10YR 7/3) mo	y (2.5Y 7/2) gravelly clay (90% fine rounded ivel); subangular blocky structure; firm; com gated light gray (10YR 7/1) and dark gray (10 ith common medium, distinct, very pale brow ottles; angular blocky structure; plastic; satur	mon 0YR /n rated		
		Seasonal High Water Ta Ground W	able: ater:	Depth (in) below existing grade 40 63	
Sample codes: G	= grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample			
MARATHON ENC ENVIRONMENTAL 2922 ATLANTIC A ATLANTIC CIT	FINEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A Y. N.L 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job I	SOIL LOG TP-7B	

Date: 6/14/2010 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland

		1
0	O-horizon (organic layer)	
4"	Gray (2.5Y 6/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable	
22"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine to medium roots	
42"	Yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; 30% rounded quartzose gravel, 0.5" to 1" diameter starting at 30"	Two undisturbed samples laken at 30"
42 (i	Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) coarse sand; single grain; loose; 30% rounded quartzose gravel, 0.5" to 1" diameter; moist	
52"	Reddish-yellow (7.5YR 6/6) coarse sand; single grain; loose; moist	
58"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) coarse sand; few, medium, prominent, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; single grain, loose; saturated; few, light gray (2.5Y 7/1) sandy clay peds with common, medium, prominent, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; slightly plastic	
	Variegated light gray (2.5Y 7/1) and light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/3) sand; single grain; loose; saturated; and gray (N 8/) silty clay; subangular blocky structure; plastic; saturated	
84"+		
	Estimated Sease	onal High Water Table Observed at: 52 inches below existing grade
		Ground Water Observed at: 61 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING &	Stormwater Master Plan	SOIL LOG
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.	The Richard Stockton College of NJ	TP-7C
2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A	Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	(Area / Dasin)

MA ENVI 292: A	RATHON ENGINEERING & RONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A FLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	TP-7D (Area 7 Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01
Notes: 1	Fest pit left open for approximately 30 Test pit began collapsing at approximately 40" due to groundwate) minutes.	Ground Water Table: 24 inches below existing grade Ground Water: 24 inches below existing grade
			Seasonal High Water Table:
	96"+	Brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) striations	
		and few, white (2.5YR 8/1) clay peds; slightly plastic; saturated;	
		and Pale yellow (2.5Y 8/2) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated;	
		Light gray (2.5Y 7/1) coarse sand; single grain; locse; 20% rounded quartzose pebbles 0.5"- 1" diameter; saturated;	
	40"	Mixed layers of	
		Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) loamy sand; loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; saturated	
	24"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	Two (2) undisturbed sample taken at 12' Disturbed sample taken at 20"
	17"	Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	Disturbed sample taken at 12"
	8"	Gray (10YR 6/1) fine sand; single grain; loose	
	+"2" Surface	O-horizon (organic layer)	
			Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland
			Performed by: Christopher Andes

Date: 6/14/2010 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland

+2*		
o	O-horizon (organic layer)	
3"	Gray (2.5Y 6/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable	
12"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
24"	Yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
24	Yellow (2.5Y 7/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
J.r	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; few, medium, faint, yellow (2.5Y 7/6) mottles; subangular blocky structure; friable	Two undisturbed samples taken at 36"
40"	Light gray (2.5Y 7/2) silt loam; common, coarse, prominent, yellow (2.5Y 7/6) mottles;	
60"	subangular blocky structure; friable; saturated	
	Variegated light gray (2.5Y 7/1) and light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/3) sand; single grain; loose; saturated; and	
90".+	gray (N 8/) siity clay; subangular blocky structure; plastic; saturated	
	Estimated Seaso	onal High Water Table Observed at: 34 inches below existing grade
		Ground Water Observed at: 66 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING &	Stormwater Master Plan	SOIL LOG
2922 ATLANTIC AVE SUITE 3A	Township of Gallowav.	(Area 7 Basin)
ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job No: RSC 011.01

		Date: 6/14/2010 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland
+2"		1
0	O-horizon (organic layer)	
12"	Gray (2.5Y 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable	
12	Light yellowish-brown (10YR 6/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine to medium roots	
28"	Variegated light brownish-gray (10YR 6/2) and pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) loamy sand;	
39"	weak, subangular blocky structure	
450	Pale brown (10YR 6/3) gravelly sand; single grain; loose; 90% medium to coarse rounded quartzose gravel	
45" 120"+	Variegated white (10YR 8/1), light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4), and yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) sandy clay loam; subangular blocky structure; firm-in-place; 40% medium to coarse rounded quartzose gravel	Grab sample taken at 51"
	Estimated Seas	ional High Water Table Observed at: 28 inches below existing grade Ground Water Observed at:
		51 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-10A (Area 10 Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: Performed by: Method: Surroundings:	06/15/10 Don Brickner Test pit Woodland			
Depth (in) below existing grade	÷.		-	Sample Depth (in)
7	Gray (10) stri	′R 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky ucture; friable; abrupt, wavy boundary	/	
31	Light yel subangular b	owish-brown (10YR 6/4) loamy sand; weak locky structure; friable; gradual, wavy bound	lary	
43	Brownish	-yellow (10YR 6/6) sand; single grain; loose; clear, irregular boundary		
Variegated light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/3) and light gray (2.5Y 7/2) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable; clear, wavy boundary				50 (UD)
Light brownish-gray (2.5Y 6/2) coarse sand; few medium, faint, light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) mottles; single grain; loose; moist; 40% fine to coarse rounded quartzose gravel; abrupt, wavy boundary				
Light gray (2.5Y 7/2) gravelly clay (90% fine rounded quartzose gravel); subangular blocky structure; firm; common peds of variegated light gray (10YR 7/1) and dark gray (10YR 4/1) clay with common medium, distinct, very pale brown (10YR 7/3) mottles; angular blocky structure; plastic; saturated 120+				
				Depth (in) below existing grade
		Seasonal High Water T Ground W	able: later:	43 66
Sample codes: G =	grab sample, l	JD = undisturbed sample		
MARATHON ENG ENVIRONMENTAL S 2922 ATLANTIC AV ATLANTIC CITY	INEERING & SERVICES, INC. VE., SUITE 3A 7, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job N	SOIL LOG TP-10B No.: RSC 011.01

		Date: 5/5/2010 Performed by: Christopher Andes and Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland
+"2" Surface	O-horizon (organic layer)	
10"	Gray (10YR 6/1) fine sand; single grain; loose	
17"	Yellow (2.5Y 7/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	Two (2) unisturbed sample taken at 10" Disturbed sample taken at 14"
38"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	Unisturbed sample taken at 16"
72"	Brownish-yellow (10YR 6/8) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated at 40''	
82"	Variegated Light gray (2.5Y 7/1) and pale yeliow (2.5Y 8/2) clay loam; many, coarse, prominent, yellow (10YR 7/8) mottles; subangular blocky structure; slightly plastic; saturated	
02	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) sandy clay loam; subangular blocky structure; slightly plastly; saturated	
90"	White (2.5Y 8/1) silty clay; common, coarse, prominent, reddish-yellow (5YR 7/8) mottles; subangular blocky structure; plastic; saturated	Disturbed sample taken at 90''
96"+	H	
Notes: Test pit left open for approximately 30 Test pit began collapsing at	minutes.	Seasonal High Water Table: 40 inches below existing grade Ground Water:
approximately 50 due to groundwate		40 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-10C (Area 10 Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01

		Date: 6/15/2010 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland
+2*	O-horizon (organic laver)	
0	Gray (2.5Y 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky	
6"	structure; friable	
15"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine to medium roots	
27*	Yellowish-brown (10YR 5/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine to medium roots; 10% fine to medium rounded quartzose gravel	
40*	Pale yellow (2,5Y 7/4) sand; single grain; loose	
51"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/3) sand; common, medium, distinct, light gray (10YR 7/1) mottles; single grain; loose	
58"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) sandy clay loam; many, medium, distinct, yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) and common, coarse, prominent, white (2.5Y 8/1) mottles; subangular blocky structure; frlable	
2//	Gray (10YR 6/1) sandy clay loam; common, medium, faint, gray (10YR 5/1) mottles; subangular blocky structure; friable; few, white (10YR 8/1) clay peds; subangular blocky structure; plastic	Two undisturbed samples laken al 60°
94"	White (10YR 8/1) silty clay; common, medium, prominent, pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) and yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) mottles; subangular blocky structure; plastic; saturated	
	Light yellowish-brown (2,5Y 6/4) sand; many, medium, distinct, yellow (10YR 7/6) mottles; single grain; loose; saturated; common, white (10YR 8/1) silty clay peds; common, medlum, prominent, pale yellow (2,5Y 7/4) and yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) mottles; subangular	
130"+	blocky structure; plastic; saturated	
	Estimated Sease	onal High Water Table Observed at: 40 inches below existing grade
		Ground Water Observed at: 70 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A ATLANTIC CITY. N.J. 08401	Stormwater Master Plan The Richard Stockton College of NJ Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey	SOIL LOG TP-10D (Area 10 Basin) Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: 6/15/2010 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: Test Pit Surroundings: Wooded Upland

+2"		
0	O-horizon (organic layer)	
4"	Gray (2.5Y 5/1) loamy sand; weak subangular blocky structure; friable	
27"	Light yellowish-brown (10YR 6/4) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine to medium roots	
21	Variegated light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) and pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) gravelly sand; single grain; loose; 80% medium to coarse	
34*	rounded quartzose gravel	
42"	Brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) graveliy sand; single grain; loose; 70% medium to coarse rounded quartzose gravel	Two undisturbed samples taken at 36"
46"	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) sand; few, medium, distinct, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; single grain; loose	
64"	Light gray (2.5Y 7/2) sand; few, coarse, faint, white (10YR 8/1) mottles; single grain; loose; saturated	
90"	White (10YR 8/1) coarse sand; many, medium, prominent, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; single grain; loose; saturated; discontinuous white (10YR 8/1) clay peds; plastic; saturated	
	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) sand; many, medium, distinct, yellow (10YR 7/6) mottles; single grain; loose; saturated; common, white (10YR 8/1) silty clay peds; common, medium, prominent, pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4) and yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) mottles; subangular blocky structure; plastic; saturated	
120"+		
	Estimated Seaso	nal High Water Table Observed at: 42 inches below existing grade
		Ground Water Observed at: 51 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING &	Stormwater Master Plan	SOIL LOG
2922 ATLANTIC AVE., SUITE 3A	Township of Galloway,	(Area 10 Basin)
ATLANTIC CITY, N.J. 08401	Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job No: RSC 011.01

Date: 05/22/2009 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: hand auger Surroundings: wooded

		Surroundings: wooded
Surface		
6"	Brown (10 YR 4/3) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
34"	Yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
42"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) sand; single grain; loose; with 10% medium rounded quartzose gravel	
62"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) sand; single grain; loose; common, coarse, distinct, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; saturated	
68"	Light gray (10YR 7/2) sand; single grain; loose; saturated	
76"	White (2.5Y 8/1) sand; single grain; loose; saturated	
80"	Very pale brown (10YR 7/3) sandy clay; very fine granular structure, massive, firm, subangular blocky structure	
88''+	Variegated white (2.5Y 8/1) and light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 6/4) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated	
Note 1: The clav laver at 76" created a		Seasonal High Water Table: 80 inches below existing grade
perched condition.		Ground Water:
Note 2: Boring abandoned, could not overcome moist soil collapse.	>	than 88 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING &	Richard Stockton College of NJ	SOIL LOG
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVENUE SUITE 3A	Development Area 1 (Academic Core) Block 875.04, Lot 1.01 Galloway Township,	Boring 1
ATLANTIC CITY, NJ 08401	Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job No: RSC 011.01

		Date: 05/22/2009 Performed by: Ryan Healey Method: hand auger Surroundings: wooded
Surface		
6"	Brown (10 YR 4/3) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
40"	Yellowish-brown (10YR 5/6) loamy sand; subangular blocky structure; friable	
48"	Very pale brown (10YR 7/3) sand; single grain; loose	
76"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 5/2) sand; single grain; loose; with 20% organic root matter until 68 inches; with 10% medium rounded quartzose gravel starting at 68 inches; saturated	
80"	Light yellowish-brown (2.5Y 5/2) sandy clay; very fine granular structure, massive, firm, subangular blocky structure; saturated	
90"+	Pale yellow (2.5Y 7/3) coarse sand; single grain; loose; saturated	
Note 1: The clay layer at 80" created a	>	Seasonal High Water Table: than 90 inches below existing grade
percned condition. Note 2: Boring abandoned, could not overcome moist soil collapse.	>	Ground Water: than 90 inches below existing grade
MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC. 2922 ATLANTIC AVENUE SUITE 3A	Richard Stockton College of NJ Development Area 1 (Academic Core) Block 875.04, Lot 1.01 Galloway Township,	SOIL LOG Boring 2
ATLANTIC CITY, NJ 08401	Atlantic County, New Jersey	Job No: RSC 011.01

Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm 1.78 Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = cm 5.984 15.2 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = cm or Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 3.1 cm 1.22 in or Length of Sample = 12.1 cm = 4.764 in TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA DATE COLLECTED 6/14/2010 REPLICATE (letter) Α 1. TEST # 2A 2. MATERIAL TESTED 30 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X FILL DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED х BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): x No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 20.80 cm At the beginning of each test interval, $H_2 =$ 11.80 At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 0.721 0.00 43.27 0.740 44.39 0.00 44.49 0.742 0.00 0.745 44.70 0.00 0.74 0.743 44.58 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 3.17 x ln (20.8 / 11.8) х 4.8 / 0.74 = 60 min/hrxSoil Permeability Class = K4 K = 11.08 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Cracks Worm Channels Dry Soil x None Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts Large Gravel Root Channels Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Kithe DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

NO. RSC 011.01 Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan PROJECT NAME MUNICIPALITY Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA Radius of Permeameter Tube 0.40 = cm = 1.78 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube 5.984 Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = 15.2 cm or in 1.26 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 3.2 cm or = 12.0 4.724 in cm Length of Sample **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** В DATE COLLECTED 6/14/2010 REPLICATE (letter) 1. TEST # 2A 2. MATERIAL TESTED 30 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) x FILL DISTURBED X UNDISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: X No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = At the beginning of each test interval, 21.10 cm 12.10 At the end of each test interval, $H_2 =$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (minutes) (interval in minutes) (end of test interval) (start of test interval) 40.02 0.667 0.00 0.676 40.55 0.00 40.46 0.674 0.00 0.681 40.83 0.00 0.675 0.67 0.00 40.52 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) $x \ln(21.1 / 12.1)$ 0.16 / 3.17 4.7 / 0.67 = 60 min / hr xх Soil Permeability Class = K4 11.79 K = in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Dry Soil Cracks x None Soil / Tube Contacts Large Roots Large Gravel Root Channels Other (Specify) Smearing Compaction Raha 7/12/2010 DATE SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 cm Radius of Permeameter Tube = 2.40 = Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube cm = 15.2 cm 6.00 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added or Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 6.0 cm or 2.362 in 9.2 3.638 in = = cm Length of Sample **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** DATE COLLECTED 6/14/2010 В REPLICATE (letter) 1. TEST # 2B 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) 66 in X FILL DISTURBED UNDISTURBED x 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: x No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: $H_1 =$ 19.50 cm At the beginning of each test interval, 10.70 At the end of each test interval, $H_{2} =$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 17.66 0.294 0.00 0.292 17.53 0.00 0.292 17.50 0.00 0.294 17.63 0.00 0.29 0.290 0.00 17.41 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 x in (19.5 / 10.7) 3.6 / 0.29 = 60 min/hrxх Soil Permeability Class = K4 K = 12.44 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Dry Soil Worm Channels x None Cracks Soil / Tube Contacts Large Roots Root Channels Large Gravel Other (Specify) Smearing Compaction Kaha DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME MUNICIPALITY Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube cm = = 2.40 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = 15.2 cm 6.00 in ог Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 4.5 cm ог 1.772 in = 10.7 4.228 in Length of Sample cm = **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** DATE COLLECTED 5/5/2010 А 2C REPLICATE (letter) 1. TEST # 2. MATERIAL TESTED 22 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) x FILL DISTURBED UNDISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: X BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: X No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, $H_1 =$ 20.00 cm 10.80 At the end of each test interval, $H_{2} =$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T₃ AVERAGE T TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) (end of test interval) 0.00 96.49 1.608 96.39 1.607 0.00 98.42 1.640 0.00 1.606 96.36 0.00 1.62 97.15 1.619 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 4.2 / 1.62 x In (20 / 10.8) = 60 min/hrxх Soil Permeability Class = K3 K = 2.69 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Dry Soil x None Cracks Soil / Tube Contacts Large Roots Root Channels Large Gravel Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Rappe DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PROJEC	T NAME Stockto	n College Stor	mwater Maste	<u>r Plan</u> N	10. <u> </u>	RSC 011.01	_
	MUNICIPALITY	Township	o of Galloway	, Atlantic C	County, I	New Jersey	_
		BLOCK		(_
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DA	TA						
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample T Height of Tube Before Sample is Height of Tube After Sample is A	ube Added	= 0.40 = 1.78 = 15.2 = 4.5	cm cm cm or cm or	5.984 1 772	in in		
Length of Sample		= 10.7	cm =	4.213	in		
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST	ΑΤΑ		_		_		
1. TEST # R	EPLICATE (letter)	A	_ DATE CO	DLLECTEI		5/5/2010	
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL	NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	oth) 🔽	22 in			
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE:	UNDISTURBED	DISTU	RBED X				
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINA	ATION (Disturbed Sa	amples Only):					
Sample Density I	Jsed:	X No		Yes			
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL	ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHE	S:				
At the beginning At the end of eac	of each test interval, h test interval,	H₁ : H₂ :	= 20.60	0 cr 0 cr	n n		
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DE	ROP:						
TIME T.	TIME T		тім	F Ta		AVERAGE T	
(start of test interval)	(end of test in	terval)	(interval i	n minutes)		(minutes)	
0.00	97.11		1.6	519			
0.00	97.93		1.6	332			
0.00	96.54	•	1.6	509			
0.00	98.31		1.6	539		4.04	
0.00	101.24		1.0	587		1.64	-
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEA	BILITY:						
K, (in/hr) = 60 r	nin / hr x r² / R² x L ((in) / T (min) x	In (H₁/H₂)				
= 60	min / hr x 0.1	6 / 3.17 :	× 4.2 /	1.64	x ln (20.6 / 11.4)
K =	4.61 in/hr	Soil P	ermeability	Class =	= K3		
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE	(Check the appropri	ate items)					
XNone	Crack	s l	Worm Cha	nnels		Dry Soil	
		Gravel [Large Root	s		Soil / Tube Co	ntacts
		paction	Other (Spe	cify)			
		H,	2.				
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUA	IOR		-2-	e	DATE	//12/201	U

PROJECT NAME <u>Stocktor</u>	n College Sto	rmwater Maste	Plan NC	RSC 011.01
MUNICIPALITY	Townsh	ip of Galloway,	Atlantic Co	unty, New Jersey
	BLOCK			
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA				
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40	cm		
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 1.78	cm		
Height of Tube Before Sample is Added	= 15.2	cm or	5.984 in	
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	= 4.5	cm or	1.772 in	
Length of Sample	= 10.7	cm =	4.213 in	
	D			5/5/2010
	D	- DATE CO		
2. MATERIAL TESTED				
FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	epth) 🔽	22 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	DIST			
A DULL COENCITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Se				
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	imples Only)	•		
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN INCH	IES:		
At the beginning of each test interval,	H	= 20.80) cm	
At the end of each test interval,	H ₂	= 11.60) cm	
		-		
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:				
TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂	2	I IM		AVERAGE I
(start of test interval) (end of test interval)	terval)	(interval ii	n minutes)	(minutes)
0.00 58.30		0.9	972	
0.00 58.36		0.9	973	
0.00 59.58		0.9	993	
0.00 60.62		1.0	010	_
0.0060.15		1.0	003	0.99
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:				
K (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (i	n) / T (min)	x In (H₄/H₂)		
= 60 min/hr x = 0.16	6 / 3.17	x 4.2 /	0.99 x	In(20.8 / 11.6)
K = 7.52 in/hr		Permeability	Class =	KA
<u> </u>		ermeability	Clubb	
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	ate items)			
X None Cracks	6	Worm Cha	nnels	Dry Soil
	Gravel	Large Root	s	Soil / Tube Contacts
	notion			
	action		ury)	
	X	21.		
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	17	AV-S	D	ATE 7/12/2010

PROJECT NAME Stocktor	College Stormwater Master Plan NC	. <u>RSC 011.01</u>
MUNICIPALITY	Township of Galloway, Atlantic Co	unty, New Jersey
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA		
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40 cm	
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 2.40 cm	
Height of Tube Before Sample is Added	= 15.2 cm or 6.00 in	
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	= 4.0 cm or 1.575 m = 11.2 cm $=$ 4.425 in	
Length of Sample	- 11.2 CM - 4.420 M	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA		
1. TEST # <u>2E</u> REPLICATE (letter)	B DATE COLLECTED	6/14/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	(indicate depth) X 72 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTURBED	
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	ples Only);	
Sample Density Used:	x No Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	N IN INCHES:	
At the beginning of each test interval,	H ₁ = 18.80 cm	
At the end of each test interval,	$H_2 = 10.00$ cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:		
	TIME T ₃	AVERAGE T
(start of test interval) (end of test in	rval) (interval in minutes)	(minutes)
0.00 101.97	1.700	
0.00 101.27	1.688	
0.00 101.33	1.689	
0.00 99.14	1 676	
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:		
(1, 1) $(2, 2)$ $(2, 2)$ $(2, 2)$ $(2, 2)$	(T, (r, r), (r, r), (r, r))	
K_{r} (in/hr) = 60 min / hr X F / R ⁻ X L (i	$/ 1 (min) \times in (H_1/H_2)$	ln / 100 / 10)
= 60 min/nrx 0.10	Soil Pormoshility Class	
<u> </u>	Son Permeability Class -	
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	e items)	
X None Cracks	Worm Channels	Dry Soil
Root Channels	ravel Large Roots	Soil / Tube Contacts
Smearing Compa	ction Other (Specify)	
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	15thy D	ATE 7/12/2010

PROJECT NAME Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 2.40 cm 6.00 = 15.2 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added cm ог Height of Tube After Sample is Added 1.654 = 4.2 cm or in Length of Sample = 11.0 cm = 4.346 in TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA DATE COLLECTED 6/22/2010 1. TEST # 5A REPLICATE (letter) А 2. MATERIAL TESTED X 36 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) FILL **UNDISTURBED** DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: х BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): X No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = At the beginning of each test interval, 19.20 cm 10.40 At the end of each test interval, $H_{2} =$ cm RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 1.923 115.40 0.00 1.950 0.00 117.02 116.39 1.940 0.00 117.49 1.958 0.00 1.949 1.94 116.92 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 4.3 / 1.94 x ln (19.2 / 10.4) = 60 min/hrxх Soil Permeability Class = K3 K = 2.28 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Cracks Worm Channels Dry Soil x None Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts **Root Channels** Large Gravel Other (Specify) Compaction Smearing Racher DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NAMEStockton	College Stormwater	Master Plan NO.	RSC 011.01
MUNICIPALITY	Township of Gall	oway, Atlantic Coun	ty, New Jersey
	BLOCK		
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA			
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40 cm		
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 2.40 cm		
Height of Tube Before Sample is Added	= 15.2 cm	or 6.00 in	
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	= 4.4 cm = 10.8 cm	= 4268 in	
Length of Sample	- 10.0 011	- 4.200 11	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA			
1. TEST # <u>5A</u> REPLICATE (letter)	DA'	TE COLLECTED	6/22/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL -	(indicate depth)	x 36 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTURBED		
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	mples Only):		
Sample Density Used:	x No	Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN INCHES:		
At the beginning of each test interval,	H ₁ =	19.10 cm	
At the end of each test interval,	H ₂ =	10.30 cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:			
		TIME T ₃	AVERAGE T
(start of test interval) (end of test int	erval) (inte	erval in minutes)	(minutes)
0.00 118.02		1.967	
0.00 119.18		1.986	
0.00 120.87		2.015	
0.00 121.48		2.025	2.00
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:			
$K_{(in/hr)} = 60 \text{ min} / \text{hr} \times r^2 / R^2 \times 1 (in/hr)$	ר (min) x In (H₄/H	(a)	
= 60 min / hr x = 0.16	/ 5.76 x 4.	3/2.00 x l	n (19.1 / 10.3)
K = 2.20 in/hr	Soil Permea	bility Class = K	3
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	te items)		
	Worn	n Channels	Dry Soil
		Roots	Soil / Tube Contacts
	iction Other	(Specify)	
		(
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	Kithy		re 7/12/2010
DIGITATORE OF BOIL EVALUATOR	0		

NO. RSC 011.01 Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm = 2.40 Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube cm 6.00 Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = 15.2 cm or in 1.614 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 4.1 cm or = 11.1 cm 4.386 in Length of Sample **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** Α DATE COLLECTED 6/22/2010 REPLICATE (letter) 1. TEST # 5B 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 84 in FILL DISTURBED UNDISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Yes x No Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = At the beginning of each test interval, 18.60 cm 9.80 At the end of each test interval, $H_{2} =$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₁ TIME T₂ (interval in minutes) (end of test interval) (minutes) (start of test interval) 0.00 31.55 0.526 32.48 0.541 0.00 33.25 0.554 0.00 0.565 0.00 33.91 0.511 0.54 30.67 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 4.4 / 0.54 x In (18.6 / 9.8) = 60 min/hrxх Soil Permeability Class = K4 K = in/hr 8.68 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Dry Soil Worm Channels x None Cracks Soil / Tube Contacts Large Roots **Root Channels** Large Gravel Other (Specify) Smearing Compaction 72

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

1 st - 3	DATE	7/12/2010

PRO	JECT NAME Stockto	on College Stor	mwater Master	r Plan	NO	RSC 011.01	
	MUNICIPALITY	Township	o of Galloway,	Atlantic	County,	New Jersey	_
		BLOCK		-			-
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT	DATA						
Redius of Permanmeter Tube		- 040	cm				
Radius of Thin Walled Samn	e Ie Tube	= 0.40 = 2.40	cm				
Height of Tube Before Samp	le is Added	= 15.2	cm or	6.00	in		
Height of Tube After Sample	is Added	= 5.4	cm or	2.126	in		
Length of Sample		= 9.8	cm =	3.874	in		
TUBE PERMEAMETER TES	ST DATA						
1. TEST # 5B	REPLICATE (letter)	В	DATE CO	DLLECTE	D	6/22/2010	
			-				
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL	NATIVE SOIL	(indicate der	oth) 🔽	84 i	n		
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE:	UNDISTURBED	X DISTU					
4. BULK DENSITY DETERM	INATION (Disturbed S	amples Only):					
Sample Dens	ity Used:	X No		Yes			
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEV	EL ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHE	ES:				
At the beginn	ing of each test interval	, H₁:	= 18.90	0 0	cm		
At the end of	each test interval.	H ₂ :	= 10.00	0 0	cm		
		-					
6. RATE OF WATER LEVE	_ DROP:						
		_	тім	ET.		AVERAGE T	
(start of test interval)	(and of test ii	2 nten/al)	(interval in	n minutes	=)	(minutes)	
(Start Or lest linterval)	(end of test in	litervalj			,	(1111111100)	
0.00	35.30		0.8	588			
0.00			0.0	529			
0.00	32.21		0.0	545			
0.00			0.5	550		0.55	
7. CALCULATION OF PERI	MEABILITY:						
	2152	(,,) (T (,,, ,,))					
K_{r} (in/hr) =	50 min / hr x r / R X L	(in) / i (min) x	(H_1/H_2)	0.55	v lo Ï	190 / 10	۱.
=			x 3.9 /	0.55		10.9 / 10 1)
K =	/.4/ IN/N	Soli P	ermeability	Class	= K4	J	
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMP	LE (Check the appropr	iate items)					
x None		ks [Worm Cha	nnels		Dry Soil	
		e Gravel 🛛	 Large Root	s		Soil / Tube Co	ntacts
		paction F	Other (Spe	cifv)	<u> </u>		
		R	2/ /				
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVAI	LUATOR				DATE	//12/202	10

RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm 2.40 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 6.00 15.2 Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = cm in OF 2.047 Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 5.2 cm ог in Length of Sample _ 10.0 cm 3.953 in **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** DATE COLLECTED 6/22/2010 1. TEST # 5D REPLICATE (letter) A 2. MATERIAL TESTED X 18 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) FILL DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED х 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): X No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: $H_1 =$ At the beginning of each test interval, 19.20 cm 10.40 $H_{2} =$ At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 0.344 20.62 0.00 0.361 0.00 21.65 22.20 0.370 0.00 21.71 0.362 0.00 0.36 0.344 20.62 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) x ln (19.2 / 10.4) 0.16 / 5.76 4.0 / 0.36 = 60 min/hrxх 11.35 Soil Permeability Class = K4 K = in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Dry Soil x None Cracks Worm Channels Large Gravel Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts Root Channels Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Rather DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

MUNICIPALITY Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey BLOCK BLOCK BLOCK BLOCK Radius of Permeameter Tube = 0.40 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 2.40 cm Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = 15.2 cm or 6.00 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 15.1 cm or 7.008 in Length of Sample = 10.1 cm = 3.992 in TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA 1. TEST #	PF	ROJECT NAME Stocktor	n College Storn	nwater Master	Plan	NO	RSC 011.01	
BLOCK		MUNICIPALITY	Township	of Galloway,	Atlantic	County,	New Jersey	
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA Radius of Permeameter Tube = 0.40 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 2.40 cm Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = 15.2 cm or 6.00 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 15.1 cm or 2.008 in Length of Sample = 10.1 cm = 3.992 in TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA 1. TEST # 5D REPLICATE (letter) B DATE COLLECTED 6/22/2010 2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) x 18 in 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED x DISTURBED 4 BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: x No Yes 5 HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the end of each test interval, H ₁ = 19.10 cm cm (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) 0.00 0.000 23.96 0.399			BLOCK		<u></u>			(
Radius of Permeameter Tube = 0.40 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 2.40 cm Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 15.2 cm or 6.00 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 5.1 cm or 2.008 in Length of Sample = 10.1 cm = 3.992 in TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA 1. TEST # 5D REPLICATE (letter) B DATE COLLECTED 6/22/2010 2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) x 18 in 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED x DISTURBED 4 8. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: x No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the edginning of each test interval, H1 = 19.10 cm 4. BULK OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T1, TIME T2 TIME T3 AVERAGE T (istart of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) 0.000	SAMPLE AND EQUIPME	NT DATA						
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA 1. TEST # 5D REPLICATE (letter) B DATE COLLECTED 6/22/2010 2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 18 in 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED X DISTURBED . 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: X No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, H1 = 19.10 cm 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (DISturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: X No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the od of each test interval, H1 = 19.10 cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T1 TIME T2 TIME T3 AVERAGE T (minutes) 0.00 23.96 0.399 0.00 23.26 0.388 0.40 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr xr ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr xr ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr xr ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr xr ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln	Radius of Permeameter T Radius of Thin Walled Sar Height of Tube Before Sar Height of Tube After Samp Length of Sample	ube nple Tube nple is Added ple is Added	= 0.40 = 2.40 = 15.2 = 5.1 = 10.1	cm cm cm or cm or cm =	6.00 2.008 3.992	in in in		
1. TEST # 5D REPLICATE (letter) B DATE COLLECTED 6/22/2010 2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) x 18 in 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED x DISTURBED . 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: x No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, H1 = 19.10 cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T1 TIME T2 TIME T3 AVERAGE T (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) 0.00 23.96 0.399 0.40 0.40 0.00 23.36 0.388 0.40 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H1/H2) e 00 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H1/H2) e 00 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H1/H2) in (19.1 / 10.4) 5. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Soil Permeability Class = K4 Soit	TUBE PERMEAMETER T	EST DATA						
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) x 18 in 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED X DISTURBED 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: x No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, $H_1 = 19.10$ cm At the end of each test interval, $H_2 = 10.40$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂ TIME T ₃ AVERAGE T (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) 0.00 23.96 0.399 0.00 24.24 0.404 0.00 23.36 0.389 0.40 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	1. TEST #5D	_ REPLICATE (letter)	B	DATE CC	LLECTE	D	6/22/2010	į.
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED x DISTURBED . 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: x No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, $H_1 = 19.10$ cm At the end of each test interval, $H_2 = 10.40$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂ TIME T ₃ AVERAGE T (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) 0.00 23.96 0.399 0.00 24.24 0.404 0.00 23.36 0.389 0.40 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4) Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL	NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate dep	oth) 🔽	18 i	n		
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: X No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, $H_1 = \underbrace{19.10}_{At the end of each test interval}, H_2 = \underbrace{10.40}_{Cm}$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂ TIME T ₃ AVERAGE T (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) $\underbrace{0.00}_{0.00} \underbrace{23.96}_{24.24} \underbrace{0.404}_{0.404} \\ 0.00}_{23.36} \underbrace{0.389}_{0.00} \\ 0.00 \underbrace{23.26}_{23.26} \\ 0.388} \underbrace{0.40}_{0.40}$ 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x $\frac{0.16}{5.76} \times \frac{4.0}{0.404} \times \ln(19.1 / 10.4)$ Soli Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	3. TYPE OF SAMPLE:	UNDISTURBED	X DISTU	RBED				
Sample Density Used: x No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, $H_1 = \underbrace{19.10}_{\text{At the end of each test interval, }} H_2 = \underbrace{10.40}_{\text{10.40}} \text{ cm}$ 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂ TIME T ₃ AVERAGE T (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) $\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \\ 23.96 \\ 0.00 \\ 24.24 \\ 0.404 \\ \hline 0.00 \\ 23.36 \\ \hline 0.00 \\ 23.26 \\ \hline 0.388 \\ \hline 0.40 \\ \hline \end{array}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 0.40 \\ 0.40 \\ \\ 0.00 \\ 23.26 \\ \hline 0.388 \\ \hline 0.40 \\ \hline \end{array}}$ 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4) K = 10.13 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	4. BULK DENSITY DETE	RMINATION (Disturbed Sa	mples Only):					
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, $H_1 = \underbrace{19.10}_{\text{At the end of each test interval, }} H_2 = \underbrace{10.40}_{\text{10.40}} cm$ 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: $\underbrace{\text{TIME T}_1 \qquad \text{TIME T}_2 \qquad \text{TIME T}_3 \qquad \text{AVERAGE T}_{\text{(interval in minutes)}} (interval in minutes)} \\ \underbrace{0.00}_{\text{0.00}} & \underbrace{23.96}_{\text{0.399}} \\ 0.00 & \underbrace{24.24}_{\text{0.404}} \\ 0.00 & \underbrace{23.36}_{\text{0.389}} \\ 0.00 & \underbrace{23.26}_{\text{0.388}} \\ 0.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: $K_{, (in/hr) = 60 \text{ min / hr x } r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x \ln (H_1/H_2) \\ = 60 \text{ min / hr x } 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x \ln (19.1 / 10.4)) \\ \hline K = 10.13 \text{ in/hr} \\ \hline Soil Permeability Class = K4 \\ \hline \end{bmatrix}$ 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	Sample De	ensity Used:	X No		Yes			
At the beginning of each test interval, At the end of each test interval, At the end of each test interval, H ₁ = <u>19.10</u> cm H ₂ = <u>10.40</u> cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂ TIME T ₃ AVERAGE T (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) 0.00 <u>23.96</u> 0.399 0.00 <u>24.24</u> 0.404 0.00 <u>23.36</u> 0.389 0.00 <u>23.26</u> 0.389 0.00 <u>23.26</u> 0.388 0.40 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4) K = 10.13 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	5. HEIGHT OF WATER L	EVEL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN INCHE	S:				
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: $TIME T_1 TIME T_2 TIME T_3 AVERAGE T (interval in minutes) (minutes) (mi$	At the beginned the end	nning of each test interval, of each test interval,	H ₁ = H ₂ =	= 19.10) c	:m :m		
TIME T1TIME T2TIME T3AVERAGE T(start of test interval)(end of test interval)(interval in minutes)(minutes) 0.00 23.96 0.399 0.00 24.24 0.404 0.00 23.36 0.389 0.00 23.36 0.389 0.00 23.26 0.389 0.00 23.26 0.389 0.00 23.26 0.389 0.00 23.26 0.388 0.00 23.26 0.388 0.40 $19.1 / 10.4$ 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r² / R² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H1/H2)= 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4)K = 10.13 in/hrSoil Permeability Class = K48. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	6. RATE OF WATER LEV	IEL DROP:						
$\frac{0.00}{0.00} = \frac{23.96}{24.24} = \frac{0.399}{0.404}$ $\frac{0.00}{24.94} = \frac{0.404}{0.416}$ $\frac{0.00}{23.36} = \frac{0.389}{0.389} = 0.40$ 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4) K = 10.13 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	TIME T ₁ (start of test interva	TIME T ₂ al) (end of test in	e terval)	TIMI (interval ir	E T₃ ı minutes	5)	AVERAGE T (minutes)	
$\frac{0.00}{24.94} = \frac{0.404}{0.416}$ $\frac{0.00}{23.36} = \frac{0.389}{0.389} = 0.40$ 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4) K = 10.13 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	0.00	23.96		0.3	99			
$\frac{1}{0.00} = \frac{24.94}{0.389} = \frac{0.410}{0.389}$ $\frac{1}{0.00} = \frac{23.36}{0.389} = \frac{0.40}{0.388} = \frac{0.40}{0.40}$ 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4) K = 10.13 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	0.00	24.24		0.4	16			
0.0023.260.3880.407. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4)K = 10.13 in/hrSoil Permeability Class = K48. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	0.00	23.36		0.4	89	;		
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: $K_{,} (in/hr) = 60 \min / hr x r^{2} / R^{2} x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H_{1}/H_{2}) = 60 \min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4)$ $K = 10.13 in/hr$ Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	0.00	23.26		0.3	88		0.40	
K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.0 / 0.40 x ln (19.1 / 10.4) K = 10.13 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K4 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	7. CALCULATION OF PE	RMEABILITY:						
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)	K, (in/hr) = = K =	= 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (i = 60 min / hr x 0.16 = 10.13 in/hr	n) / T (min) x 5 / 5.76 x Soil Pe	In (H ₁ /H ₂) 4.0 / Frmeability	0.40 Class	x In (= K4	19.1 / 10.4])
	8. DEFECTS IN THE SAI	VPLE (Check the appropria	ate items)					
X None Cracks Worm Channels Dry Soll Root Channels Large Gravel Large Roots Soil / Tube Contact Smearing Compaction Other (Specify)	x None Root Char Smearing	Inels Cracks	Gravel	Worm Char Large Roots Other (Spec	nnels s cify) _]Dry Soil]Soil / Tube Cor	itacts
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR DATE 7/12/2010	SIGNATURE OF SOIL EV	/ALUATOR	Here,	Hz-		DATE	7/12/201	0

PROJECT NAMEStocktor	n College Stor	mwater Master	Plan NO.	RSC 011.01			
MUNICIPALITY	Townshi	p of Galloway,	Atlantic Cou	nty, New Jersey			
	BLOCK _						
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA							
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40	cm					
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 2.40	cm					
Height of Tube Before Sample is Added	= 15.2	cm or	6.00 in				
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	= 4.5	cm or	1.772 in				
Length of Sample	= 10.7	cm =	4.228 IN				
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA							
1. TEST # <u>5E</u> REPLICATE (letter)	A	DATE CO	DLLECTED	6/22/2010			
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	pth) 🔽	84 in				
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTU	JRBED					
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	mples Only);						
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes				
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN INCHE	ES:					
At the beginning of each test interval,	H ₁	= 18.80) cm				
At the end of each test interval,	H ₂	9.00	cm				
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:		·					
		тім	F Ta	AVERAGE T			
(start of test interval) (end of test interval)	terval)	(interval in	n minutes)	(minutes)			
0.00 1.73		0.0	29	_			
0.00 1.83		0.0	31	-			
		0.0	30				
0.00 1.80		0.0	30	- 0.03			
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:		0.0					
$K_{1}(i_{1}/k_{2}) = 60 \min (h_{1} \times r^{2}/D^{2} \times 1/i_{1})$	$n \setminus T(min) \vee$						
K_{r} (m/m) = 60 mm / m x 1 / K x L (m	()/1((((()))X	(Π(Π ₁ /Π ₂) γ / 1 7 / Π	0 03 V	$\ln(188/9)$			
K = 174.59 in/br		ermeability	$\frac{1}{100} = \mathbf{K}$	(10.070)			
N N H H		criticability					
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	ite items)						
X None Cracks	; [Worm Char	nels	Dry Soil			
Root Channels	Gravel	Large Roots	5	Soil / Tube Contacts			
	action	Other (Spec	cify)				
	R	24 -					
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR		. 2	DA	IE //12/2010			
PROJECT	NAME Stockton	College Stor	mwater Mast	er Plan	NO	RSC 011.01	
-----------------------------------	--	----------------	---------------------------------------	-------------	----------	-----------------	--------
	MUNICIPALITY	Townshi	p of Gallowa	/, Atlantic	County,	New Jersey	÷
		BLOCK -					-
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DAT	A						
Radius of Permeameter Tube		= 0.40	cm				
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tul	be	= 2.40	cm				
Height of Tube Before Sample is A	dded	= 15.2	cm or	6.00	in		
Height of Tube After Sample is Ad	ded	= 4.5	cm or	1.772	in in		
Length of Sample		- 10.7	cm –	4.220			
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DA	TA						
1. TEST # RE	PLICATE (letter)	В	DATE C	OLLECT	ED	6/22/2010	
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL	NATIVE SOIL -	(indicate de	pth)] 84	in		
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE:	JNDISTURBED [X DIST	JRBED]			
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINA	ΓΙΟΝ (Disturbed San	ples Only)	5				
Sample Density Us	sed:	x No] Yes			
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL A	BOVE RIM OF BAS	IN IN INCH	ES:				
At the beginning of	each test interval,	H₁	= 19.	00	cm		
At the end of each	test interval,	H ₂	= 9.2	0	cm		
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DR	OP:						
	TIME T ₂		ті	ME T₃		AVERAGE T	
(start of test interval)	(end of test inte	erval)	(interval	in minute	es)	(minutes)	
0.00	2.00		0	.033			
0.00	2.07		0	.035			
0.00	2.04		0	.034			
0.00	2.03		0	.034		0.02	
0.00	2.04		(.034		0.03	-
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEA	BILITY:						
K, (in/hr) = 60 m	n / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) >	(In (H ₁ /H ₂)				
= 60 r	nin / hrx 0.16	/ 5.76	x 4.2 /	0.03	x in (19 / 9.2 1)
K = 15	0.62 in/hr	Soil P	ermeabili	ty Class	s = K5]	
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriat	e items)					
x None	Cracks	[Worm Ch	annels]Dry Soil	
Root Channels	 Large G	Fravel	Large Roo	ots]Soil / Tube Co	ntacts
		ction	 Other (Sp	ecify)			
		•					
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUAT	OR	H.	the		DATE	7/12/201	10
		0700 =				-	

	PROJECT NAM	E Stockton C	ollege Storr	nwater Maste	r Plan N	O RSC 011.01	
	MU	NICIPALITY	Township	of Galloway	, Atlantic Co	ounty, <u>New</u> Jersey	_
			BLOCK _				
s/	SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA						
Ra Ra He Le	Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample		= 0.40 = 2.40 = 15.2 = 3.6 = 11.6	cm cm cm or cm or cm =	6.00 ii 1.417 ii 4.583 ii	า า า	
τι	TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA						
1.	1. TEST #5F REPLICA	TE (letter)	А	_ DATE C	DLLECTED	6/22/2010	
2.	2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL N	ATIVE SOIL - (i	ndicate dep	oth) x	18 in		
3.	3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDIS			RBED			
4.	4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samp	oles Only):				
	Sample Density Used:		K No		Yes		
5.	5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE	RIM OF BASIN	IN INCHE	S:			
	At the beginning of each At the end of each test in	test interval, terval,	H ₁ = H ₂ =	= <u>19.8</u> = <u>11.0</u>	0 cm 0 cm	1	
6.	6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:						
	TIME T ₁ (start of test interval)	TIME T ₂ end of test inter	val)	TIN (interval i	IE T ₃ n minutes)	AVERAGE T (minutes)	-
	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	77.51 77.32 77.66 79.50		1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	292 289 294 325	_	
	0.00	78.38		1.	306		-
7.	 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr : = 60 min / hr K = 3.45 	x r ² / R ² x L (in) x 0.16 in/hr	/ T (min) x / 5.76 > Soil Pe	In (H ₁ /H ₂) < 4.6 / ermeability	1.30 x / Class =	: In(19.8 / 11 K3)
8.	8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check	the appropriate	items)				
	x None Root Channels	Cracks	avel	Worm Cha Large Root Other (Spe	nnels s cify)	Dry Soil	ontacts
SI	SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	_	Host.	Kz	[DATE7/12/20	10

NO. RSC 011.01 Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 cm Radius of Permeameter Tube = = 2.40 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube 6.00 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = 15.2 cm or 4.1 1.614 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = cm or 11.1 cm 4.386 in Length of Sample TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA В DATE COLLECTED 6/22/2010 5F REPLICATE (letter) 1. TEST # 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 18 in FILL DISTURBED UNDISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: X BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): x No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 19.60 At the beginning of each test interval, cm $H_{2} =$ 10.80 At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₁ TIME T₂ (interval in minutes) (minutes) (end of test interval) (start of test interval) 0.00 75.21 1.254 76.49 1.275 0.00 1.284 77.05 0.00 1.284 77.05 0.00 1.299 1.28 0.00 77.94 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 4.4 / 1.28 x ln (19.6 / 10.8) = 60 min/hrxх Soil Permeability Class = K3 K = 3.41 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Dry Soil Cracks x None Soil / Tube Contacts Large Roots Large Gravel Root Channels Other (Specify) Smearing Compaction 2021

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

1244-2	DATE	7/12/2010

NO. RSC 011.01 Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm = 2.40 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube 6.00 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = 15.2 cm or 3.8 1.496 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = cm or Length of Sample = 11.4 cm 4.504 in **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** 6/15/2010 А DATE COLLECTED REPLICATE (letter) 5G 1. TEST # 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 76 in FILL DISTURBED UNDISTURBED X 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Yes Sample Density Used |x| No 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 18.80 At the beginning of each test interval, cm $H_{2} =$ 9.00 At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₁ TIME T₂ (interval in minutes) (minutes) (end of test interval) (start of test interval) 0.00 37.04 0.617 34.07 0.568 0.00 34.14 0.569 0.00 0.566 33.93 0.00 0.58 0.583 34.95 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂)) 0.16 / 5.76 4.5 / 0.58 x ln (18.8 / 9 = 60 min/hrxX Soil Permeability Class = K4 K = 9.53 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Dry Soil Cracks x None Soil / Tube Contacts Large Roots Root Channels Large Gravel Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Rather DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NAME Stockton	n College Stor	mwater Maste	r Plan NO	DRSC 011.01
MUNICIPALITY	Townshi	p of Galloway,	Atlantic Co	ounty, New Jersey
	BLOCK _		-	
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA				
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40	cm		
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 2.40	cm		
Height of Tube Before Sample is Added	= 15.2	cm or	6.00 ir	1
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	= 4.0	cm or	1.5/5 Ir	
Length of Sample	- 11.2	cm –	4,420 1	I
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TEST # <u>5G</u> REPLICATE (letter)	B	DATE CO	DLLECTED	6/15/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	pth) 🔽	76 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTU	JRBED		
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	amples Only):			
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHE	ES:		
At the beginning of each test interval,	H ₁	= 19.10) cm	
At the end of each test interval,	H ₂	= 9.30	cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:				
	0	ТІМ	E T₃	AVERAGE T
(start of test interval) (end of test in	terval)	(interval in	n minutes)	(minutes)
0.00 30.29		0.5	505	
0.00 30.19		0.5	503	
0.00 29.91		0,2	199	
0.00 29.70			195	0.50
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:				
$K_{\rm (in/hr)} = 60 {\rm min} / {\rm hr} {\rm yr}^2 / {\rm R}^2 {\rm y} {\rm J}$	in) / T (min) x	$\ln (H_{\rm e}/H_{\rm e})$		
= 60 min / hr x = 0.16	3 / 576	x 44 /	0.50 x	In (191/93)
K = 10.62 in/hr	1 Soil P	ermeability	Class =	K4
		,		
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	ate items)	_		_
	s L	_Worm Char	nnels	Dry Soil
Root Channels	Gravel	Large Root	S	Soil / Tube Contacts
Smearing Comp	action	Other (Spec	cify)	
	2C	ZL -	_	
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR		8	Ļ	ATE //12/2010

PROJECT NAME Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA Radius of Permeameter Tube 0.40 = cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube 2.40 Ξ cm Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = 15.2 6.00 cm ог in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 4.5 1.772 in cm or Length of Sample = 10.7 cm 4.228 in **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** 1. TEST # 5H REPLICATE (letter) А DATE COLLECTED 5/5/2010 2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 50 in 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: DISTURBED UNDISTURBED X 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Sample Density Used: X No Yes 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, H₁ = 19.20 cm At the end of each test interval, $H_2 = 1$ 10.00 cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T₁ TIME T₂ TIME T₃ AVERAGE T (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (start of test interval) (minutes) 0.00 53.21 0.887 0.00 52.99 0.883 53.24 0.887 0.00 53.54 0.892 0.00 0.00 53.09 0.885 0.89 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 4.2 / 0.89 = 60 min/hrxх x ln (19.2 / 10) K = Soil Permeability Class = K3 5.18 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) x None Worm Channels Dry Soil Cracks **Root Channels** Large Gravel Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Richer SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR DATE 7/12/2010

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NA M	ME Stockton College IUNICIPALITY Town BLOC	Stormwater Master Plan hship of Galloway, Atlanti K	NO. RSC 011.01 c County, New Jersey
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA			
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Adde Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample	= 0. = 2. = 1: = 3 = 1	40 cm 40 cm 5.2 cm or 6.00 66 cm or 1.417 1.6 cm = 4.583	in in in
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA			
1. TEST #5J REPLIC	CATE (letter) A	DATE COLLECT	ED 6/15/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL	NATIVE SOIL - (indicate	e depth) X 72	in
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UND	ISTURBED X DI	STURBED	
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION	l (Disturbed Samples O	nly):	
Sample Density Used:	X No	Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABO	/E RIM OF BASIN IN IN	CHES:	
At the beginning of eac	ch test interval,	H ₁ =19.60	_cm
At the end of each test	interval,	$H_2 = 10.70$	cm -
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:			
TIME T ₁	TIME T ₂	TIME T ₃	AVERAGE T
(start of test interval)	(end of test interval)	(interval in minute	es) (minutes)
0.00	18.61	0.310	
0.00	20.15	0.330	
0.00	20.15	0.336	
0.00	20.81	0.347	0.33
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILIT	TY:		
K, (in/hr) = 60 min / k = 60 min / K = 14.0	nr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (mi hr x 0.16 / 5.70 I in/hr So	in) x in (H ₁ /H ₂) 6 x 4.6 / 0.33 il Permeability Clas e	x ln(19.6 / 10.7) s = K4
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Chee	ck the appropriate items))	
xNone	Cracks	Worm Channels	Dry Soil
Root Channels	Large Gravel	Large Roots	Soil / Tube Contacts
Smearing		Other (Specify)	
	7	C.L.	
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR			DATE 7/12/2010

	PROJECT NAME Stockton	Colleg	je Storn	water	Master	Plan	NO	RSC 011.01	-
	MUNICIPALITY	To	wnship	of Gal	loway,	Atlantic	County,	New Jersey	-
		BLC	DCK						-
s/	AMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA								
Ra Ra He He Le	adius of Permeameter Tube adius of Thin Walled Sample Tube leight of Tube Before Sample is Added leight of Tube After Sample is Added ength of Sample	= = = =	0.40 2.40 15.2 4.4 10.8	cm cm cm cm cm	or or =	6.00 1.732 4.268	in in in	×)	
тι	UBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA								
1.	. TEST #5J REPLICATE (letter)		В	DA	TE CC	LLECT	ED	6/15/2010	-
2.	MATERIAL TESTED	- (indic	ate dep	th)	X	72	in		
3.	. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	x	DISTU	RBED					
4.	. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	mples	Only):						
	Sample Density Used:	X	No			Yes			
5.	. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN	INCHE	S:					
	At the beginning of each test interval, At the end of each test interval,		H ₁ = H ₂ =		18.10 9.20)	cm cm		
6.	. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:								
	TIME T_1 TIME T_2 (start of test interval) (and of test interval)			(int	TIMI erval in	E T ₃ I minute	c)	AVERAGE T	
	0.00 <u>16.23</u>	lervar)		(111	0.2	.71		(minuted)	
	0.00 17.28				0.2	88			
	0.00 17.08		1.0		0.2	85			
	0.00 17.25				0.2	88		0.28	_
7.	7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:								
	K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (i = 60 min / hr x 0.16 K = 17.01 in/hr	n)/T(5/5][min) x l .76 x 50il Pe	n (H₁/l 4 ermea	H ₂) .3 / ability	0.28 Class	x In = K4	(18.1 / 9.2])
8.	B. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	ate iten	ns)						
	x NoneCracksRoot ChannelsLargeSmearingComparing	s Gravel action]Worr]Larg]Othe	m Char e Roots er (Spec	nnels s cify)]Dry Soil]Soil / Tube Co	ontacts
S	SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR		15-1.		j-		DATE	7/12/20	10
_									

PROJECT NA	ME Stockton Co	llege Storr	nwater Maste	r Plan	NO.	RSC 011.01
N		Township	of Galloway,	Atlantic	County,	New Jersey
	E	BLOCK		(=		
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA						
Radius of Permeameter Tube	=	0.40	cm			
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	=	2.40	cm			
Height of Tube Before Sample is Adde	ed =	15.2	cm or	6.00	in	
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	=	4.0	cm or	1.5/5	in in	
Length of Sample	=	11.2	cm –	4.420	ILI	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA						
1. TEST # <u>6A</u> REPLIC	CATE (letter)	A	_ DATE CO	DLLECTE	D	6/15/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL	NATIVE SOIL - (in	dicate dep	oth) 🔽	20 i	n	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UND] DISTU	RBED			
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION	I (Disturbed Sampl	es Only):				
Sample Density Used:	X] No		Yes		
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABO	/E RIM OF BASIN	IN INCHE	S:			
At the beginning of eac	ch test interval,	H ₁ =	= 19.3	<u> </u>	cm	
At the end of each test	interval,	H ₂ =	= 10.5	D	cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP;						
TIME T4	TIME T ₂		ТІМ	E T3		AVERAGE T
(start of test interval)	(end of test interv	al)	(interval i	n minute:	5)	(minutes)
0.00	108.49		1.8	308		
0.00	106.47		1.1	775		
0.00	108.92		1.8	315		
0.00	107.62		1.	794		4 70
0.00	106.98		1.	/83		1.79
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILIT	Y:					
K, (in/hr) = 60 min / h	ır x r ² / R ² x L (in) /	T (min) x	In (H₁/H₂)			
= 60 min /	hrx 0.16 /	5.76	x 4.4 /	1.79	x in (19.3 / 10.5)
K = 2.50	in/hr	Soil Pe	ermeability	/ Class	= K3	l
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Chec	k the appropriate i	tems)				
None	Cracks	Г	Worm Cha	nnels]Dry Soil
		vel [Large Root	s		Soil / Tube Contacts
		on F	Other (Spe	cifv)		-
		Reg	2/2			7/10/2010
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR		e -	0	8	DATE	1112/2010

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS PROJECT NAME Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 MUNICIPALITY Township of Colloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey

MUNICIPALITY	Township	of Galloway,	Atlantic Cou	nty, New Jersey
	BLUCK _		3	
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA				
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample	= 0.40 = 2.40 = 15.2 = 4.3 = 10.9	cm cm or cm or cm or cm =	6.00 in 1.693 in 4.307 in	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TEST #6A REPLICATE (letter)	B	DATE CC	LLECTED	6/15/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate dep	oth) 🔽	20 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTU	RBED		
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	mples Only):			
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN INCHE	S:		
At the beginning of each test interval, At the end of each test interval,	H₁ = H₂ =	= <u>19.30</u> = <u>10.50</u>) cm) cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:				
TIME T_1 TIME T_2 (start of test interval) (end of test interval)	terval)	TIM (interval ir	E T ₃ minutes)	AVERAGE T (minutes)
0.00 51.89	corvary	0.8	865	(
0.00 51.82		3.0	64	-
0.00 52.27		0.8 0.8	371 358	-
0.00 57.48		0.9	958	0.88
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:				
K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (i = 60 min / hr x 0.16 K = 4.95 in/hr	n) / T (min) x 5 / 5.76 Soil P	In (H ₁ /H ₂) x 4.3 / ermeability	0.88 x • Class = k	ln(19.3 / 10.5) 【3】
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	ate items)			
	s [Worm Cha	nnels	Dry Soil
Root Channels	Gravel _ action	_Large Root	s cify)	Soil / Tube Contacts
	H.	the	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	ATE 7/12/2010
JIGINATURE OF JUIL EVALUATUR	10 A	0	57	

NO. RSC 011.01 **PROJECT NAME** Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 1.78 cm = 15.2 5.984 Height of Tube Before Sample is Added in cm or Height of Tube After Sample is Added 4.0 1.575 = cm or in 11.2 4.409 in Length of Sample cm **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** В DATE COLLECTED 5/5/2010 1. TEST # 6B REPLICATE (letter) 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 12 in FILL 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED DISTURBED X I BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): X No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 20.20 At the beginning of each test interval, cm 11.30 $H_2 = 1$ At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₁ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) 139.33 2.322 0.00 2.256 0.00 135.33 0.00 134.96 2.249 2.278 0.00 136.65 2.295 2.28 137.68 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 3.17 4.4 / 2.28 x ln (20.2 / 11.3) = 60 min/hrxх K = 3.40 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K3 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Drv Soil x None Cracks Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts **Root Channels** Large Gravel Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Rithy SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR DATE 7/12/2010

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NAME Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. ____ RSC 011.01 Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 cm Radius of Permeameter Tube = = 1.78 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube 15.2 Height of Tube Before Sample is Added 5.984 in = cm or = 4.2 1.654 în. Height of Tube After Sample is Added cm or = 11.0 cm 4.331 in Length of Sample TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA 5/5/2010 А DATE COLLECTED REPLICATE (letter) 1. TEST # 6B 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 12 in FILL DISTURBED | x UNDISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Yes X No Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 20.60 At the beginning of each test interval, cm $H_2 =$ 11.70 At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₁ TIME T₂ (interval in minutes) (minutes) (end of test interval) (start of test interval) 2.689 0.00 161.33 164.71 2.745 0.00 2.725 163.52 0.00 2.785 167.08 0.00 2.74 2.772 166.31 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 3.17 4.3 / 2.74 x ln (20.6 / 11.7) = 60 min/hrxх Soil Permeability Class = K3 K = 2.70 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Drv Soil x None Cracks Soil / Tube Contacts Large Roots Large Gravel Root Channels Other (Specify) Compaction Smearing

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

7 71		
1214-5	DATE	7/12
	-	

/2010

PROJECT NAME Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube 2.40 = cm 6.00 15.2 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = cm or Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 4.8 cm 1.89 in or Length of Sample = 10.4 cm \equiv 4.11 in **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** DATE COLLECTED 6/15/2010 1. TEST # 6C REPLICATE (letter) Α 2. MATERIAL TESTED Х 42 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) FILL UNDISTURBED DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: х BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): x No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: $H_1 =$ At the beginning of each test interval, 19.60 cm 10.80 At the end of each test interval, $H_{2} =$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 0.841 0.00 50.45 0.860 0.00 51.58 51.55 0.859 0.00 52.48 0.875 0.00 0.897 0.87 53.80 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 4.1 / 0.87 x ln (19.6 / 10.8) = 60 min/hrxх Soil Permeability Class = K3 K = 4.71 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) x None Cracks Worm Channels Dry Soil Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts **Root Channels** Large Gravel Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Rathy DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NAME <u>Stockton</u> MUNICIPALITY	n College Stor Township BLOCK	mwater Master o of Galloway,	Plan NO. Atlantic Coun	RSC 011.01 ty, New Jersey
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA				
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample	= 0.40 = 2.30 = 15.2 = 4.2 = 11.0	cm cm cm or cm or cm =	6.00 in 1.654 in 4.346 in	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TEST # <u>6C</u> REPLICATE (letter)	В	DATE CO		6/15/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	oth) X	42 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTU			
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	amples Only):			
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHE	S:		
At the beginning of each test interval, At the end of each test interval,	H ₁ : H ₂ :	= 19.60 = 10.80	cm cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP				
TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂ (start of test interval) (end of test in	² it erval)	TIME (interval in	∃ T₃ minutes)	AVERAGE T (minutes)
0.00 20.17		0.3	36	
0.00 20.55 20.43		0.3	43 41	
0.00 21.39	•	0.3	57	0.35
		0.5	00	0.33
K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (i = 60 min / hr x 0.16 K = 13.54 in/hr	in) / T (min) x 6 / 5.29 Soil P	In (H₁/H₂) ∝ 4.3 / (ermeability	0.35 x li Class = K4	n (19.6 / 10.8) •
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	ate items)	-		
Image: Similar Simila	s [Gravel [action [_IWorm Chan _Large Roots _Other (Spec	inels ; ;ify)	Dry Soll Soil / Tube Contacts
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	Ba	they	DAT	E7/12/2010

Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME MUNICIPALITY Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 2.40 cm 6.00 = 15.2 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added cm or 4.0 1.575 Height of Tube After Sample is Added = cm or in 11.2 4.425 Length of Sample = cm = in **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** 6/15/2010 DATE COLLECTED 1. TEST # 6D REPLICATE (letter) А 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 27 in FILL UNDISTURBED DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: х BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Yes X No Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, H₁ = 19.10 cm 10.30 $H_{2} =$ At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 1.159 69.52 0.00 1.163 0.00 69.80 70.24 1.171 0.00 70.87 1.181 0.00 1.17 1.194 71.64 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) x ln (19.1 / 10.3) 0.16 / 5.76 4.4 / 1.17 = 60 min/hrxх K = 3.88 Soil Permeability Class = K3 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Dry Soil Cracks Worm Channels x None Large Gravel Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts **Root Channels** Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing KALL DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NAME <u>Stockto</u> MUNICIPALITY	n College Stormwa Township of BLOCK	ater Master Plan Galloway, Atlantic	NORSC 011.01 County, New Jersey
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA			
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample	= 0.40 cr = 2.40 cr = 15.2 cr = 4.5 cr = 10.7 cr	m m m or 6.00 m or 1.772 m = 4.228	in in in
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA			
1. TEST # 6D REPLICATE (letter)	B	DATE COLLECTE	ED 6/15/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate depth)	x 27 i	'n
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTURB	ED	
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	amples Only):		
Sample Density Used:	X No	Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHES:		
At the beginning of each test interval, At the end of each test interval,	H ₁ = H ₂ =	19.30 10.50	cm cm
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:			
TIME T ₁ TIME T (start of test interval) (end of test in	² terval)	TIME T ₃ (interval in minute	AVERAGE T s) (minutes)
0.00 62.80		1.047	
0.00 63.09		1.052	
0.00 62.41		1.040	1.05
K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (= 60 min / hr x 0.1 K = 4.09 in/hr	in) / T (min) x In (6 / 5.76 x Soil Pern	H ₁ /H ₂) 4.2 / 1.05 neability Class	x ln(19.3 / 10.5) = K3
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropri	ate items)		
X NoneCrackRoot ChannelsLargeSmearingComp	sV GravelL actionC	Vorm Channels arge Roots Other (Specify)	Dry Soil Soil / Tube Contacts
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	15-24	(z	DATE 7/12/2010

PROJECT I	NAME Stockton	College Stor	mwater Master	r Plan	NO	RSC 011.01	-
	MUNICIPALITY	Township	o of Galloway,	Atlantic	County,	New Jersey	_
		BLOCK					-
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA							
Radius of Permeameter Tube		= 0.40	cm				
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	;	= 2.40	cm				
Height of Tube Before Sample is Ac	lded	= 15.2	cm or	6.00	in		
Height of Tube After Sample is Add	ed	= 5.0	cm or	1.969	in		
Length of Sample		= 10.2	cm =	4.031	in		
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DAT	A						
1. TEST#6E REP	LICATE (letter)	A	DATE CO	OLLECTE	ED	6/15/2010	•
2. MATERIAL TESTED		<i>a</i>		CD :			
	NATIVE SOIL -	(Indicate de	pth) [X]	00 1	n		
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UI	NDISTURBED	X DISTU	IRBED				
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATI	ON (Disturbed Sa	mples Only);					
Sample Density Use	ed:	X No		Yes			
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL AB	OVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN INCHE	ES:				
At the beginning of e	each test interval,	H ₁ :	= 19.30) (cm		
At the end of each te	est interval.	H₂∶	= 10.50	<u> </u>	cm		
	,	2					
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DRO	P:						
TIME T_1	TIME T ₂		TIM	EI ₃		AVERAGE I	
(start of test interval)	(end of test int	erval)	(interval i	n minutes	s)	(minutes)	
0.00	3.71		0.0	062			
0.00	3.74		0.0	062			
0.00	3.79		0.0)63			
0.00	3.78		0.0	063			
0.00	3.78		0.0	063		0.06	-
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABI	LITY:						
K(in/hr) = 60 min	$/ hr x r^2 / R^2 x I$ (ii	n) / T (min) x	In (H ₄ /H ₂)				
= 60 mi	n/hrx 0.16	/ 576	x 4.0 /	0.06	x In (19.3 / 10.5)
K = 65	27 in/hr		ermeability	Class	= K5	1	,
<u> </u>			ermeability	Olubb	110	1	
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (C	neck the appropria	te items)					
x None	Cracks		Worm Cha	nnels		Dry Soil	
Root Channels		Gravel [Large Root	s]Soil / Tube Co	ntact
		action []Other (Sne	cifv)	-	20 C	
		R	2/ /				
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATO	R	Det	ng		DATE	7/12/201	0

PROJECT NAME <u>Stockton</u>	College Sto	rmwater Maste	r Plan NO	DRSC 011.01
MUNICIPALITY	Townsh	ip of Galloway	Atlantic Co	ounty, New Jersey
	BLOCK			
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA				
	0.40			
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40	cm		
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 2.40	cm om or	6.00 ir	
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	= 13.2	cm or	1.80 ir	
Length of Sample	= 10.4	cm =	4.11 ir	
		••••		
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TEST # <u>6E</u> REPLICATE (letter)	B	DATE CO	DLLECTED	6/15/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL -	· (indicate de	epth) 🔽	68 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DIST			
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	mples Only)	:		
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN INCH	ES:		
At the beginning of each test interval,	H₁	= 19.9	0 cm	
At the end of each test interval,	H ₂	= 11.1	0 cm	
	-	9		
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:				
TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂		TIM	ΕT ₃	AVERAGE T
(start of test interval) (end of test int	erval)	(interval i	n minutes)	(minutes)
0.00 3.02		0.0)50	
0.00 2.95		0.0)49	
0.00 3.02		0.0	050	
0.00 2.96		0.0)49	
0.002.99		0.0	50	0.05
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:				
K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (ir	n) / T (min) >	(In (H₁/H₂)		
= 60 min / hr x = 0.16	/ 5.76	x 4.1 /	0.05 x	ln (19.9 / 11.1)
K = 80.30 in/hr	Soil F	Permeability	/ Class =	K5
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	te items)			
	; I		nnels	Dry Soil
	Gravel [s	Soil / Tube Contacts
			oifu)	
		Other (Spe	(ily)	
	R	2/ .		
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	10	w s	, E	DATE 7/12/2010

PROJECT NAME <u>Stocktor</u>	n College Stor	mwater Master Plan	NO	RSC 011.01
MUNICIPALITY	Township	o of Galloway, Atlan	tic County	, New Jersey
	BLOCK _			
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA				
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40	cm		
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 2.40	cm		
Height of Tube Before Sample is Added	= 15.2	cm or 6.0	0 in	
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	= 5.3	cm or 2.08	i/in 2 in	
Length of Sample	= 9.9	cm = 3.9	5 m	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TEST # 7A REPLICATE (letter)	A	DATE COLLEC	TED	6/14/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	pth) 🔀 🕄	80 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTU	JRBED		
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	imples Only):			
Sample Density Used:	X No	Yes		
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHE	ES:		
At the beginning of each test interval,	H ₁	= 19.80	cm	
At the end of each test interval,	H ₂	=11.00	cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:				
TIME T ₄ TIME T ₄	2			AVERAGE T
(start of test interval) (end of test in	terval)	(interval in min	utes)	(minutes)
0.00 194.21		3.237		
		3.095		
0.00 187.30		3 122		
0.00 188.05		3.134		3.14
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:				
K (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x l (in) / T (min) x	$\ln (H_4/H_2)$		
= 60 min/hr x = 0.10	6 / 5.76	x 3.9 / 3.14	x In	(19.8 / 11)
K = 1.22 in/hr	Soil P	ermeability Cla	ss = K2	1
				-
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	ate items)	_	_	7
	s [Worm Channels		
Root Channels	Gravel	Large Roots	L	Soil / Tube Contacts
Smearing Comp	action [Other (Specify)		
	K	2/~~		7/12/2010
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR		0	DATE	

PROJECT NAME <u>Stockton</u> MUNICIPALITY	n College Stor Township BLOCK	mwater Master o of Galloway,	<mark>r Plan N</mark> O. Atlantic Cour	RSC 011.01 hty, New Jersey
			in —	
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample	= 0.40 = 2.40 = 15.2 = 4.3 = 10.9	cm cm cm or cm or cm =	6.00 in 1.693 in 4.307 in	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TEST #7A REPLICATE (letter)	B	_ DATE CO	DLLECTED	6/14/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	oth) 🔽	30 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTU			
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	amples Only):			
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHE	S:		
At the beginning of each test interval, At the end of each test interval,	H ₁ : H ₂ :	= 19.40	0 cm 0 cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:				
TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂ (start of test interval) (end of test in	2 nterval)	TIM (interval ir	E T₃ n minutes)	AVERAGE T (minutes)
0.00 150.05 0.00 157.02 0.00 158.68 0.00 159.89 0.00 159.02		2.5 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6	501 517 545 565	2.62
		2.0		
K, (in/hr) = $60 \text{ min / hr x r}^2 / R^2 x L$ (= $60 \text{ min / hr x} = 0.16$ K = 1.66 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate	in) / T (min) x 6 / 5.76 Soil P e ate items)	In (H ₁ /H ₂) x 4.3 / ermeability	2.62 x / Class = K	ln(19.4 / 10.6) 2
x NoneCracksRoot ChannelsLargeSmearingComp	s [Gravel [action [Worm Char Large Root Other (Spe	nnels s cify)	Dry Soil Soil / Tube Contacts
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	Port	Z-5-	DA	TE7/12/2010

MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 **PROJECT NAME** Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 cm Radius of Permeameter Tube = 2.40 = cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube 6.00 Height of Tube Before Sample is Added 15.2 in = cm ог 4.2 1.654 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = cm or = 11.0 cm = 4.346 in Length of Sample **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** 6/14/2010 Α DATE COLLECTED REPLICATE (letter) 1. TEST # 7B 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) x 44 in FILL DISTURBED UNDISTURBED X 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Yes X No Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 19.20 At the beginning of each test interval, cm $H_{2} =$ 10.40 At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₁ TIME T₂ (interval in minutes) (minutes) (end of test interval) (start of test interval) 0.224 0.00 13.41 13.67 0.228 0.00 0.225 13.51 0.00 0.233 13.97 0.00 0.23 13.81 0.230 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) x ln (19.2 / 10.4) 0.16 / 5.76 4.3 / 0.23 х = 60 min/hrxSoil Permeability Class = K4 K = 19.49 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Dry Soil Cracks x None Soil / Tube Contacts Large Roots Root Channels Large Gravel Other (Specify) Compaction Smearing Rafy DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm 2.40 Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = cm 15.2 6.00 = in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added cm or Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 4.0 cm or 1.575 in Length of Sample = 11.2 cm = 4.425 in **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** DATE COLLECTED 6/14/2010 REPLICATE (letter) В 1. TEST # 7B 2. MATERIAL TESTED х 44 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) FILL DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: **UNDISTURBED** х 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): X No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES H₁ = 19.10 cm At the beginning of each test interval, 10.30 $H_2 =$ cm At the end of each test interval, 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 1.240 0.00 74.42 1.265 75.90 0.00 76.28 1.271 0.00 1.262 75.71 0.00 1.267 1.26 76.04 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.4 / 1.26 x ln (19.1 / 10.3) = 60 min/hrxSoil Permeability Class = K3 K = 3.61 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Cracks Worm Channels Dry Soil x None Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts Large Gravel Root Channels Other (Specify) Compaction Smearing

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

20 21		
1 Just - S	DATE	7/12/2010

PROJECT I	NAME Stockto	n College Sto	rmwater I	Master Plan	NO	RSC 011.01	2
	MUNICIPALITY	Townsh	ip of Gall	oway, Atlanti	c County,	New Jersey	-
		BLOCK			-		5
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA							
Radius of Permeameter Tube		= 0.40	cm				
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	9	= 2.40	cm				
Height of Tube Before Sample is Ac	lded	= 15.2	cm	or 6.00	in		
Height of Tube After Sample is Add	ed	= 3.5	cm	or 1.378	in		
Length of Sample		= 11.7	cm	= 4.622	in		
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DAT	A						
1. TEST #7C REP	LICATE (letter)	Α	DA1	TE COLLECT	ED	6/14/2010	×
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL	NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	epth)	X 30	in		
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UI	NDISTURBED	X DIST	URBED				
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATI	ON (Disturbed Sa	amples Only)	:				
Sample Density Use	d.	X No		Yes			
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL AB	OVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCH	ES:				
At the beginning of e	each test interval,	H1	=	19.60	cm		
At the end of each te	est interval,	H ₂	=	10.70	cm		
	,	-	1 <u></u>		-		
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DRO	P:						
TIME T.	TIME T	_				AVERAGE T	
(start of test interval)	(ond of tost in	2 terval)	(into	runi in minute	26)	(minutes)	
(start of test interval)	(end of test in	(ervai)	(inte		53)	(minutes)	
0.00	17.25		n 	0.288			
0.00	17.35			0.289			
0.00	17.29			0.288			
0.00	17.32		-	0.289	2	0.29	
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABI	LITY:						-
$K_{\rm c}$ (in/hr) = 60 min	$/hr x r^2 / R^2 x I /$	in) / T (min) :	(in (H ₂ /H	a)			
= 60 mi	n/hrx = 0.16	6 / 5.76	x 4.6	2) 5 / 0.29	x In (19.6 / 10.7)
K = 16.	16 in/hr		Permeal	bility Class	s = K4	1	,
8 DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (C	eck the appropria	ate items)					
		s	Worm	Channels	Г	Drv Soil	
		Crouol		Dooto			ntaa
		Glavel		ROOLS	L		ndC
Smearing	[]Comp	action	Other	(Specify)			
	_	K	ZL I	_	B 4 - -		0
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATO	К	- /-			DAIE	//12/201	U

Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm 2.40 cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 6.00 in 15.2 = cm Height of Tube Before Sample is Added or 1.496 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 3.8 cm or 4.504 in Length of Sample = 11.4 cm = **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** 6/14/2010 DATE COLLECTED 7C REPLICATE (letter) В 1. TEST # 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 30 in FILL 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: DISTURBED UNDISTURBED х 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): Yes X No Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: At the beginning of each test interval, $H_1 =$ 20.10 cm 11.30 $H_2 =$ cm At the end of each test interval, 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 0.664 39.84 0.00 0.627 37.64 0.00 37.96 0.633 0.00 38.58 0.643 0.00 0.643 0.64 38.58 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) x ln (20.1 / 11.3) 0.16 / 5.76 4.5 / 0.64 = 60 min/hrxХ Soil Permeability Class = K4 K = 6.73 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Dry Soil Worm Channels Cracks x None Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts Large Gravel Root Channels Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Richer DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

	PROJECT NAME	Stockton C	ollege Stor	mwater	Master	Plan	NO.	RSC 011.01	
	WON		BLOCK	JUIGai	loway, i	Allantic	County,	New Jersey	
						-			
SAMPLE AND EQUIPM	ENIDAIA								
Radius of Permeameter	Tube	3	= 0.40	cm					
Radius of Thin Walled S	ample lube		= 2.40 = 15.2	cm	or	6.00	in		
Height of Tube After Sar	mple is Added	3	= 4.2	cm	or	1.654	in		
Length of Sample		13	= 11.0	cm	=	4.346	in		
TUBE PERMEAMETER	TEST DATA								
1. TEST #7D	REPLICATI	E (letter)	Α	_ DA	TE CO	LLECTI	ED	5/5/2010	
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL		TIVE SOIL - (I	indicate de	pth)	X	18	in		
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE:	UNDIST		x DISTL	JRBED					
4. BULK DENSITY DET	FERMINATION (D	isturbed Sam	ples Only):						
Sample	Density Used:		x No			Yes			
5. HEIGHT OF WATER	LEVEL ABOVE F	RIM OF BASI		ES:					
At the be	ginning of each te	est interval,	H₁	=	21.40		cm		
At the er	nd of each test inte	erval,	H₂	=	12.20		cm		
6. RATE OF WATER L	EVEL DROP:								
TIME T.					TIME	E Ta		AVERAGE T	
(start of test inter	val) (er	nd of test inter	val)	(inte	erval in	minute	s)	(minutes)	
0.00		101 81			1.6	97			
0.00		102.69			1.7	12			
0.00		101.63			1.6	94			
0.00		101.94			1.6	99		1 70	
0.00	5) -	102.09				02		1.70	
7. CALCULATION OF	PERMEABILITY:								
K, (in/h	r) = 60 min / hr x i	r² / R² x L (in)	/ T (min) x	In (H ₁ /H	H ₂)				
-	= 60 min/hrx	¢ 0.16	/ 5.76	x 4.	3 / ^	1.70	x in (21.4 / 12.2)
	(= 2.39	in/hr	Soil P	ermea	bility	Class	= K3	J	
8. DEFECTS IN THE S	AMPLE (Check th	e appropriate	items)						
x None		Cracks	Γ	Worn	n Chan	nels		Dry Soil	
 Root Ch	annels	Large G	avel	Large	e Roots]Soil / Tube Cont	lacts
 Smearir	ıg		tion [Othe	r (Spec	ify)			
			R	27 .					
SIGNATURE OF SOIL	EVALUATOR		2-1	1-3)		DATE	7/12/2010	1

PROJECT NAME Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm = 2.40 Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube cm 15.2 6.00 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = cm or 1.654 Height of Tube After Sample is Added = 4.2 cm ог in Length of Sample = 11.0 cm = 4.346 in **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** 5/5/2010 DATE COLLECTED 1. TEST # 7D REPLICATE (letter) В 2. MATERIAL TESTED X 18 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) FILL DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED х 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): X No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: $H_1 =$ At the beginning of each test interval, 21.30 cm 12.10 At the end of each test interval, $H_2 =$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 1.657 99.43 0.00 1.669 0.00 100.11 99.45 1.658 0.00 99.97 1.666 0.00 1.676 1.67 100.55 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) x in (21.3 / 12.1) 0.16 / 5.76 4.3 / 1.67 = 60 min/hrxx Soil Permeability Class = K3 K = 2.46 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Cracks Worm Channels Dry Soil x None Large Gravel Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts **Root Channels** Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Raha DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NAME Stocktor	n College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01
MUNICIPALITY	Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey
	BLOCK
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA	
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40 cm
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 1.78 cm
Height of Tube Before Sample is Added	= 15.2 cm or 5.984 in
Height of Lube Aπer Sample is Added	= 4.2 cm of 1.054 m = 11.0 cm $=$ 4.331 in
Length of Sample	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA	
1. TEST #7D REPLICATE (letter)	B DATE COLLECTED5/5/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate depth) x 20 in
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	DISTORBED X
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	amples Only):
Sample Density Used:	X No Yes
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHES:
At the beginning of each test interval,	$H_1 = 21.30$ cm
At the end of each test interval,	$H_2 = 12.10$ cm
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:	
	TIME T ₃ AVERAGE T
(start of test interval) (end of test in	nterval) (interval in minutes) (minutes)
0.00 99.43	1.657
0.00 100.11	1.669
0.00 99.45	1,656
0.00 100.55	5 1.676 1.67
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:	
K (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (i	(in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂)
= 60 min / hr x 0.16	<u>6</u> / <u>3.17 x 4.3 / 1.67 x ln (</u> 21.3 / 12.1)
K = 4.45 in/hr	Soil Permeability Class = K3
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	iate items)
X None Cracks	s Worm Channels Dry Soil
Root Channels	Gravel Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts
Smearing	Daction Other (Specify)
	2 21
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	DATE7/12/2010

PROJECT NAME Stockton	n College Storn	nwater Master	Plan N	O. <u>RSC 011.01</u>
MUNICIPALITY	Township	of Galloway,	Atlantic C	ounty, New Jersey
	BLOCK		-	
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA				
Radius of Permeameter Tube	= 0.40	cm		
Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube	= 1.78	cm		
Height of Tube Before Sample is Added	= 15.2	cm or	5.984 i	n
Height of Tube After Sample is Added	= 4.2	cm or	1.654 i	n
Length of Sample	= 11.0	cm =	4.331 i	n
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TEST # 7D REPLICATE (letter)	Α	DATE CO	ILLECTEE	5/5/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED	(indianto dan	th)	20 in	
	- (indicate dep		20 11	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	DISTU	RBED X		
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Sa	imples Only):			
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHE	S:		
At the beginning of each test interval,	H1 =	21.40) cr	n
At the end of each test interval,	H ₂ =	12.20)cr	n
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP				
		тімі	= т.	AVFRAGE T
(start of test interval) (end of test in	² terval)	(interval in	n minutes)	(minutes)
0.00 101.81		1.6	97	
0.00 102.69		1.7	12	
0.00 101.63		1.6	94	
0.00 101.94		1.6	99	
0.00 102.09		1.7	02	1.70
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:				
K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r ² / R ² x L (in) / T (min) x l	n (H ₁ /H ₂)		
= 60 min / hr x 0.10	6 / <u>3.17</u> x	4.3 /	1.70	k In(21.4 / 12.2)
K = 4.33 in/hr	Soil Pe	ermeability	Class =	= K3
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropria	ate items)			
x None Crack	s 🗌	Worm Char	nels	Dry Soil
Root Channels	Gravel	Large Roots	6	Soil / Tube Contacts
Smearing	action	Other (Spec	cify)	
	R	L.		
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	- 0-11	2		DATE //12/2010

NO. RSC 011.01 **PROJECT NAME** Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 2.40 cm 15.2 6.00 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = cm or 3.0 1.181 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = cm or 4.819 12.2 in Length of Sample _ cm = **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** 6/14/2010 DATE COLLECTED 1. TEST # 7E REPLICATE (letter) А 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 36 in FILL 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED DISTURBED х 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): X No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 20.00 At the beginning of each test interval, cm 10.10 At the end of each test interval, $H_2 =$ cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₁ TIME T₂ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 16.06 0.268 0.00 0.254 0.00 15.26 16.06 0.268 0.00 15.92 0.265 0.00 0.269 0.26 16.13 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.76 20 / 10.1) 4.8 / 0.26 x In (= 60 min/hrxХ K = 20.72 Soil Permeability Class = K5 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Dry Soil x None Cracks Soil / Tube Contacts Large Gravel Large Roots Root Channels Other (Specify) Compaction Smearing

SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

BARS	DATE

DATE 7/12/2010

NO. RSC 011.01 Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 cm Radius of Permeameter Tube 2.30 Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = cm = 15.2 cm 6.00 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added or 3.5 1.378 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = cm OF 11.7 4.622 in = cm = Length of Sample **TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** DATE COLLECTED 6/14/2010 В 1. TEST # 7E REPLICATE (letter) 2. MATERIAL TESTED X 36 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) FILL DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED X 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): X No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 19.80 cm At the beginning of each test interval, 11.00 $H_2 =$ cm At the end of each test interval, 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: TIME T₃ AVERAGE T TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) (start of test interval) 0.155 9.27 0.00 0.159 0.00 9.52 0.158 9.47 0.00 0.156 0.00 9.38 0.159 0.16 9.51 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.29 4.6 / 0.16 x In (19.8 / 11) = 60 min/hrxX K = 31.37 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K5 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Dry Soil Worm Channels x None Cracks Soil / Tube Contacts Large Gravel Large Roots Root Channels Other (Specify) Compaction Smearing Kithy DATE 7/12/2010 SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

PRO	JECT NAME Stocktor	n College Stor	mwater Master	r Plan	NO	RSC 011.01
	MUNICIPALITY	Township	o of Galloway,	Atlantic	County,	New Jersey
		BLOCK _		-		
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT	DATA					
Radius of Permeameter Tube)	= 0.40	cm			
Radius of Thin Walled Sampl	e Tube	= 1.78	cm			
Height of Tube Before Sampl	e is Added	= 15.2	cm or	5.984	in	
Height of Tube After Sample	is Added	= 3.5	cm or	1.378	in	
Length of Sample		= 11.7	cm =	4.606	IN	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TES	T DATA					
1. TEST #10A	REPLICATE (letter)	A	_ DATE CO	DLLECTE	D	6/14/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL	NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate dej	oth) 🔽	51 i	n	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE:	UNDISTURBED		RBED X			
4. BULK DENSITY DETERM	IINATION (Disturbed Sa	mples Only):				
Sample Dens	ity Used:	X No		Yes		
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEV	EL ABOVE RIM OF BAS	SIN IN INCHE	S:			
At the beginni	ng of each test interval,	H ₁ :	= 21.10) (m	
At the end of	each test interval,	H ₂ =	= 12.10) (m	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL	DROP:					
TIME T ₁	TIME T ₂	1	TIM	E T3		AVERAGE T
(start of test interval)	(end of test inf	terval)	(interval ir	n minutes	;)	(minutes)
0.00	109.98		1.8	333		
0.00	109.30		1.8	322		
0.00	109.47		1.8	325		
0.00	110.06		1.8	334		
0.00	109.34		1.8	322		1.83
7. CALCULATION OF PERM	/IEABILITY:					
K, (in/hr) = 6	30 min / hr x r² / R² x L (i	n) / T (min) x	In (H ₁ /H ₂)			
= 6	30 min / hr x 0.16	<u>6 / 3.17 :</u>	x 4.6 /	1.83	x In (21.1 / 12.1)
K =	4.25 in/hr	Soil P	ermeability	Class	= K3	
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMP	LE (Check the appropria	ate items)				
x None	Cracks	s [Worm Char	nnels		Dry Soil
Root Channe	ls Large	Gravel [Large Roots	S	Ē	Soil / Tube Contacts
		action [Other (Sned	cifv)		-
		L		,/		
		K	2/2/			7/10/0010
SIGNATURE OF SUIL EVAL	UATUK		8		DAIE	//12/2010

NO. RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube = cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 1.78 cm = 15.2 5.984 in Height of Tube Before Sample is Added cm or 3.8 1.496 in Height of Tube After Sample is Added = cm or 4.488 in 11.4 Length of Sample = cm = TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA 6/14/2010 DATE COLLECTED В 1. TEST # 10A REPLICATE (letter) 2. MATERIAL TESTED X 51 in NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) FILL DISTURBED 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED X 4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only): x No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 21.30 At the beginning of each test interval, cm $H_{2} =$ 12.30 At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₂ TIME T₃ TIME T₁ (interval in minutes) (minutes) (end of test interval) (start of test interval) 102.20 1.703 0.00 1.715 102.90 0.00 1.720 103.18 0.00 1.717 102.99 0.00 1.707 1.71 0.00 102.43 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K. (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 3.17 x ln (21.3 / 12.3) = 60 min/hrxх 4.5 / 1.71 Soil Permeability Class = K3 K = 4.36 in/hr 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Dry Soil Cracks x None Soil / Tube Contacts Large Gravel Large Roots Root Channels Smearing Compaction Other (Specify)

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR

20	27	/		
1	may	-h	1	
6	1.10	0		

DATE 7/12/2010

PROJECT NAME <u>Stockto</u> MUNICIPALIT	on College Sto YTownsh BLOCK	rmwater Master ip of Galloway, <i>i</i>	Plan NO Atlantic Count	RSC 011.01 y, New Jersey
SAMPLE AND FOUIPMENT DATA	-			
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample	= 0.40 = 2.40 = 15.2 = 5.2 = 10.0	cm cm cm or cm or cm =	6.00 in 2.047 in 3.953 in	
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TEST # 10B REPLICATE (letter)	A	DATE CO	LLECTED _	6/15/2010
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOII	L - (indicate de	epth) X	50 in	
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DIST			
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed S	Samples Only)	2		
Sample Density Used:	X No		Yes	
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF B	ASIN IN INCH	ES:		
At the beginning of each test interva At the end of each test interval,	l, H ₁ H ₂	= <u>20.00</u> = <u>11.20</u>	cm cm	
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:				
TIME T ₁ TIME ⁻ (start of test interval) (end of test i	T ₂ interval)	TIME (interval in	∃T₃ minutes)	AVERAGE T (minutes)
0.00 60.21	1	. 1.00)4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
0.00 59.80	2	0.99	97 00	
0.00 60.42	2	1.00)7	1.01
	<u> </u>			1.01
$K_{r} = 60 \text{ min / hr x } r^{2} / R^{2} \text{ x L}$ $= 60 \text{ min / hr x } 0.7$ $K = 3.80 \text{ in/h}$	(in) / T (min) > 16 / 5.76 r Soil F	< In (H₁/H₂) × 4.0 / 1 Permeability	.01 x In Class = K3	(20 / 11.2)
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropr	riate items)			
Image: Image state Image: Image state Image: Image state Image state Image state Image state	кs e Gravel [paction	Uvorm Chan Large Roots Other (Speci	ify)	Soil / Tube Contacts
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	H-	they_	DATI	E7/12/2010

MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

_

Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 PROJECT NAME Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey MUNICIPALITY BLOCK SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA 0.40 Radius of Permeameter Tube cm Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube = 2.30 cm 15.2 6.00 Height of Tube Before Sample is Added = in cm or Height of Tube After Sample is Added 5.8 2.283 = cm or in 9.4 3.717 in Length of Sample _ cm -**TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA** 6/15/2010 В DATE COLLECTED 1. TEST # 10B REPLICATE (letter) 2. MATERIAL TESTED NATIVE SOIL - (indicate depth) X 50 in FILL 3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED DISTURBED х BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed Samples Only); X No Yes Sample Density Used: 5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES: H₁ = 19.90 At the beginning of each test interval, cm 11.10 $H_2 = 0$ At the end of each test interval, cm 6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP: AVERAGE T TIME T₃ TIME T₂ TIME T₁ (start of test interval) (end of test interval) (interval in minutes) (minutes) 0.792 47.52 0.00 0.761 0.00 45.68 0.00 47.95 0.799 46.27 0.771 0.00 0.778 0.78 46.67 0.00 7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H₁/H₂) 0.16 / 5.29 x ln (19.9 / 11.1) = 60 min/hrxх 3.7 / 0.78 K = 5.05 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K3 8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items) Worm Channels Drv Soil x None Cracks Large Roots Root Channels Large Gravel Soil / Tube Contacts Compaction Other (Specify) Smearing Rokha SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR DATE 7/12/2010

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

	PROJ			on Colleg	e Storm	water	Master	Plan	NO.	RSC 011.01	-
		IVIO	NICIFALIT	BLC	OCK	UI Gai	loway,	Allantic	County,	New Jeisey	-
SAMPLE AND EQ	UIPMENT D	ΔΤΑ									
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample			= = =	0.40 2.40 15.2 4.0 11.2	cm cm cm cm	or or =	6.00 1.575 4.425	in in in			
TUBE PERMEAM	ETER TEST	DATA									
1. TEST #	10C	REPLICA	TE (letter)	<u></u>	A	DA	TE CC	LLECT	ED	5/5/2010	- ;
2. MATERIAL TES FIL] N/	ATIVE SOII	(indica	ate dep	th)	X	16	in		
3. TYPE OF SAMI	PLE:	UNDIS	TURBED	X	DISTU	RBED					
4. BULK DENSITY			Disturbed S	amples	Only):						
Sar	nple Density	y Used:		x	No			Yes			
5. HEIGHT OF W	ATER LEVE	L ABOVE	RIM OF B	ASIN IN	INCHE	S:					
At t At t	he beginnin he end of e	g of each ach test in	test interva terval,	I,	H ₁ = H ₂ =		20.00 11.40)	cm cm		
6. RATE OF WAT	ER LEVEL	DROP:									
TIME (start of test	T ₁ t interval)	(6	TIME ⁻ end of test i	Γ ₂ nterval)		(inte	TIMI erval in	∃ T₃ minute	s)	AVERAGE T (minutes)	
0.00	2		100.5	8			1.6	76			
0.00		-	103.88			1.731					
0.00		-	103.95			1.733				4 70	
0.00	0	-	101.2	4			1.6	87		1.70	-
7. CALCULATION	OF PERM	EABILITY									
к,	(in/hr) = 60 = 60 K =) min / hr >) min / hr 2.44	$r^2 / R^2 \times L$ $r \times 0.7$ in/h	(in) / T (i 16 / 5. r S	min) x li 76 x oil Pe	n (H₁/⊦ 4. rmea	l₂) 4 / bility	1.70 Class	x In (20 / 11.4])
8. DEFECTS IN T	HE SAMPL	E (Check	the appropr	iate item	is)						
X No Ro Sm	ne ot Channels learing		Crack	ks e Gravel paction]Worn]Large]Other	n Chan e Roots (Spec	inels s tify)]Dry Soil]Soil / Tube Co	ntacts
SIGNATURE OF S	SOIL EVALU	JATOR		و	H-r	Zz	~		DATE	7/12/20	10

MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

_

PROJECT NAME Stockton MUNICIPALITY	College Stormwater Master Plan NO. RSC 011.01 Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey							
	BLOCK							
SAMPLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA								
Radius of Permeameter Tube Radius of Thin Walled Sample Tube Height of Tube Before Sample is Added Height of Tube After Sample is Added Length of Sample	= 0.40 cm = 2.40 cm = 15.2 cm or 6.00 in = 3.2 cm or 1.26 in = 12.0 cm = 4.74 in							
TUBE PERMEAMETER TEST DATA								
1. TEST # 10D REPLICATE (letter)	A DATE COLLECTED 6/15/2010							
2. MATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SOIL -	- (indicate depth) X 60 in							
3. TYPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED	X DISTURBED							
4. BULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed San	mples Only):							
Sample Density Used:	X No Yes							
5. HEIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF BASIN IN INCHES								
At the beginning of each test interval, $H_1 = 18.80$ cm At the end of each test interval, $H_2 = 10.00$ cm								
6. RATE OF WATER LEVEL DROP:								
TIME T ₁ TIME T ₂ (start of test interval) (end of test interval)	TIME T ₃ AVERAGE T erval) (interval in minutes) (minutes)							
0.00 5.88	0.098							
0.00 5.87	0.098							
0.00 6.13	0.102							
0.00 5.91	0.099 0.10							
7. CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY:								
K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L (in) / T (min) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) = 60 min / hr x 0.16 / 5.76 x 4.7 / 0.10 x ln (18.8 / 10) K = 50.31 in/hr Soil Permeability Class = K5								
8. DEFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appropriate items)								
X NoneCracksRoot ChannelsLarge GSmearingCompace	Worm Channels Dry Soil Gravel Large Roots Soil / Tube Contacts action Other (Specify)							
SIGNATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	DATE							
PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

	PROJI	ECT NAME <u>Stockto</u> MUNICIPALITY	n College Stor Townshi BLOCK	mwater Maste o of Galloway	<u>r Plan</u> NO. , Atlantic Cour	RSC 011.01 hty, New Jersey
SA	AMPLE AND EQUIPMENT [АТА				
Ra Ra He He Le	adius of Permeameter Tube adius of Thin Walled Sample eight of Tube Before Sample eight of Tube After Sample is ength of Sample	Tube is Added Added	= 0.40 = 2.40 = 15.2 = 4.0 = 11.2	cm cm cm or cm or cm =	6.00 in 1.575 in 4.425 in	
τι	UBE PERMEAMETER TEST	DATA				
1.	TEST #10E	REPLICATE (letter)	Α	DATE CO	DLLECTED	6/15/2010
2.	MATERIAL TESTED FILL] NATIVE SOIL	- (indicate de	pth) x	36 in	
3.	TYPE OF SAMPLE:	UNDISTURBED	X DISTU	JRBED		
4.	BULK DENSITY DETERMI	NATION (Disturbed Sa	amples Only):			
	Sample Densit	y Used:	X No		Yes	
5.	HEIGHT OF WATER LEVE	L ABOVE RIM OF BA	SIN IN INCHE	ES:		
	At the beginnin At the end of e	g of each test interval, ach test interval,	H₁ H ₂	= 20.1 = 11.3	0 cm 0 cm	
6.	RATE OF WATER LEVEL	DROP:				
	TIME T ₁ (start of test interval)	TIME T (end of test ir	2 nterval)	TIN (interval i	IE T ₃ n minutes)	AVERAGE I (minutes)
	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	7.55 7.34 7.46 7.42 7.46		0. 0. 0. 0.	126 122 124 124 124	0.12
-				0.		
1.	K, (in/hr) = 60 = 60 K =) min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x L () min / hr x 0.1 34.23 in/hr	(in) / T (min) x 6 / 5.76 Soil P	In (H ₁ /H ₂) x 4.4 / ermeabilit	0.12 x y Class = K	ln(20.1 / 11.3) 5
8.		E (Check the appropri	ate items)			
	□ X INONE Root Channels Smearing	Large	Gravel [baction [Large Roo Other (Spe	cify)	
SI	IGNATURE OF SOIL EVALU	JATOR	H-	Z-z-	_ DA ⁻	TE7/12/2010

MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS

	PROJECT NAME <u>Stoc</u> MUNICIPAL	kton College S TY Town: BLOCk	tormwater Mast ship of Gallowa	er Plan NO y, Atlantic Co	RSC 011.01 unty, New Jersey
SAM	PLE AND EQUIPMENT DATA				
Radiu Radiu Heigh Heigh Lengt	us of Permeameter Tube us of Thin Walled Sample Tube nt of Tube Before Sample is Added nt of Tube After Sample is Added th of Sample	= 0.4 = 2.3 = 15 = 4. = 11	0 cm 30 cm .2 cm or 1 cm or .1 cm =	6.00 in 1.614 in 4.386 in	
TUBE	E PERMEAMETER TEST DATA				
1. TE	EST #10E REPLICATE (letter	-) <u>B</u>	DATE C	OLLECTED	6/15/2010
2. M/	ATERIAL TESTED FILL NATIVE SO	DIL - (indicate	depth) x] 36 in	
3. TY	YPE OF SAMPLE: UNDISTURBED		STURBED]	
4. Bl	ULK DENSITY DETERMINATION (Disturbed	l Samples On	y):		
	Sample Density Used:	X No] Yes	
5. HE	EIGHT OF WATER LEVEL ABOVE RIM OF	BASIN IN INC	HES:		
6 R	At the beginning of each test inter At the end of each test interval,	val,	$H_1 = 19.$ $H_2 = 10.3$	70 cm 90 cm	
0. 10		F T.	т	MF Ta	AVERAGE T
	(start of test interval) (end of test	nd of test interval)		in minutes)	(minutes)
_	0.00 8.1	84	0	.147	
-	0.00 8.	// 05		.146	
_	0.00 8.1	93		.149	— —
3	0.00 8.1	96		0.149	0.15
7. C	ALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY: K, (in/hr) = 60 min / hr x r^2 / R^2 x = 60 min / hr x K = 31.72 in/	L (in) / T (mir 0.16 / 5.29 /hr Soi	i) x ln (H ₁ /H ₂) x 4.4 / I Permeabili	0.15 x ty Class =	ln(19.7 / 10.9) K5
8. D	EFECTS IN THE SAMPLE (Check the appro	opriate items)			
	X NoneCrassingRoot ChannelsLaSmearingCo	acks rge Gravel mpaction	Worm Ch Large Roo Other (Sp	annels ots ecify)	Dry Soil Soil / Tube Contacts
SIGN	NATURE OF SOIL EVALUATOR	74	-z-z-z-	D	ATE7/12/2010

MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

Marathon completed a groundwater mounding analysis of the largest design volumes proposed for infiltration in the proposed stormwater management infiltration basins for the Richard Stockton College of New Jersey 2010 Stormwater Master Plan using the computer model found in <u>Ground Water</u>, Volume 22, Number 1, published by Molden, Sunada and Warner. For this analysis, Marathon utilized the volume below the basin spillways calculated in Appendix B of the Stormwater Compliance Statement. The volume of runoff was then divided by the area of the bottom of the infiltration basin over the required infiltration time of 72 hours to determine the recharge rate as given in the table below:

Basin Description	Maximum 100-year design volume (cubic feet)	Basin bottom area (square feet)	Recharge rate (ft/day)
Basin 2	195,075	248,747	0.26
Basin 5 East	391,517	261,467	0.50
Basin 5 West	264,621	147,243	0.60
Basin 6	395,981	252,550	0.52
Basin 7	205,116	212,335	0.32
Basin 10	124,920	178,015	0.23

Marathon determined the aquifer thickness to be 200 feet beneath the Subject Property through a review of well logs for Well 01-180 identified as USGS Oceanville 1 located in Galloway Township, Atlantic County, New Jersey. The well log was presented in the report entitled "Hydrogeologic Framework of the New Jersey Coastal Plain, United States Geological Survey Open File Report 84-730." Marathon used the average tested permeability rate for the soil to remain in the basin footprint, as provided in the stormwater report referenced above, as the hydraulic conductivity. Transmissivity was calculated by multiplying the tested hydraulic conductivity by the aquifer thickness for a value in the basin footprint as given in the table below:

Basin Description	Average Hydraulic (Transmissivity	
-	(in/hr)	(ft/day)	(Square Ibudy)
Basin 2	7.56	15.11	3023
Basin 5 East	7.02	14.04	2807
Basin 5 West	9.03	18.06	3612
Basin 6	4.97	9.94	1989
Basin 7	6.25	12.50	2500
Basin 10	3.98	7.96	1592

Utilizing the values obtained and the program described above, groundwater mounding for the maximum volume retained and infiltrated in the stormwater management basins was determined to not cause stormwater or groundwater to breakout to the land surface or cause adverse impacts to adjacent water bodies, wetlands, or subsurface structures.

The following tables and graphs depict the results of the calculations for the groundwater mounding associated with the infiltration volume in the basin. Please note that the height of the groundwater mound is assumed to start at the estimated seasonal high water table elevation, as provided in the stormwater report referenced above, and the center of the subject basin. Both points are assigned a value of zero (0):

VARIABLE		BASIN 2	BASIN 5E	BASIN 5W	BASIN 6	BASIN 7	BASIN 10
RECHARGE RATE	(FT/DAY)	0.26	0.21	0.26	0.16	0.32	0.23
TRANSMISSIVITY	(SF/DAY)	3023	2807	3612	1989	2500	1592
SPECIFIC YIELD		0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
BEGINNING TIME	(DAY)	1	1	1	1	1	1
FINAL TIME	(DAYS)	5	9	9	12	5	5
TIME INCREMENT	(DAY)	1	1	1	1	1	1
END OF RECHARGE TIME	(DAYS)	3	7	7	10	3	3
BEGINNING DISTANCE	(FT)	0	0	0	0	0	0
FINAL DISTANCE	(FT)	500	500	500	500	500	500
DISTANCE INCREMENT	(FT)	100	100	100	100	100	100
AVG DEPTH TO ESHWT	(FT)	2.90	2.50	2.30	2.80	2.70	3.10
BASIN WIDTH	(FT)	160	350	200	400	200	230
BASIN LENGTH	(FT)	2000	700	740	850	1300	800

DISTANCE FROM CENTER OF BASIN	н	HEIGHT OF MOUND (FT) - BASIN 2					
(FT)	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5		
0	0.851	1.299	1.645	1.085	0.891		
100	0.573	0.985	1.314	1.022	0.857		
200	0.250	0.570	0.854	0.857	0.764		
300	0.091	0.303	0.525	0.646	0.632		
400	0.028	0.148	0.305	0.443	0.487		
500	0.007	0.066	0.167	0.281	0.350		



DISTANCE FROM CENTER OF BASIN		HEIGHT OF MOUND (FT) - BASIN 5E							
(FT)	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5	DAY 6	DAY 7	DAY 8	DAY 9
0	0.897	1.367	1.686	1.927	2.121	2.283	2.422	1.647	1.287
100	0.711	1.142	1.445	1.637	1.866	2.024	2.161	1.570	1.246
200	0.317	0.651	0.910	1.118	1.297	1.438	1.567	1.364	1.132
300	0.116	0.334	0.535	0.708	0.857	0.988	1.104	1.093	0.969
400	0.035	0.157	0.297	0.431	0.553	0.664	0.764	0.822	0.785
500	0.009	0.067	0.156	0.251	0.345	0.435	0.519	0.589	0.605



DISTANCE FROM CENTER OF BASIN		HEIGHT OF MOUND (FT) - BASIN 5W							
(FT)	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5	DAY 6	DAY 7	DAY 8	DAY 9
0	0.924	1.351	1.634	1.846	2.016	2.157	2.278	1.460	1.127
100	0.691	1.089	1.365	1.500	1.732	1.871	1.990	1.403	1.099
200	0.328	0.650	0.890	1.079	1.234	1.365	1.478	1.251	1.018
300	0.136	0.364	0.559	0.722	0.860	0.979	1.000	1.042	0.898
400	0.048	0.190	0.336	0.469	0.586	0.691	0.781	0.822	0.758
500	0.015	0.092	0.194	0.295	0.391	0.480	0.561	0.621	0.613



DISTANCE FROM CENTER OF BASIN					HEIGHT	OF MOUN	ND (FT) - E	BASIN 6				
(FT)	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5	DAY 6	DAY 7	DAY 8	DAY 9	DAY 10	DAY 11	DAY 12
0	0.752	1.210	1.548	1.815	2.037	2.225	2.390	2.536	2.666	2.785	2.142	1.763
100	0.579	0.988	1.302	1.556	1.769	1.952	2.111	2.254	2.382	2.498	2.026	1.715
200	0.219	0.509	0.763	0.981	1.169	1.335	1.482	1.614	1.734	1.843	1.725	1.529
300	0.063	0.227	0.406	0.514	0.728	0.868	0.900	1.112	1.220	1.319	1.349	1.271
400	0.014	0.089	0.198	0.315	0.432	0.542	0.647	0.746	0.838	0.925	0.993	0.995
500	0.002	0.030	0.088	0.162	0.243	0.326	0.407	0.486	0.562	0.630	0.702	0.741



DISTANCE FROM CENTER OF BASIN	н	HEIGHT OF MOUND (FT) - BASIN 7						
(FT)	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5			
0	1.317	2.060	2.627	1.774	1.426			
100	0.937	1.610	2.144	1.653	1.362			
200	0.324	0.877	1.325	1.343	1.187			
300	0.123	0.433	0.767	0.963	0.947			
400	0.032	0.192	0.415	0.619	0.693			
500	0.007	0.076	0.208	0.364	0.469			



DISTANCE FROM CENTER OF BASIN	HE	HEIGHT OF MOUND (FT) - BASIN 10						
(FT)	DAY 1	DAY 2	DAY 3	DAY 4	DAY 5			
0	1.170	1.896	2.437	1.698	1.331			
100	0.846	1.476	1.971	1.530	1.241			
200	0.268	0.680	1.060	1.126	1.007			
300	0.061	0.266	0.510	0.692	0.716			
400	0.010	0.088	0.221	0.366	0.448			
500	0.001	0.024	0.085	0.171	0.250			



<u>APPENDIX E</u>

Pinelands Stormwater Checklist

STORMWATER CHECKLIST (Part 1)

<u>Stormwater Management Information Required to Be Submitted to</u> <u>Commission and Municipality for Review</u>

The following checklist identifies the stormwater management standards that an applicant must address to complete an application with the Pinelands Commission and the concerned municipality (each "Item #" is cross-referenced in the attached <u>Reference Guide</u>).

Note that the stormwater management standards need not be addressed if either:

- The proposed development is minor residential development, resulting in less than five lots or dwelling units, *and* the development does not involve the construction of any new roads; *OR*
- The development proposed is minor non-residential development, *and* the development does not involve the grading, clearing or disturbance of an area in excess of 5,000 square feet within any five-year period.

Item	Addressed	Description
#		
1.		Calculations demonstrating that the proposed development meets
		one of the following three stormwater runoff rate standards:
		Post-development hydrographs for the 2, 10 and 100-year
		storms of 24-hour duration will not exceed the predevelopment
		runoff hydrographs at any point in time [N.J.A.C. 7:50-
		6.84(a)6ii(1)].
		No increase in pre-development rates from the 2, 10 and 100
		year storms will occur. In addition, any increase in stormwater
		volume for these storms will not increase flood damage at or
		downstream of the parcel [N.J.A.C. 7:50-6.84(a)6ii(2)].
		The peak post-development runoff from the 2, 10 and 100-year
		storms will be 50%, 75% and 80% respectively of the pre-
		development peak rates for the same storms [N.J.A.C. 7:50-
		6.84(a)611(3)].
	5	
2.		Calculations demonstrating that the total runoff volume generated
		from the net increase in impervious surfaces by a 10-year storm of
		24-hour duration will be retained and infiltrated on site.
3.		Information (soil logs) demonstrating that the lowest point of
		infiltration of each structural stormwater management measure
		(e.g. swales, basins, drywells) will meet the two foot separation to

Item	Addressed	Description
#		
		the seasonal high water table (SHWT) standard.
4.		Information demonstrating that the proposed stormwater design will meet the wetland, required buffer to wetlands and surface water protection standards.
5.		Information demonstrating that the soil suitability (permeability rate) standard will be met for all stormwater infiltration facilities (e.g. swales, basins, drywells).
6.		If the development includes High Pollutant Loading Areas (HPLAs) such as gas stations or vehicle maintenance facilities, information which demonstrates that the HPLA standards will be
		met is submitted.
7.		The groundwater mounding standards will be met.
8.		Information demonstrating that all of the following low impact stormwater design standards will be met (as applicable – see
		Reference Guide):
		Pretreatment of stormwater, prior to entering infiltration measures, has been incorporated into the design.
	V	The design utilizes multiple, smaller stormwater management measures dispersed spatially throughout the site
		The design incorporates non-structural stormwater management strategies identified in the NJDEP stormwater regulations to the maximum extent practical. A written description of each of these strategies must be provided. Alternatively, the results of the NJDEP's NSPS Spreadsheet or Low Impact Design (LID) Checklist may be submitted

STORMWATER CHECKLIST (PART 2)

Additional Stormwater Management Information Required to Be Submitted to Municipality for Review

The following checklist identifies certain stormwater management standards that an applicant must address with the municipality (each "Item #" is cross-referenced in the attached <u>Reference Guide</u>). Note that there may be additional information that is required by a municipal ordinance that is not identified in this Pinelands Commission Checklist and <u>Reference Guide</u>.

Item	Addressed	Description
#		
9.		No direct discharge of stormwater to farm fields will occur to the
		maximum extent practical.
10.		The Total Suspended Solids (TSS) load in the stormwater will be reduced by 80%.
11.		Stormwater management measures have been designed to reduce the nutrient load in the stormwater runoff from the post-developed site to the maximum extent practical.
	•	
12.		The development will meet the groundwater recharge standards.
13.		The stormwater management plan addresses stormwater facilities construction and as-built requirement standards.
14.		The proposed stormwater management measures meet structural design standards.
15.		The development meets stormwater facility safety standards.
16.		A stormwater facilities maintenance plan is provided.

<u>APPENDIX F</u>

Stormwater Management Facility Maintenance Manual

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY MAINTENANCE MANUAL

for

The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey Block 875.04, Lots 1.01 - 1.08 Galloway Township, Atlantic County, New Jersey

July 2010

Prepared for: The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey P.O. Box 195 Pomona, New Jersey 08240

Prepared by: Marathon Engineering & Environmental Services, Inc. 2922 Atlantic Avenue, Suite 3A Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401

> Jason T. Sciullo, P.E. Professional Engineer New Jersey License No. 24GE04586000

> > **RSC 011.01**

ALL DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES ARE INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE WITH RESPECT TO THE PROJECT. THEY ARE NOT INTENDED OR REPRESENTED TO BE SUITABLE FOR REUSE BY THE OWNER OR OTHERS ON EXTENSIONS OF THE PROJECT OR ON ANY OTHER PROJECT. ANY REUSE WITHOUT WRITTEN VERIFICATION OR ADAPTATION BY MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FOR THE SPECIFIC PURPOSE INTENDED WILL BE AT OWNER'S SOLE RISK AND WITHOUT LIABILITY OR LEGAL EXPOSURE TO MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES; AND OWNER SHALL INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS MARATHON ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FROM ALL CLAIMS, DAMAGES, LOSSES AND EXPENSES ARISING OUT OF OR RESULTING THEREFROM.

INSPECTION, MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR PLAN

A. PROJECT INFORMATION

I. DRAWINGS OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MEASURES:

The project's Stormwater Management Plans are included in the plan set **"Stockton College Stormwater Master Plan**, Block 875.04, Lots 1.01 – 1.08, Galloway Township, Atlantic County, New Jersey" prepared by Marathon Engineering & Environmental Services, Inc. and are included herein by reference.

II. LOCATION OF STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MEASURES BY MEANS OF LATITIUDE AND LONGITUDE AND BLOCK AND LOT:

The site's BMPs (Stormwater Management Facilities) are located at various sites within the College Campus in the Township of Galloway, Atlantic County, New Jersey. The center of the site is approximately LAT: 39° 29' 28" N LONG: 74° 31' 49" W.

III. PREVENTATIVE CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE TASKS AND SCHEDULES:

Refer to SECTION B.III for Summary of Maintenance Procedures.

IV. COST ESTIMATE:

Because this Maintenance Manual is prepared as a general overview of possible tasks for the various SWMFs, a specific cost estimate cannot be prepared at this time. Because the Richard Stockton State College (the "Colllege") is a state entity, no payment of fees to the municipality for maintenance of the stormwater management facilities is required and a maintenance bond is not required for activities performed by the College. Refer to SECTION B.VIII, Cost of SWMF Maintenance Tasks for a generalized cost list.

V. NAME OF PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR INSPECTIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

The stormwater management system within the Campus Development Zone will consist of a variety of underground storm sewer pipe, inlets, manholes, flared end sections, stormwater management infiltration basins and underground infiltration trenches. The maintenance of all of the stormwater management components and facilities (SWMFs) shall be the responsibility of the College Facilities Maintenance Department. It shall be the responsibility of the contractor, during construction, to maintain these facilities until final acceptance by the College is assumed.

During Construction:

Company / Individual:	Construction Contractor
ADDRESS:	To be provided
	To be provided
PHONE:	To be provided

Upon Acceptance of the facilities by the College:

Company / Individual: The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey (the "College") P.O. Box 195

ADDRESS:	Pomona, New Jersey 08240
PHONE:	(609) 626-6052

The title and date on the maintenance plan and the name, address, and telephone number of the person with stormwater management measure maintenance responsibility as specified, will be recorded on the deed of the property on which the measure is located. Any change in the information due to change in property ownership will be recorded on the deed.

The person with maintenance responsibility will be required to perform the following:

- 1. Maintain records of all maintenance related work orders.
- 2. Evaluate the effectiveness of the maintenance plan at least once a year and adjust the plan and deed as necessary.
- 3. Retain and make available the maintenance plan and associated documentation to any requesting administrative, health, environmental or safety agency having authority over the site.
- 4. Because the College is a state entity, in lieu of submitting the documents to the Township, submit annual copies of these documents to the College's Engineer for their records.

Maintenance training will be required and instruction given by the person with the maintenance responsibility. A basic description of the purpose and function of the overall stormwater management measures and their major components such as, but not limited to, sedimentation accumulation around drainage structures, pruning and general clean-up procedures, maintenance of lawns and vegetation management, will be outlined. Maintenance personnel will also receive training in specialized inspection and maintenance tasks and/or the operation and care of specialized maintenance equipment. Training will be provided in the need for, and use of, all required safety equipment and procedures.

B. PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

I. OBJECTIVES:

The purpose of preventative maintenance is to assure that the Stormwater Management Facilities (SWMFs) remain operational and safe at all times, while minimizing the need for emergency or corrective procedures.

II. OVERVIEW:

A comprehensive SWMF maintenance program is comprised of several related requirements including:

- A. Providing adequate funding, staffing, equipment, and materials
- B. Performing routine maintenance procedures on a regular basis
- C. Performing emergency maintenance procedures and repairs in a timely manner

- D. Conducting SWMF inspections to determine the need for and effectiveness of maintenance work
- E. Providing training and instruction to maintenance personnel and inspections
- F. Conducting periodic program reviews and evaluations to determine the overall effectiveness of the maintenance programs and the need for revised or additional maintenance procedures, personnel, and equipment
- G. Instilling pride of workmanship and a commitment to excellence in program personnel

III. SUMMARY OF GENERAL MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

The following are general procedures and not all measures may be applicable to the individual SWMF. Maintenance for the individual SWMFs shall be applied or adapted as necessary on a case by case basis.

A. PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES:

1. Grass Cutting

A regularly scheduled program of mowing and trimming of grass at SWMFs during the growing season will help to maintain a tightly knit turf and will also help to prevent diseases, pests, and the intrusion of weeds. The actual mowing requirements of an area should be tailored to the specific site conditions, grass type, and seasonal variations in the climate. In general, grass should not be allowed to grow more than 1 to 2 inches between cuttings, or shall be mowed at least once a month during the growing season. Allowing the grass to grow more than this amount prior to cutting it may result in damage to the grades growing points and limit its continued healthy growth. Agencies such as the local Soil Conservation District can provide valuable assistance in determining optimum mowing requirements.

2. Grass Maintenance

Grassed areas require periodic fertilizing, de-thatching, and soil conditioning in order to maintain healthy growth. Additionally, provisions should be made to reseed and re-establish grass cover in areas damaged by sediment accumulation, storm water flow, or other causes. Agencies such as the local Soil Conservation District can provide valuable assistance in establishing a suitable grass maintenance program. All vegetation deficiencies should be addressed without the use of fertilizers or pesticides whenever possible.

3. Vegetative Cover

Trees, shrubs, and ground cover require periodic maintenance, including fertilizing, pruning, and pest control in order to maintain healthy growth. Agencies such as the local Soil Conservation District can be of assistance in establishing a preventative maintenance program. Inspection of the vegetative components shall be performed at least annually for unwanted growth. When

establishing or restoring vegetation, biweekly inspections of vegetative health should be performed during the first growing season or until the vegetation is established. Once established, inspections of vegetation health, density, and diversity should be performed at least twice annually during both the growing and non-growing seasons.

4. Removal and Disposal of Trash and Debris

A regularly scheduled program of debris and trash removal from SWMFs will reduce the chance of outlet structures, trash racks, and other components becoming clogged and inoperable during storm events. Additionally, removal of trash and debris will prevent possible damage to vegetated areas and eliminate potential mosquito breeding habitats. Disposal of debris and trash must comply with all local, county, state, and federal waste flow control regulations. Only suitable disposal and recycling sites should be utilized. Agencies such as the Division of Solid Waste Management of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection should be contacted for information on disposal regulations.

5. Sediment Removals and Disposal

Accumulated sediment should be removed before it threatens the operation or storage volume of a SWMF. Typically, sediment shall be removed every 5-10 years, or when the sediment accumulation is more than 6" – 12". Disposal of sediment must comply with all local, county, state, and federal regulations. Only suitable disposal sites should be utilized. The sediment removal program in infiltration facilities must also include provisions for monitoring the porosity of the sub-base, and replacement or cleansing of the pervious materials as necessary. Agencies such at the Division of Solid Waste Management of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection should be contacted for information on disposal regulations.

6. Mechanical Components

All structural components must be inspected for cracking, subsidence, spalling, erosion, and deterioration at least annually. SWMF components, such as valves, sluice gates, pumps, fence gates, locks, and access hatches should remain functional at all times. Regularly scheduled maintenance should be performed in accordance with the manufacturers' recommendations. Additionally, all mechanical components should be operated at least once every three months to assure their continued performance.

7. Elimination of Potential Mosquito Breading Habitats

The most effective mosquito control program is one that eliminates potential breeding habitats. Almost any stagnant pool of water can be attractive to mosquitoes, and the source of a large mosquito population. Ponded water in areas such as open cans and bottles, debris and sediment accumulations, and areas of ground settlement provide ideal locations for mosquito breeding. A maintenance program dedicated to eliminating potential breeding areas is

certainly preferable to controlling the health and nuisance effects of flying mosquitoes. The local Mosquito Control Commission can provide valuable information on establishing this maintenance program.

8. Pond Maintenance

Water quality, including suitable oxygen levels, should be maintained through continuous recharge with fresh water from either surface or subsurface sources. Where adequate oxygen levels cannot be assured through inflow, mechanical aeration such as a solar powered aerator or fountain, shall be provided. A program of monitoring the aquatic environment of a permanent pond should be established. Although the complex environment of a healthy aquatic ecosystem will require little maintenance, water quality, aeration, vegetative growth, and animal populations should be monitored on a regular basis. The timely correction of an imbalance in the ecosystem can prevent more serious problems form occurring. Additional information on pond maintenance can be obtained through agencies such as the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Provisions to drain a permanent pool are necessary for maintenance and safety. If a gravity drain is not feasible, suitable pumps and both primary and backup power sources shall be provided.

9. Pervious Pavement Maintenance

The surface of all pervious paving must be inspected for cracking, subsidence, spalling, deterioration, erosion, and the growth of unwanted vegetation at least once a year. Remedial measures must be taken as soon as practical. Care must be taken when removing snow from pervious pavement. Routine sweeping or vacuuming at least four times a year, or more often if required, will reduce the possibility of clogging. If mud or sediment is tracked onto the surface course of a pervious paving system, it must be removed as soon as possible. Removal should take place when the surface course is thoroughly dry. Disposal of debris, trash, sediment, and other waste matter removed from pervious paving surface courses should be done at a suitable disposal/recycling site and in compliance with local, state, and federal waste regulations.

9. Inspection

Regularly scheduled inspections of the SWMFs should be performed by qualified inspectors. The primary purpose of the inspections is to ascertain the operational condition of embankments, outlet structures, and other safety-related aspects. Inspections will also provide information on the effectiveness of regularly scheduled preventative and aesthetic maintenance procedures and will help to identify where changes are warranted. Finally, the SWMF inspections should be used to determine the need for and timing of corrective maintenance procedures. In addition to regularly scheduled inspections, an informal inspection should be performed during every visit to a SWMF by maintenance or supervisory personnel. An inspection checklist is included as part of this maintenance plan.

10. Reporting

The recording of all maintenance work and inspections provide valuable data on the SWMF condition. Along with the written reports, a chain of command for reporting and solving maintenance problems and addressing maintenance needs should be established.

B. CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

The following are general procedures and not all measures may be applicable to the individual SWMF. Maintenance for the individual SWMFs shall be applied or adapted as necessary on a case by case basis.

1. Removal of Debris and Sediment

Sediment, debris, and trash should be removed immediately and properly disposed of in a timely manner. All disposal of materials should be done at suitable disposal /recycling sites and in compliance with all applicable local, state, and federal waste regulations. Equipment and personnel must be available to perform the removal work on short notice. The lack of an available disposal site should not delay the removal of trash, debris, and sediment. Temporary disposal sites may be utilized if necessary.

2. Structural Repairs

Structural damage to outlet and inlet structures, trash racks, and headwalls or flared end sections from vandalism, flood events, or other causes must be repaired promptly. Equipment, material, and personnel must be available to perform these repairs on short notice. The analysis of structural damage and the design and performance of structural repairs shall only be undertaken by qualified personnel.

3. Wall, Embankment, and Slope Repairs

Damage to walls, embankments, and side slopes must be repaired promptly. Typical problems include settlement, scouring, cracking, sloughing, seepage, and rutting. Equipment, materials, and personnel must be available to perform these repairs on short notice. The immediacy of the repairs will depend upon the nature of the damage and its effects on the safety and operation of the facility. The analysis of damage and the design and performance of geotechnical repairs should only be undertaken by qualified personnel. Repair of wall systems shall be per the manufacturer's specifications.

4. Dewatering

It may be necessary to remove ponded water from within a SWMF for maintenance and repair. If a gravity drain is not feasible, portable pumps may be necessary to remove ponded water.

5. Pond Maintenance

Water quality, including suitable oxygen levels, should be maintained through continuous recharge with fresh water from either surface or subsurface sources. Where adequate oxygen levels cannot be assured through inflow, mechanical aeration such as a solar powered aerator or fountain, shall be provided. A program of monitoring the aquatic environment of a permanent pond should be established. Although the complex environment of a healthy aquatic ecosystem will require little maintenance, water quality, aeration, vegetative growth, and animal populations should be monitored on a regular basis. The timely correction of an imbalance in the ecosystem can prevent more serious problems form occurring. Problems such as algae growth, excessive siltation, and mosquito breeding, should be addressed and corrected in a timely manner. The sooner the problem is corrected, the easier it will be to restore a balanced environment in the pond. Due to the complex environment in a pond, it is recommended agencies such as the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service be consulted for corrective maintenance procedures and additional information on pond maintenance.

6. Extermination of Mosquitoes

If neglected, a SWMF can readily become an ideal mosquito breeding area. Extermination of mosquitoes will usually require the services of an expert, such as the local Mosquito Commission. Proper procedures carried out be trained personnel can control the mosquitoes with a minimum of damage or disturbance to the environment. If mosquito control in a facility becomes necessary, the preventative maintenance program should be re-evaluated, and more emphasis placed on control of mosquito breeding habitats.

7. Erosion Repair

Vegetative cover or other protective measures are necessary to prevent the loss of soil from the erosive forces of wind and water. Where a re-seeding program has not been effective in maintaining a non-erosive vegetative cover, or other factors have exposed soils, to erosion, corrective steps should be initiated to prevent further loss of soil and any subsequent danger to the stability of the facility. Soil loss can be controlled by a variety of materials and methods, including riprap, gabion lining, sod, seeding, concrete lining, and re-grading. The local Conservation District can provide assistance in recommending materials and methodologies to control erosion.

8. Vegetative Cover Repair

The vegetative cover should be maintained at 85 percent. If vegetation has greater than 50 percent damage, the area should be reestablished in accordance with the original specifications. Fertilization of vegetation surrounding the pond area should be avoided except in special cases. Overfertilization can contribute to excess algae growth in the pone. As a general rule, the nutrient needs of the vegetation surrounding the pond should be evaluated by testing the pH and nutrient content of the soil prior to fertilization. The adjustment of pH may be necessary to maintain vegetation. Fertilization of all turf areas should occur in the

fall.

9. Fence Repair

Where fences are provided, they may be damaged by many factors, including vandalism and storm events. Timely repair will maintain the security of the site.

10. Elimination of Trees, Brush, Roots, and Animal Burrows

Large roots can impair the stability of dams, embankments, and side slopes. Animal burrows can present a safety hazard for maintenance personnel. Trees and brush with extensive, woody root systems should be completely removed from dams and embankments to prevent their destabilization and the creation of seepage routes. Roots should also be completely removed to prevent their decomposition within the dam or embankment. Rood voids and burrows should be plugged by filling with material similar to the existing material, and capped just below grade with stone, concrete, or other material. If plugging of the burrows does not discourage the animals form returning, further measures should be taken to either remove the animal population or to make critical areas of the facility unattractive to them.

11. Snow and Ice Removal

Accumulations of snow and ice can threaten the functioning of a SWMF, particularly at inlets, outlets, and emergency spillways. Providing the equipment, materials, and personnel to monitor and remove snow and ice from these critical areas is necessary to assure the continued functioning of the facility during the winter months. Care must be taken when removing snow from pervious pavement surfaces or stabilized lawn areas which can be damaged by snow plows or loader buckets. Sand, grit, or cinders should not be used on pervious paving surfaces or stabilized lawn areas for snow or ice control.

12. Pervious Pavement

Routine sweeping or vacuuming at least annually, or more often if required, will reduce the possibility of clogging of pervious pavement surfaces. Remedial measures must be taken as soon as practical. Pressure washing will restore porosity of clogged pervious pavement to nearly new conditions.

13. Stabilized Lawn

Should potholes occur, or if three or more adjacent rings area broken or damaged, the sections shall be removed and replaced per manufacturer's specifications. Vegetation shall be re-established.

C. AESTHETIC GENERAL MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

The following are general procedures and not all measures may be applicable to the individual SWMF. Maintenance for the individual SWMFs shall be applied or adapted as necessary on a case by case basis.

1. Graffiti Removal

The timely removal of this eyesore will restore the aesthetic quality of a SWMF. Removal can be accomplished by painting or otherwise covering it, or removing it with scrapers, solvents, or cleansers. Timely removal is important to discourage further graffiti and other acts of vandalism.

2. Grass Trimming

Trimming of grass edges around structures and fences will provide for a neat and attractive appearance of the facility.

3. Control of Weeds

Although a regular grass maintenance program will keep weed intrusion to a minimum, some weeds will appear. Periodic weeding, either chemically or mechanically, will not only help to maintain a healthy turf, but will also keep grassed areas attractive. The use of chemicals should be limited in areas adjacent to the SWMFs.

4. Details

Careful, meticulous, and frequent attention to the performance of maintenance items such as painting, tree pruning, leaf collection, debris removal, and grass cutting will result in a SWMF that remains both functional and attractive.

D. MAINTENANCE DURING CONSTRUCTION

The following are general procedures and not all measures may be applicable to the individual SWMF. Maintenance for the individual SWMFs shall be applied or adapted as necessary on a case by case basis.

- The contractor shall stage his activity during construction to limit the amount of exposed soil on the site in an effort to reduce erosion and silt and sediment accumulation. Soil erosion and sediment control structures shall be placed as indicated on the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. These structures shall include, but not be limited to, stabilized construction entrances, hay bales, silt fences, inlet protection, and swale and slope protection blankets.
- 2. The contractor shall grade all swales as per the engineering documents to ensure positive flow patterns. Any low points within the swales that create standing water shall be regraded so that positive flow patterns are achieved. The elimination of standing water will eliminate possible mosquito breeding habitats.
- 3. Following each significant rainfall event (1" of rainfall or greater), the contractor shall perform the following inspection and clean-up:
 - a. All swales shall be inspected and all accumulated silt and sediment shall be removed and redistributed on the site.

- b. All erosion activities that might have occurred within the swales shall be regraded, retopsoiled, refertilized, and reseeded.
- c. Swale and slope blankets that have been exposed or torn and damaged shall be removed and replaced with new material.
- d. Inlet protection shall be inspected, and if damaged, shall be replaced.
- e. All debris within swales such as tree limbs, excessive leaves, or trash shall be removed and disposed of legally. These materials shall not be placed back on the site.
- f. All inlets and outlet structures shall be inspected and all debris, silt, sediment, trash, excessive leaves, and tree limbs shall be removed and disposed of legally. These materials shall not be placed back on the site.
- g. All signs of erosion around inlets and outlet structures shall be regraded, retopsoiled, refertilized, and reseeded.
- h. Should excessive accumulation of sediment be present within the inlets and storm sewer pipe, reverse flushing and vacuuming will be required.
- i. Infiltration basins shall be inspected for erosion damage and accumulated debris, trash, leaves, and tree limbs. Eroded areas shall immediately be regraded, retopsoiled, refertilized, and reseeded and all debris, trash, leaves, and tree limbs shall be removed. All debris, trash, leaves, and tree limbs shall be disposed of legally and shall not be placed back on the site or buried on site.
- j. Undesirable plant growth such as woody vegetation and weeds, etc. shall be removed.
- k. Damage from rodents and loss of basin freeboard shall be repaired immediately.
- I. The contractor shall inspect the spillways for damage and repair any damage.
- m. The contractor shall inspect the sand bottom in infiltration basins. Washed away sand shall be replaced as needed. A 6 inch sand bottom consisting of K5 material, certified by a Professional Engineer licensed in New Jersey, must be maintained in a basin at all times. Accumulated debris, trash, leaves, and tree limbs shall be removed from the basin along with accumulated sediment. All material must be disposed of legally and shall not be placed back on the site or buried on site. Infiltration basins must drain within the required 72 hour period. After rainfall events the contractor shall keep records to ensure that the basin drains within 72 hours. Should permeability of a basin become a problem, the basin shall be drained manually by pumping. The basin shall be inspected for damage to the sand layer or excessive silt and sediment. Should

basin permeability remain a problem, a licensed professional engineer shall be consulted to make an inspection and render a solution. Basin bottoms shall remain as level as possible to ensure uniform distribution of runoff. Soil compaction under the basins shall be prohibited. All excavation must be performed by equipment placed outside of the basin area. Infiltration basins shall not be put into operation until all upland areas are stabilized. During construction the basin areas can be utilized as sediment basins which will be cleaned and the final sand bottom placed.

- n. Prior to basin construction, the contractor shall cordon off the area required for the infiltration basin to prevent construction equipment and stockpiled materials from compacting the subgrade soils. During construction, precautions shall be taken to prevent the subgrade from being compacted and the area contaminated with sediment. All excavation should be performed with the lightest practical excavation equipment. All excavation equipment should be placed or stored outside of the limits of the infiltration basin. The contractor is directed to the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plans for additional requirements regarding basin construction.
- o. Basin spillways should also be checked for damage or silt and sediment buildup. Accumulated silt and sediment shall be removed after each storm event if necessary.
- 4. As a minimum, if no significant rainfall event occurs, all SWMF system components shall be inspected weekly and procedures specified under item B of this report shall be followed should deficiencies be discovered.
- 5. During construction, the College's consulting engineer shall inspect the SWMFs on a monthly basis. A written report shall be filed with the College and the contractor. Remedial action to correct any damages on site shall be performed immediately and conform to item B. of this report. The written engineer's report shall contain the following:
 - a. Date and time of the inspection.
 - b. Damages and deficiencies encountered.
 - c. Action to be taken to correct damages and deficiencies.
 - d. Date and time that the damages and deficiencies were corrected.
 - e. A copy of any work order shall also be attached to the maintenance log.

E. MAINTENANCE BY THE COLLEGE

The following are general procedures and not all measures may be applicable to the individual SWMF. Maintenance for the individual SWMFs shall be applied or adapted as necessary on a case by case basis.

- After each significant rainfall event (1" of rainfall or greater) or once every month the College shall be responsible for the inspection of the related SWMFs and to remediate any damage or deficiencies found on site. The SWMFs shall be checked for debris and trash build-up, sediment accumulation, erosion damage, standing water, rodent or animal damage and unwanted vegetative growth. The items of inspection shall include the following:
 - a. Infiltration basin side slope, basin bottom and spillway.
 - b. Stormwater conveyance systems including inlets, manholes, headwalls, endwalls, and piping in roads, on the site, and in the SWMFs.
 - c. The inlets along underground infiltration trenches to determine if the trenches are functioning properly.
 - d. Open space swales directing runoff toward the infiltration basins.
- 2. Written inspection logs shall be kept by the College for each inspection. The inspection logs shall contain the following information:
 - a. Date and time of the inspection.
 - b. Deficiencies or damages encountered
 - c. Actions taken to correct damages or deficiencies
 - d. Date and time that the damage or deficiencies were corrected.
 - e. Copies of work orders shall be attached to the inspection logs.
- 3. Actions to remediate damage or deficiencies to the SWMFs shall include the following:
 - a. The flared end section or headwall entrances to basins shall be inspected for debris, trash, leaves, and tree limbs, and if found, shall be removed and disposed of legally. These items shall not be placed back on the site or be buried on the site. Should excessive silt, sediment, debris or trash be found within inlets and the storm sewer system, the College shall be made aware of the conditions and will be responsible for cleaning and repairing the system.
 - b. Any sign of erosion around the flared end sections or headwalls shall immediately be regraded, retopsoiled, reseeded and refertilized.
 - c. Infiltration basins shall be inspected for erosion damage and for accumulated debris, trash, and sediment build-up. All debris, trash, tree limbs, and leaves shall be removed from the basins and disposed of legally and shall not be placed back on the site or buried on the site. All sediment accumulation shall be removed from basins. Backhoes or heavy equipment shall not be permitted into infiltration basins so as not to damage the six (6) inch sand layer or to create compaction of the sand layer. Sediment shall be removed by hand with the aid of wheel barrows and shovels. Sediment shall be disposed of legally and shall not be placed back on the site. Should the sand bottom of an infiltration basin become damaged or eroded it shall be replaced with sand of a K5 material, certified by a Professional Engineer licensed in New Jersey. The sand bottom of the basin shall at all times remain at a

depth of six (6) inches. All grass clippings from mowing operations shall be bagged and disposed of legally and shall not be placed back on the site. Freeboard in the basins must be maintained and the spillways must be kept free of all debris and trash. A good grass cover must be maintained for the spillways and side slopes. Iinfiltration basins shall be monitored after major rain events to observe the permeability of the basin. Should permeability of the basin become a problem, the basin shall be drained manually by pumping. Basins shall be inspected for damage to the sand layer or excessive silt and sediment. Should basin permeability remain a problem, a licensed professional engineer shall be consulted to make an inspection and render a solution. The basin bottom shall remain as level as possible to ensure uniform distribution of runoff.

- d. Basin spillways shall be checked for damage or silt and sediment buildup.
- e. All undesirable plant growth such as woody vegetation, weeds, etc. shall be removed and disposed of legally and shall not be placed back on the site or buried on the site. All vegetation shall be pruned and trimmed to help keep the access to the basin free and clear.
- f. Rodent and animal damage shall be corrected immediately.
- g. All landscaped plant material shall be pruned to remove damaged, diseased or dead vegetation and limbs. All material shall be disposed of legally and shall not be placed back on the site or buried on site.

F. CHECKLISTS AND LOGS

Included in this report are Tables and Sample Checklists and Logs regarding various aspects of SWMF maintenance and inspection. They contain a list of general procedures and not all measures may be applicable to the individual SWMF. Maintenance for the individual SWMFs shall be applied or adapted as necessary on a case by case basis.

IV. MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS

Equipment required for the maintenance of the SWMFs may include, but shall not be limited to, one or all of the following:

A. GRASS MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT

- 1. Tractor-Mounted Mowers
- 2. Riding Mowers
- 3. Hand Mowers
- 4. Gas Powered Trimmers
- 5. Gas Powered Edgers
- 6. Gas Powered Air Blowers
- 7. Seed Spreaders
- 8. Fertilizer Spreaders
- 9. De-Thatching Equipment

10. Pesticide and Herbicide Application Equipment

11. Grass Clipping and Leaf Collection Equipment

B. VEGETATIVE COVER MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT

- 1. Saws
- 2. Chain Saw
- 3. Mulcher
- 4. Pruning Shears
- 5. Hedge Trimmers
- 6. Wood Chippers

C. TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT

- 1. Trucks for Transportation of Materials
- 2. Trucks for Transportation of Equipment
- 3. Vehicles for Transportation of Personnel

D. DEBRIS, TRASH, AND SEDIMENT REMOVAL EQUIPMENT

- 1. Loader
- 2. Backhoe
- 3. Grader

E. MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT

- 1. Shovels
- 2. Rakes
- 3. Pruning tools
- 4. Brooms
- 5. Picks
- 6. Wheelbarrows
- 7. Fence Repair Tools
- 8. Painting Equipment
- 9. Gloves
- 10. Standard Mechanics Tools
- 11. Tools for Maintenance of Equipment
- 12. Office Space
- 13. Office Equipment
- 14. Telephones
- 15. Safety Equipment
- 16. Camera or Video (to record events)
- 17. Tools for Concrete Work (Mixers, Form Materials, etc.)
- 18. Welding Equipment (for Repair of Trash Racks, etc.)

F. MATERIALS

- 1. Topsoil
- 2. Fill
- 3. Seed
- 4. Soil Amenities (Fertilizer, Lime, etc.)

- 5. Chemicals (Pesticides, Herbicides, etc.)
- 6. Mulch
- 7. Paint
- 8. Paint Removers (for Graffiti)
- 9. Spare Parts for Equipment
- 10. Oil and Grease for Equipment and SWMF Components
- 11. Concrete

G. INSTRUCTIONS AND WARRANTIES

All manufacturers' repair and replacement instructions, along with manufacturers' product instructions and user manuals shall be kept on file. Original copies of the manufacturers' warranties shall also be kept on file.

H. ENGINEERING PLANS

A set of approved Engineering Plans shall be kept on file, along with approved test boring results, and all other copies of municipal or state approvals granted for the site development.

I. DISPOSAL AND RECYCLING SITES

The inspection and maintenance personnel shall have at their disposal, the recycling sites within Galloway Township or Atlantic County which shall include addresses, phone numbers, and names of personnel in charge, at the disposal or recycling sites.

V. SAFETY

Procedures and equipment required to protect the safety of inspection and maintenance personnel shall be, but not limited to, the following:

A. SAFETY EQUIPMENT

Safety equipment shall be worn during all inspection and repair operations. Equipment shall be, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Safety Helmets
- 2. Safety Glasses
- 3. Protective Clothing Including Shoes and Gloves
- 4. First Aid Kit
- 5. Cell Phone with Emergency Numbers

B. STANDARD PROCEDURE

Standard procedure shall be that a minimum of two (2) persons shall perform inspections in the event of injury or disability during the inspection and remediation operations

VI. SWMF MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT AND MATERIAL COSTS

This estimate is taken from NJDEP Stormwater Management Facilities Manual Table 6-

1 and adjusted for 2010 costs. It is provided herein to present a general idea of the cost of various maintenance equipment that might be required.

	Purchase (dollars)	Rent (per day) (dollars)
Hand Mower	300 - 500	25 - 40
Riding Mower	3,000 - 5,000	75 - 100
Tractor Mower	15,000 - 20,000	100 - 300
Trimmer / Edger	200 - 500	25 - 35
Spreader	100 - 200	20 - 30
Chemical Sprayer	200 - 500	25 - 40

VEGETATIVE COVER MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT

	Purchase (dollars)	Rent (per day) (dollars)
Hand Saw	15	5
Chain Saw	300 - 500	15 - 35
Pruning Shears	25	5
Shrub Trimmer	200	25 - 35
Brush Chipper	1,000 - 5,000	50 - 150

TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT

	Purchase (dollars)	Lease (per month) (dollars)	Rent (per day) (dollars)
Van	10,000 - 15,000	400	50 - 70
Pickup Truck	10,000 - 15,000	400	50 - 70
Dump Truck	30,000 - 50,000	1,200	75 - 150
Light Duty Trailer	3,000 - 5,000	150	30 - 50
Heavy Duty Trailer	10,000 - 20,000	500	100 - 200

DEBRIS, TRASH, AND SEDIMENT REMOVAL EQUIPMENT

	Purchase (dollars)	Lease (per month) (dollars)	Rent (per day) (dollars)
Front End Loader	50,000 - 100,000	1,500 - 2,000	200 - 400
Backhoe	30,000 - 50,000	1,200	150 - 300
Excavator	100,000+	2,000	400 - 1,000
Grader	100,000+	2,000	400 - 1,000

MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT

	Purchase (dollars)	Rent (per day) (dollars)
Shovel	15	5
Leaf Rake	15	5
Soil Rake	15	5

Pick	15	5
Wheelbarrow	100 - 200	10
Gloves	5	N /A
Portable Compressor	500 - 1,000	50 - 100
Portable Generator	500 - 1,000	50 - 100
Concrete Mixer	500 - 1,000	25 - 50
Welding Equipment	500 - 1,500	35 - 70

MATERIALS

	Purchase
	(dollars)
Topsoil	35 / cubic yard
Fill Soil	15 / cubic yard
Grass Seed	5 / pound
Soil Amenities (Fertilizer, Lime, etc)	0.05 / sq ft
Chemicals (Pesticides, Herbicides, etc)	10 / gallon
Mulch	25 / cubic yard
Paint	20 / gallon
Paint Remover	10 / gallon
Machine / Motor Lubricants	5 / gallon
Dry Mortar Mix	4 / 50 pound bag
Concrete Delivered to Site	60 – 100 / cubic yard

Notes:

- 1. These estimates are approximation of the probable construction costs in 2008 dollars and are based upon previous construction experience and should be used as an approximate budget figure only
- 2. Estimated equipment costs are based upon Industrial / Commercial grade equipment.

VII. COST OF SWMF MAINTENANCE TASKS

Taken from NJDEP Stormwater Management Facilities Manual Table 6-2

	Small Facility (Man-Hours)	Large Facility (Man-Hours)		
Grass Cutting	1	1 - 2		
Grass Maintenance	0.5	1		
Trash & Debris Removal	0.5	1		
Sediment Removal	4	8		
Mobilization	1	1		
Inspection & Reporting	1	2		

PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE TASKS

CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE TASKS

Small Facility Large Facility

	(Man-Hours)	(Man-Hours)
Trash & Debris Removal	4	8
Structural Repairs	2-4	40
Dewatering	4	8
Mosquito Extermination	1	2-4
Erosion Repair	4	8
Fence Repair	2-4	4-8
Snow & Ice Removal	1	2
Mobilization	2	2

AESTHETIC MAINTENANCE TASKS

	Small Facility (Man-Hours)	Large Facility (Man-Hours)
Grass Trimming	0.5	2
Weed Control	0.5	2
Landscape Maintenance	1 - 2	2 - 4
Graffiti Removal	2 - 4	4 - 8

Notes:

- 1. This estimate is an approximation of the man-hours as provided in the NJDEP Stormwater Facility Maintenance Manual. It is based upon previous construction experience and should be used as an approximate budget figure only.
- 2. Cost estimates are presented in terms of man-hours. These values should be used in conjunction with applicable personnel rates to determine labor costs for a specific program or facility.
- 3. Facility size definitions:

Small Facility: Total SWMF Site Area ¼ Acre

Large Facility: Total SWMF Site Area 1 Acre

Appropriate adjustments to the estimates presented should be made as necessary to account for actual SWMF size.

X. MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION LOGS AND CHECKLISTS

Maintenance Work Order and Checklist For Stormwater Management Facilities

SWM Maintenance List Page 1 of 4

Name of Facility: _____ Date: _____ Date: _____

Crew:	Work Started:		Time:
Equipment:	Work Completed:		Time:
Weather:	Total Man-hours for Work::		

A. Preventative Maintenance

	ltems Required	ltems Done	
1. Grass Cutting	\checkmark	\checkmark	Comments and Special Instructions
A. Embankments and Side Slopes			
B. Perimeter Areas			
C. Access Areas and Roads			
D. Other:			

	ltems Required	Items Done	
2. Grass Maintenance		\checkmark	Comments and Special Instructions
A. Fertilizing			
B. Re-Seeding			
C. De-Thatching			
D. Pest Control			
E. Other:			

	Items Required	Items Done	
3. Vegetative Cover Maintenance	\checkmark	\checkmark	Comments and Special Instructions
A. Fertilizing			
B. Pruning			
C. Pest Control			
D. Other:			
SWM Maintenance List Page 2 of 4

	Items Required	ltems Done	
5. Trash and Debris Removal			Comments and Special Instructions
A. Pond Bottom			
B. Embankments and Side Slopes			
C. Perimeter Areas			
D. Access Areas and Roads			
E. Inlets			
F. Outlets and Trash Racks			
G. Other			
H. Other:			

	ltems Required	Items Done	
6. Sediment Removal	\checkmark	\checkmark	Comments and Special Instructions
A. Inlets			
B. Outlets and Trash Racks			
C. Basin Bottoms			
D. Underground Recharge			
Trenches			
E. Other			

	Items Required	ltems Done	
7. Mechanical Components	\checkmark	\checkmark	Comments and Special Instructions
A. Valves			
B. Sluice Gates			
C. Pumps			
D. Fence Gates			
E. Locks			
F. Access Hatches			
G. Other:			

	Items Required	ltems Done	
8. Pond Maintenance		\checkmark	Comments and Special Instructions
A. Aeration Equipment			
B. Debris & Trash Removal			
C. Weed Removal			
D. Vegetation Maintenance			
E. Dewatering			
F. Other			

9. Elimination of Potential	ltems Required	ltems Done	
Mosquito Breeding Habitats	\checkmark	\checkmark	Comments and Special Instructions
Α.			
В.			
С.			
D.			

SWM Maintenance List Page 3 of 4

	ltems Required	Items Done	
10. Other Preventative Maintenance	\checkmark	\checkmark	Comments and Special Instructions
Α.			
В.			
С.			
D.			

B. Corrective Maintenance

	Items Required	Items Done	
	√	V	Location, Comments, and Special Instructions
1. Debris and Sediment Removal			
2. Structural Repairs			
3. Wall, Embankment, and Slope			
Repairs			
4. Dewatering			
5. Pond Maintenance			
6. Control of Mosquitoes			
7. Erosion Repair			
8. Vegetative Cover Repair			
9. Fence Repair			
10. Elimination of Trees, Brush,			
Roots and Animal Burrows			
11. Snow and Ice Removal			
12. Other			
13. Other			

C. Aesthetic Maintenance

	ltems Required	Items Done	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	Location, Comments, and Special Instructions
1. Graffiti Removal			
2. Grass Trimming			
3. Weeding			
4. Maintenance Details			
5. Other			
6.			
7.			
8.			

SWM Maintenance List Page 4 of 4

Remarks: (Refer to Item No, If Applicable)

Work Order Prepared By:

Work Completed By:

SWM Maintenance Log Page 1 of 4

A. Preventative Maintenance

Date:

Work Item

($\sqrt{}$) Completed

1. Grass Cutting					
A. Embankments and Side Slopes					
B. Perimeter Areas					
C. Access Areas and Roads					
D. Other:					

2. Grass Maintenance

A. Fertilizing					
B. Re-Seeding					
C. De-Thatching					
D. Pest Control					
E. Other:					

3. Vegetative Cover

A. Fertilizing					
B. Pruning					
C. Pest Control					
D. Other:					

4. Trash and Debris Removal

A. Bottoms					
B. Embankments and Side Slopes					
C. Perimeter Areas					
D. Access Areas and Roads					
E. Inlets:					
F. Outlets and Trash Racks					
G. Pervious Pavement Areas:					
H. Other:					

5. Sediment Removal

A. Inlets					
B. Outlets and Trash Racks					
C. Bottoms					
D. Underground Trenches					
E. Other:					

SWM Maintenance Log Page 2 of 4

	Date:							
	Work Item		(√)	Completed	ł			
6.	Mechanical Components							
A.	Valves							
Β.	Sluice Gates							
C	Pumps							
D.	Fence Gates							
E.	Locks							
F.	Access Hatches							
G	Other							

7. Pond Maintenance

A. Aeration Equipment					
B. Debris & Trash Removal					
C. Weed Removal					
D. Vegetation Maintenance					
E. Dewatering					
F. Other					

8. Elimination of Potential

Mosquito Breeding Habits					
Α.					
В.					
C.					
D.					

9. Other Preventative Maintenance

Α.					
В.					
C.					
D.					

SWM Maintenance Log Page 3 of 4

B. Corrective Maintenance

Date:											
Work Item (V) Completed											
1. Debris and Sediment Removal											
2. Structural Repairs											
3. Wall, Embankment, and Slope											
Repairs											
4. Dewatering											
5. Pond Maintenance											
6. Control of Mosquitoes											
7. Erosion Repair											
8. Vegetative Cover Repair											
9. Fence Repair											
10. Elimination of Trees, Brush,											
Roots and Animal Burrows											
11. Snow and Ice Removal											
12. Underground Trench											
13. Other											
14. Other											
15.											
16.											

C. Aesthetic Maintenance

		Date:						
	Work Item			(√)	Completed	-	 	
1.	Graffiti Removal							
2.	Grass Trimming							
3.	Weeding							
4.	Maintenance Details							
5.	Other							
6.								
7.								
8.								

SWM Maintenance Log Page 4 of 4

Remarks: (Refer to Item No, If Applicable)

Work Order Prepared By:

Work Completed By:

SWM Inspection Checklist Page 1 of 4

Name of Facility:	
Location:	Date:
Weather:	Date:

Facility Item	OK ¹	Routine ²	Urgent ³	Comments ^₄
1. Embankments and Side Slopes				
A. Vegetation				
B. Linings				
C. Erosion				
D. Settlement				
E. Sloughing				
F. Trash and Debris				
G. Seepage				
H. Aesthetics				
I. Other:				

2. Bottoms (Detention and Infiltration)

A. Vegetation		
B. Erosion		
C. Standing Water		
D. Settlement		
E. Trash and Debris		
F. Sediment		
G. Aesthetics		
H. Other:		

3. Low Flow Channels (Detention)

A. Vegetation		
B. Linings		
C. Erosion		
D. Settlement		
E. Standing Water		
F. Trash and Debris		
G. Sediment		
H. Other:		

1. The item checked is in good condition and the maintenance program is adequate.

2. The item checked requires attention but does not present an immediate threat to the facility function or other facility components.

3. The item checked requires immediate attention to keep the facility operational or to prevent damage to other facility components.

4. Provide explanation and details if columns 2 or 3 are checked.

SWM Inspection List Page 2 of 4

Facility Item	OK ¹	Routine ²	Urgent ³	Comments ^₄
4. Ponds (Retention)				
A. Vegetation				
B. Shoreline Erosion				
C. Aeration Equipment				
D. Trash and Debris				
E. Sediment				
F. Water Quality				
G. Other:				

5. Inlet Structure

A. Condition of Structure		
B. Erosion		
C. Trash & Debris		
D. Sediment		
E. Aesthetics		
F. Other:		

6. Outlet Structure (Detention & Retention)

A. Condition of Structure		
B. Erosion		
C. Trash & Debris		
D. Sediment		
E. Mechanical Components		
F. Aesthetics		
G. Other:		

7. Emergency Spillway

A. Vegetation		
B. Lining		
C. Erosion		
D. Trash & Debris		
E. Other:		

8. Perimeter

A. Vegetation		
B. Erosion		
C. Trash & Debris		
D. Fences & Gates		
E. Aesthetics		
F. Other:		

1. The item checked is in good condition and the maintenance program is adequate.

2. The item checked requires attention but does not present an immediate threat to the facility function or other facility components.

3. The item checked requires immediate attention to keep the facility operational or to prevent damage to other facility components.

4. Provide explanation and details if columns 2 or 3 are checked.

SWM Inspection List Page 3 of 4

Facility Item	OK ¹	Routine ²	Urgent ³	Comments ⁴
9. Access Roads				
A. Vegetation				
B. Road Surface				
C. Fences & Gates				
D. Erosion				
E. Aesthetics				
F. Other:				

10. Underground Trenches

A. Sediment		
B. Standing Water		
C. Settlement		
D. Other		
E. Other		

11. Miscellaneous

A. Effectiveness of Exist.		
Maintenance Program		
B. Potential Mosquito Habitats		
C. Mosquitoes		
D. Other:		
E.		
F.		

1. The item checked is in good condition and the maintenance program is adequate.

2. The item checked requires attention but does not present an immediate threat to the facility function or other facility components.

3. The item checked requires immediate attention to keep the facility operational or to prevent damage to other facility components.

4. Provide explanation and details if columns 2 or 3 are checked.

SWM Inspection List Page 4 of 4

Remarks: (Refer to Item No, If Applicable)

Inspector:

Exhibit C

Executive Director's Report on The Richard Stockton College April 2010 Master Plan

Attachment No. 4



Approximately 9 acres may be excluded from the deed-restricted lands to accommodate a proposed Garden State Parkway exit ramp and improvements ancillary thereto in this approximate location.*

Exhibit C. - Sensitive Lands to be Deed Restricted (amended from Exhibit 7 of the Richard Stockton College of New Jersey April 2010 Master Plan)

* Area not drawn to scale

ATTACHMENT 5: Supplemental Background and Details from the April 2010 Master Plan

- 1. The wetlands buffer requirements applicable to the Designated Development Areas of the College are depicted in the 2010 Master Plan. The required buffer is generally 300 feet, except around the central core (Area 1) where it is 175 feet. Where existing development within a Designated Development Area is closer than 175 feet from wetlands, the buffer for adjacent new development shall be no greater than the existing buffer.
- 2. Notwithstanding the provisions of Paragraph IV above, the following provisions from prior MOAs remain valid. Additionally, regardless of where such activities are conducted, the following shall not constitute development for purposes of this MOA and shall not require Commission approval prior to the commencement thereof:
 - a) the resurfacing of a right-of-way, access road or driveway constructed of an impervious material which will not result in an increase in the width of the existing impervious surface;
 - b) the installation of scientific monitoring and research equipment such as weather and temperature monitoring equipment, water quality monitoring equipment and other similar scientific devices;
 - c) the installation of lighting and electrical utilities along existing walkways, pathways, roadways and parking lots;
 - d) the maintenance of the surface of existing parking areas which does not result in an expansion of the parking area and which does not result in a change in the composition of the parking surface;
 - e) the replacement and installation of directional signs, facility identification signs parking lot directory signs, ADA signs and traffic signs;
 - f) the installation of fencing, provided that no more than 1,500 square feet of clearing will occur, and that said clearing does not exceed the clearing limits established for any applicable Designated Development Area;
 - g) the development of a trail or pathway in existing cleared areas provided that the width does not exceed four feet;
 - h) clearing of areas along roads and at the edges of existing recreational fields, provided that the clearing does not exceed 5,000 square feet and that said clearing does not exceed the clearing limits established for any applicable Designated Development Area;
 - i) the installation of equipment storage sheds and maintenance sheds, provided the area of disturbance does not exceed 1,500 square feet and that any associated

- j) clearing does not exceed the clearing limits established for any applicable Designated Development Area ;
- k) the installation of satellite dishes and antennas, provided that the area of disturbance does not exceed 1,500 square feet, that any associated clearing does not exceed the clearing limits established for any applicable Designated Development Area, and that the antennas are located within a Pinelands Regional Growth Area;
- 1) the repair, renovation, or rehabilitation of existing culverts, stormwater inlets, and stormwater piping;
- m) the installation of an underground storage tank or an above ground storage tank, provided that said installation does not result in the disturbance of greater than 1,500 square feet, and that any associated clearing does not exceed the clearing limits established for any applicable Designated Development Area; and, all other activities enumerated in N.J.A.C. 7:50-4.1(a)1.-21.
- 3. The College may, instead of using the process delineated in this MOA, file a complete public development application seeking formal Commission approval for any proposed development project either (1) within any Designated Development Area, which exceeds the maximum impervious coverage ratio or the total area of disturbance identified within the Stormwater Plan; or, (2) anywhere else on its campus not within a Designated Development Area. Development projects satisfying either of these criteria are not subject to the terms of this MOA, however, they are subject to the Master Plan and its DCR and alternative submission does not guarantee approval.